1	UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
2	CENTRAL DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA
3	HONORABLE ANDREW J. GUILFORD, JUDGE PRESIDING
4	HSINGCHING HSU,
5	
6	Plaintiff,)
7	
8	Vs.) No. SACV15-0865-AG
9	
10	PUMA BIOTECHNOLOGY, ET AL,
11	
12	Defendants.)
13)
14	
15	
16	REPORTER'S TRANSCRIPT OF PROCEEDINGS
17	JURY TRIAL, DAY 7
18	SANTA ANA, CALIFORNIA
19	FRIDAY, JANUARY 25, 2019
20	
21	
22	MIRIAM V. BAIRD, CSR 11893, CCRA OFFICIAL U.S. DISTRICT COURT REPORTER
23	411 WEST FOURTH STREET, SUITE 1-053 SANTA ANA, CALIFORNIA 92701
24	MVB11893@aol.com
25	

1	APPE	ARANCES
2		
3	IN BEHALF OF THE PLAINTIFF,	
4	HSINGCHING HSU:	JASON FORGE SUSANNAH R. CONN
5		PATRICK COUGHLIN ROBBINS GELLER RUDMAN &
6		DOWD LLP 655 WEST BROADWAY, SUITE
7		1900 SAN DIEGO, CA 92101
8		
9		
10		
11	IN BEHALF OF THE DEFENDANT,	COLLERN SMITH
12	PUMA BIOTECHNOLOGY, ET AL:	MICHELE JOHNSON ANDREW CLUBOK
13		SARAH TOMKOWIAK JORDAN COOK
14		KRISTIN MURPHY LATHAM AND WATKINS LLP
15		12670 HIGH BLUFF DRIVE SAN DIEGO, CA 92130
16		
17		
18		
19		
20		
21		
22		
23		
24		
25		

1	INDEX	
2	WITNESS:	PAGE:
3		4
4	<u>Troy Wilson, Defendant's witness, previously</u> <u>sworn</u>	4
5	DIRECT EXAMINATION RESUMED CROSS-EXAMINATION	4 14
C	REDIRECT EXAMINATION	19
6	<u>Judy Bebchuk Segal, Defendant's witness, sworn</u> DIRECT EXAMINATION	27 27
7	CROSS-EXAMINATION	41
8	<u>Richard Schwab, Defendant's witness, sworn</u> DIRECT EXAMINATION	100 100
9	CROSS-EXAMINATION	118
9	****	
10		
11	EXHIBITS:	
12	Exhibit 147 received	12
13	The videotape deposition of Bradley Wolff played.	24
14	The videotape deposition of Alvin Wong played. Exhibit 291 received	25 40
	Whereupon the deposition of Skye Drynan was	66
15	read into the record as follows: Exhibits 22 & 36 received	95
16	The videotape deposition of Darcy Kopcho played.	96
17	Exhibit 11 received	96
18	****	
19		
20		
21		
22		
23		
24		
25		

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT

1 SANTA ANA, CALIFORNIA; FRIDAY, JANUARY 25, 2019; 8:41 A.M. 2 3 THE CLERK: All rise. 4 (Open court - jury present) 5 THE COURT: All right. Welcome back, folks. The -- let's see. Where are we? With the defense. 6 7 Troy Wilson, Defendant's witness, previously sworn 8 DIRECT EXAMINATION RESUMED 9 BY MS. TOMKOWIAK: 10 Ο. Good morning, Mr. Wilson. 11 A. Good morning. 12 So at the end of yesterday, we were talking about Puma's Ο. 13 July 22nd, 2014, conference call. Do you recall that? 14 Α. I do, yes. 15 Q. Was Mr. Auerbach authorized to speak on the company's 16 behalf on that call? A. He was, yes. 17 18 And do you understand that the plaintiff in this case is Ο. 19 suing Mr. Auerbach and Puma for certain statements regarding 20 the ExteNET trial data that Mr. Auerbach made on that call? 21 Yes, I understand that to be the case. Α. 22 Q. Did you listen to that conference call on July 22nd, 23 2014? 24 I didn't listen to it at the time. I read the Α. 25 transcript sometime later.

1 And have you compared the statements made by Q. Mr. Auerbach on that call with the ExteNET trial data? 2 3 MR. FORGE: Your Honor, I'm going to object to even this question because it's -- under 401 and 403. 4 5 THE COURT: Not to this. You're a little early. Overruled. 6 7 MS. TOMKOWIAK: Would you like the question read 8 back? 9 THE WITNESS: Could you repeat the question, 10 please? BY MS. TOMKOWIAK: 11 12 Have you compared the statements made on that July 22nd, Ο. 13 2014, conference call with the ExteNET trial date? 14 MR. FORGE: Objection. Vague as to time. 15 THE COURT: Sustained. 16 BY MS. TOMKOWIAK: Mr. Wilson, since Puma has been sued in this matter, 17 Ο. 18 have you compared the statements made on that July 22nd, 19 2014, conference call with --20 MR. FORGE: Objection. Vague as to time, Your 21 Honor. 22 THE COURT: Sustained. Since is a long time. 23 BY MS. TOMKOWIAK: 24 Q. Mr. Wilson, have you compared the statements made on 25 that July 22nd, 2014, conference call with the ExteNET trial

1	data?
2	MR. FORGE: Objection.
3	THE COURT: How does that get around the vagueness
4	for time? Sustained.
5	BY MS. TOMKOWIAK:
6	Q. Mr. Wilson
7	THE COURT: Hold on. Just so we're on the same
8	wavelength, he said vague for time. You try it again. You
9	said since the meeting. I said that's way too long a time.
10	Then you dropped every reference to time. I'm not
11	understanding just so we're on the same wavelength.
12	MS. TOMKOWIAK: Sure.
13	THE COURT: The objection is sustained.
14	BY MS. TOMKOWIAK:
15	Q. Mr. Wilson, when did you compare the statements made on
16	the July 22nd, 2014, conference call with the ExteNET trial
17	data?
18	A. So I probably the first time I read the transcript
19	was in preparation for my deposition for this trial, which
20	would have been, I don't know, nine months to a year ago.
21	Then I have reread the transcript several times and
22	I've looked at the ExteNET data several times in that you
23	know, over the past year.
24	Q. And now that you've had a chance to review that
25	transcript and compare the statements made by Mr. Auerbach on

1 that call with the ExteNET trial data, putting your director 2 hat on, were Mr. Auerbach's statements regarding the ExteNET 3 data true? MR. FORGE: Objection, Your Honor, 401, 403, 701, 4 5 702. This is the question for the jury here. And 602. THE COURT: Sustained. 6 BY MS. TOMKOWIAK: 7 8 Mr. Wilson, you testified yesterday that one of your Ο. duties as a director of Puma is to ensure that Puma has the 9 10 right leadership in place. Do you recall that testimony? 11 Α. I do, yes. 12 And now that you've had a chance to review the Ο. 13 transcript from the July 22nd conference call, again putting 14 your director hat on, is there any question in your mind 15 whether Puma has the right leadership in place? 16 MR. FORGE: Objection, Your Honor. Same 17 objections, 401, 403, 701, 702. 18 There's no relevance to whether they presently have 19 the right person in place. Ms. Tomkowiak is just trying to 20 get in through the back door what she couldn't get in through 21 the front. 22 THE COURT: Overruled on that question. 23 You may answer, sir. 24 THE WITNESS: Thank you. 25 I had no question at the time. I have no question

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT

1 now that we have the right leadership in place for Puma. BY MS. TOMKOWIAK: 2 3 Why not? Q. Because when I read the --4 Α. 5 MR. FORGE: Objection, Your Honor. This is the --THE COURT: I didn't actually hear the end of that 6 7 last answer. Just a moment. 8 Overruled. But I -- please interrupt him if I 9 don't. It's a narrative. Feel free to interrupt. 10 MR. FORGE: Your Honor, I was just going to say he 11 started -- I don't know if it showed up in the transcript, 12 but he started to say when I read. 13 So what he was trying to do is get into a 14 comparison as some sort of basis for his view, and that's why 15 I stood up when I did. 16 THE COURT: Okay. You started your answer, because 17 when I read the... What comes after the word the? 18 THE WITNESS: Transcript. 19 THE COURT: Sustained. 20 Thank you. I didn't catch that last portion. 21 That will be sustained. 22 BY MS. TOMKOWIAK: 23 Why do you believe Puma has the right leadership in Q. 24 place? 25 THE COURT: Sustained. I think you already asked

1	that, and he started, because when I read the transcript.
2	BY MS. TOMKOWIAK:
З	Q. Mr. Wilson, plaintiffs' attorneys have asked several
4	questions during this trial about whether certain
5	shareholders expressed concerns about Mr. Auerbach after
6	ASCO.
7	How, if at all, does that impact your view as a
8	director about whether Puma has the right leadership in
9	place?
10	A. It doesn't affect my view. You know, it's a it's a
11	difficult job to be the CEO of a public company. The stock
12	goes up one day and, you know, people want to carry you out
13	on their shoulders.
14	MR. FORGE: Your Honor
15	THE COURT: Calls for a narrative. Sustained
16	among other things.
17	BY MS. TOMKOWIAK:
18	Q. Mr. Wilson, do you recall when you first learned of the
19	DFS rates and the diarrhea rates in the ExteNET trial?
20	A. It would've been in the spring of 2015.
21	Q. And who showed you that data?
22	A. Mr. Auerbach.
23	Q. Did you ask to see that data sooner?
24	A. I did not.
25	Q. Why not?

1	A. Typically, you know, it takes time for data to be ready
2	and to be prepared. We knew that the data would be presented
3	at the appropriate time, and you want to see it presented in
4	context.
5	Q. When you saw that data, what was your reaction?
6	MR. FORGE: Objection, Your Honor.
7	THE COURT: Sustained.
8	BY MS. TOMKOWIAK:
9	Q. Mr. Wilson, how do biopharmaceutical companies raise
10	money?
11	A. They either sell stock in the company or they'll
12	sometimes borrow money. They'll raise debt.
13	Q. When do biopharmaceutical companies raise money?
14	A. There's an old joke that they raise money whenever they
15	can.
16	Q. Is it common to raise money between the release of
17	topline results and the release of the full results at a
18	medical conference?
19	MR. FORGE: Your Honor, I'm trying not to object
20	too much, but this is getting into expert testimony. So 701
21	and 702.
22	THE COURT: First of all, let me say it's perfectly
23	for you to be objecting. I'll make that statement. I'm
24	anxious to hear your objections. If I overrule your
25	objections, don't be shy about making further objections.

1	This objection is overruled.
2	THE WITNESS: It's not uncommon for companies to
3	raise money between the release of topline data and the
4	ultimate publication of the data in a medical conference, no.
5	BY MS. TOMKOWIAK:
6	Q. I want to turn to Puma's January 2015 stock offering.
7	A. Okay.
8	Q. Now, before that offering what, if anything, had Puma
9	disclosed publicly regarding the anticipated timing for the
10	filing of its NDA for neratinib?
11	A. In December 2014, Puma released that the time that they
12	anticipated submitting a new drug application would be
13	delayed because the FDA requested additional preclinical
14	data.
15	In particular, the FDA wanted to see you know,
16	there's a fairly standard mouse carcinogenicity study, and
17	the FDA wanted to see that data before they would accept the
18	application for the drug. So Puma issued a press release
19	that said they thought the ultimate NDA or the new drug
20	application would be delayed by about a year.
21	Q. Did Puma's board of directors approve the January 2015
22	stock offering?
23	A. We did, yes.
24	Q. If you could turn to what's marked as Exhibit 147 in
25	your binder.

1	MS. TOMKOWIAK: Your Honor, this exhibit is not in
2	evidence. I believe there are no objections. I also believe
3	it was on our original trial exhibit list, and I would move
4	it into evidence.
5	THE COURT: Any objection to 147?
6	MR. FORGE: No, Your Honor.
7	THE COURT: Thank you. 147 is admitted on
8	January 25.
9	(Exhibit 147 received.)
10	BY MS. TOMKOWIAK:
11	Q. Mr. Wilson, Exhibit 147 is the minutes of a meeting of
12	Puma's board of directors dated January 21st, 2015. Do you
13	see your name here?
14	A. I do, yes.
15	Q. And I see above your name Mr. Auerbach's name. We know
16	who that is. And who is Mr. Thomas Malley?
17	A. Mr. Malley and Mr. Moyes were two of the other members
18	of the board of directors.
19	Q. And in the third paragraph here, if we could turn to
20	that, it says here: Following discussion upon motion duly
21	made, seconded, and unanimously approved, the members of the
22	board unanimously approved the resolution set forth on
23	Exhibit A hereto.
24	MS. TOMKOWIAK: Then if we could go to Exhibit A.
25	BY MS. TOMKOWIAK:

1	
1	Q. Does this exhibit accurately reflect the Puma board of
2	directors' unanimous approval of the January 2015 stock
3	offering?
4	A. I believe it does, yes.
5	Q. How did Puma use the money that it raised in the
6	January 2015 stock offering?
7	A. The company, with all of the moneys that the company has
8	raised, it invested in not only the research and development
9	activities to push the ExteNET trial forward, but, you know,
10	there are multiple trials under way for neratinib. Then
11	that's all of research and development. There's also all the
12	supporting people that support the company.
13	And so the company used the proceeds from this
14	offering in January 2015 to continue to fund the advancement
15	of neratinib toward what we hoped would be to get it across
16	the goal line with the FDA.
17	Q. Who participated in that offering?
18	A. It was a mix of what we call institutional investors and
19	retail investors. It was the vast majority were
20	institutional investors. These are large, you know, pension
21	funds. You would know their names. They manage hundreds of
22	billions of dollars in assets.
23	And there's a long list of investors that
24	participate, but the vast majority are institutions.
25	Q. Had Puma conducted stock offerings prior to January of

1	2015?
2	A. Yes, it had. Several.
3	Q. Has Puma conducted stock offerings since January 2015?
4	A. It has, yes.
5	Q. Are you aware that Puma raised money in October of 2016?
6	A. We did. That's correct.
7	Q. How did Puma use the money that it raised in the 2016
8	offering?
9	A. The same as before. We Puma used all of the funds
10	raised in that offering to try to advance neratinib toward,
11	you know, what the company hoped would meet NDA approval.
12	Q. Prior to joining the board, had you made any investments
13	in Puma?
14	A. I had. I purchased 900 shares for my little girls who
15	were both under the age of five at the time.
16	Q. Have your daughters sold any of those shares?
17	A. They haven't. They're still Puma stockholders.
18	MS. TOMKOWIAK: No further questions.
19	THE COURT: All right. Thank you.
20	Mr. Forge.
21	CROSS-EXAMINATION
22	BY MR. FORGE:
23	Q. Good morning, Mr. Wilson.
24	A. Good morning.
25	Q. Mr. Wilson, you're represented by the same lawyers

ĺ	
1	representing the defendants in this case, right?
2	A. Are you asking as a member of the board?
3	Q. I'm asking as an individual.
4	A. As an individual as a member of the board of
5	directors, the board of directors of Puma is represented by
6	Latham & Watkins, correct.
7	Q. You are also individually represented by Latham &
8	Watkins, right?
9	A. That's a good question. I actually don't know the
10	answer to that question.
11	Q. Okay. Well, you met with them for several hours so they
12	could help prepare you for your deposition last year, right?
13	A. That's correct.
14	Q. Okay. Nobody from the plaintiffs' side was at that
15	meeting, right?
16	A. I don't believe I've met with Latham & Watkins prior to
17	this trial.
18	Q. Okay. Now, is that
19	A. I'm not sure I understand your question.
20	Q. Sure. Is it your testimony that you did not meet with
21	lawyers from Latham & Watkins for several hours prior to your
22	deposition last year in April?
23	A. Oh, no, no. Now I understand what you're asking. No.
24	We met to prepare for the deposition, yes. That's correct.
25	Q. For several hours, right?

1	A. It would've been for several hours, yes.
2	Q. Did you meet with them for any more hours to prepare for
3	this testimony?
4	A. I have met with the attorneys from Latham & Watkins off
5	and on over the past year, yes.
6	Q. So for several more hours to prepare your testimony for
7	today, right?
8	A. That would yeah. That's a fair statement.
9	Q. In fact, at times you've even rehearsed your testimony
10	with them, right?
11	MS. TOMKOWIAK: Objection, Your Honor.
12	THE COURT: Give me a try vague.
13	MS. TOMKOWIAK: Vague, 403.
14	THE COURT: Sustained.
15	BY MR. FORGE:
16	Q. You've practiced questions and answers with the defense
17	lawyers prior to testifying, right?
18	MS. TOMKOWIAK: Objection, Your Honor.
19	THE COURT: Sustained as phrased.
20	BY MR. FORGE:
21	Q. Mr. Wilson, how much did you pay for those 900 shares of
22	Puma stock?
23	A. I'll give you a guess. It would have been \$40 a share
24	maybe.
25	Q. And do you have additional options in Puma?

1	A. I do. My options are all under water, yes.
2	Q. Okay. How many thousands of options do you have?
3	A. So when I initially joined the board, I received a grant
4	of 40,000 options. Then in the every year typically at the
5	end of the year, we would receive an additional grant of
6	10,000. So in all total, it's maybe 80,000 options.
7	Q. Now, if I get the chronology right, you did not know the
8	results of the ExteNET study prior to July 22nd, 2014; did
9	you?
10	A. I did not, no.
11	Q. Now, you mentioned you were on your honeymoon in Bora
12	Bora. At the time when you left for that trip in July of
13	2014, you had no idea Puma was going to have an analyst call
14	on July 22nd; did you?
15	A. I did not know that, no.
16	Q. Still married?
17	A. I am.
18	Q. All right.
19	A. Yes.
20	Q. Since you're still married, I assume you didn't
21	interrupt your honeymoon and listen to that call on
22	July 22nd; is that fair to say?
23	A. That's fair to say, yes.
24	Q. All right. Now, is it true, Mr. Wilson, that
25	Mr. Auerbach has such a good memory that he can remember what

1	he had for dinner with someone six years ago and the exact
2	place they were sitting in a hotel room together?
3	A. I have said that, yes.
4	Q. You've said it under oath, right?
5	A. I've said it under oath, yes.
6	Q. And it was honest when you said it, right?
7	A. It was. Absolutely.
8	Q. Now, Mr. Wilson, the jury in this case has seen
9	MS. TOMKOWIAK: Your Honor, what exhibit is this?
10	MR. FORGE: I'll say it for the record.
11	BY MR. FORGE:
12	Q. Mr. Wilson, the jury in this case has
13	THE COURT: Hold on. Is this in evidence?
14	MR. FORGE: Yes, it is, Your Honor. I was going to
15	state for the record what it is.
16	THE COURT: I'll take your word for it, then.
17	BY MR. FORGE:
18	Q. The jury in this case has heard testimony about these
19	altered FDA minutes that are an attachment to Exhibit 491.
20	Mr. Wilson, please don't take any negative
21	implications from this, but did you alter official FDA
22	minutes when you were associated with Puma?
23	MS. TOMKOWIAK: Objection, Your Honor. Vague.
24	THE COURT: Overruled.
25	You may answer.

Г

1 THE WITNESS: I did not, no. BY MR. FORGE: 2 3 Now, when Mr. Auerbach was asked that question several Ο. 4 times throughout this trial, he stated that, quote, I have no 5 recollection, close quotes, of altering the minutes. And, 6 quote, I have no recollection, close quotes, of asking anyone 7 else to change the minutes. 8 Can you, Mr. Wilson, remember what you had for 9 dinner with someone six years ago and the exact place they 10 were sitting in a hotel room when they were with you? 11 Depends on who it was. My girlfriend at the time who is Α. 12 now my wife, yes, absolutely. 13 But generally speaking, no? Ο. 14 Generally speaking, no. Α. 15 Fair to say Mr. Auerbach has a better memory than you Ο. 16 do? Mr. Auerbach has a good memory, yes, a very good memory, 17 Α. 18 particularly for people and places, yes. 19 MR. FORGE: Thank you. 20 Nothing further, Your Honor. 21 MS. TOMKOWIAK: Just one follow-up question. 22 REDIRECT EXAMINATION 23 BY MS. TOMKOWIAK: 24 Just to be clear, have you ever exercised a single Ο. 25 option of your Puma stock?

1 Α. I have not. 2 MS. TOMKOWIAK: That's all, Your Honor. 3 THE COURT: All right. Thank you. 4 You may step down, sir. So the defense will call its next witness. 5 I assume there's no rebuttal to that single 6 7 question? 8 MR. FORGE: There's nothing further. I'm just 9 going to retrieve the binder. 10 THE COURT: Very well. 11 MR. CLUBOK: Your Honor, we have a deposition video 12 to play for the jury. The total video adds up to 13 23.5 minutes. The parties have agreed on the allocation of 14 time. The video is of Mr. Bradley Wolff, 10.5 minutes to be allocated to defendants, 12.7 minutes -- -15 16 THE COURT: Hold on. Start again. Total 17 allocation to the defendants for this? 18 MR. CLUBOK: 10.5 minutes. 19 THE COURT: And? 20 MR. CLUBOK: 12.7 minutes will be allocated to the 21 plaintiffs. 22 THE COURT: Okay. Very well. 23 MR. CLUBOK: And at this time we would like to play 24 the deposition video of Mr. Bradley Wolff. 25 MR. COUGHLIN: Your Honor --

1 THE COURT: Yes? 2 MR. COUGHLIN: We had three objections to this depo. We worked to get the other 25 resolved. And so --3 4 THE COURT: Now, just a moment. I've read numerous 5 transcripts. Did I read this transcript? MR. COUGHLIN: Not so far. 6 7 THE COURT: Okay. How would you like to proceed, 8 then? 9 MR. COUGHLIN: We asked them to switch the order by 10 one so you could look at the three objections. They want to 11 go forward with Mr. Wolff. We understand that. It's their 12 case. But we need these three -- they're literally three 13 paragraphs. 14 THE COURT: Okay. I'm wondering why I didn't get it earlier. Let me take a look at what's at stake here. 15 16 Bring it forward. 17 MR. COUGHLIN: Red tabs -- Your Honor, the red tabs 18 are if the objection is overruled. Then the purple tabs --19 THE COURT: That's okay. 20 MR. COUGHLIN: Then the purple tabs --21 MR. FORGE: If it's sustained. 22 MR. COUGHLIN: Then the purple tabs which are two, 23 come in. 24 THE COURT: I don't know what that means, but I'll 25 look at the red tabs.

(Court reviewing deposition).
THE COURT: I think we can handle them. They're
brief. Let's just take a look at page 194. Objection,
hearsay.
Response?
MR. CLUBOK: Response is it is not being offered
for the truth, and we're happy to have a limiting
instruction. It is being offered to show the reason why the
underwriters went on to authorize the filing without making
any changes. And it is
THE COURT: You've established your point.
Any response to admitted with a limiting
instruction?
MR. FORGE: Your Honor, so long as the limiting
instruction explains to the jury that Mr. Wolff's testimony
about what Mr. Hicks saw is not being offered for the truth
of the matter asserted, then we're fine with it.
THE COURT: Yes. You stated that very well.
It keeps coming up, folks. You know, a lot of this
trial is about information and people knowing information or
not knowing information. So we're often making this limiting
instruction. That means that we're not offering to prove
that it was true. We're offering to prove that it was in his
mind that he told it that he was told that or some other
thing. I think you said it more clearly than I.

1 But it's not offered to prove the core truth of the 2 fact. It's just offered to prove that's what he thought at 3 that time or that's what he was told at that time. That's a 4 big difference. 5 MR. FORGE: With that, Your Honor, I think we're fine with the defendants just playing. 6 7 MR. CLUBOK: Okay. With the other objection as 8 well? 9 MR. FORGE: Yes, Your Honor. They're all related. 10 THE COURT: Well, those were fair objections. Ι 11 chided you a bit as to why I'm getting there. It looks like 12 you worked on a lot of objections to be resolved. These are 13 the remaining one. 14 Now, what do I do with the purple? 15 MR. FORGE: Nothing, Your Honor. The purple were 16 just in the event that the red ones came out. So we're all 17 good to hit play. 18 THE COURT: Okay. So we're ready to roll on that. 19 I'll return this to anyone who likes it. After we 20 spent some time yesterday after hours going over a 21 transcript, I heard there was some question about what the 22 yellow stickies were. 23 The yellow stickies were me putting them at the 24 bottom of the transcript when I sustained an objection. I 25 don't know if that got to you, but there we have it.

1 Okay. With that, we are ready to play. 2 MR. CLUBOK: Thank you. 3 Please, we will play now the video deposition of Bradley Wolff. 4 5 (The videotape deposition of Bradley Wolff played.) 6 MS. SMITH: Your Honor, just for the record, to 7 make clear, that video included designations both by defendants and by plaintiffs. 8 9 We jointly move Exhibit 567 into admission. 10 THE COURT: Is there any objection to 567? Hearing 11 none --12 MR. FORGE: No, Your Honor. But I would just add 13 that --14 THE COURT: Just a moment. Go ahead and add. 15 MR. FORGE: No objection. Additionally, Exhibit 16 559. 17 THE COURT: Let's take it a step at a time. It's 18 hard for me to respond to long lists, and I don't know how 19 long the list is going to be. So 567 actually was admitted 20 on January 17th. Next. 21 MR. FORGE: 559. 22 THE COURT: 559 I don't have on my list. Is there 23 any objection to it being admitted? MR. CLUBOK: Your Honor, it's not on our list 24 25 either, so we object until we see it.

1 MR. FORGE: We'll get that clarified, Your Honor. 2 I'm sorry. I thought it was on the list. 3 THE COURT: We get different versions of the list. 4 When I say list, I mean --MR. FORGE: Your Honor --5 6 THE COURT: Just a moment. Hold on. I was 7 speaking. When I say list, I mean this list. Sometimes when 8 you say list, you mean this list with all the half a dozen 9 backups. 10 Okay. Who wanted to say something? 11 MR. FORGE: I wanted to say I was confused. Ι 12 apologize, and there are no other exhibits. 13 THE COURT: Okay. All right. Very good. 14 Then the defense will call its next witness. 15 MS. SMITH: Thank you, Your Honor. 16 The next witness is Alvin Wong. This will be a 17 deposition video which contains designations by both plaintiffs and defendants. The parties agree that 18 19 11.5 minutes of the total time will be allocated to 20 defendants, and six minutes will be allocated to plaintiffs. 21 THE COURT: Very well. 22 MS. SMITH: And just so the Court is aware, there 23 were two days of deposition testimony, so there will be two 24 clips. 25 (The videotape deposition of Alvin Wong played.)

1 THE COURT: While it plays, someone spell his last 2 name. 3 MR. FORGE: W-o-n-q. 4 THE COURT: W-o-n-g. So I'm not seeing that on the 5 plaintiffs' -- on the defense list. Is it on the plaintiffs' 6 list? 7 MR. FORGE: The witness list, Your Honor? 8 THE COURT: Yeah. So this is Alvin Wong? 9 MR. FORGE: Yes, sir. 10 THE COURT: Okay. And he's on the plaintiffs' 11 list? 12 MR. FORGE: Yes, sir. 13 THE COURT: Okay. Continue. 14 (Playing of videotaped deposition resumed) 15 THE COURT: That went a little longer than the 16 timing allocations you provided to me, but call your next 17 witness. 18 MS. SMITH: Thank you, Your Honor. I just wanted 19 to note for the record that there are no exhibits to be moved 20 in, and the parties will lodge copies of the deposition 21 transcript. 22 THE COURT: Very well. 23 MS. SMITH: Your Honor, the defense calls 24 Judy Segal. 25 THE COURT: Very well.

1 Please stand for a moment. 2 Judy Bebchuk Segal, Defendant's witness, sworn 3 THE CLERK: Please state your full name and spell it for the record. 4 5 THE WITNESS: Judith Bebchuk Segal, J-u-d-i-t-h, 6 B-e-b-c-h-u-k, S-e-g-a-l. 7 THE COURT: Proceed. 8 MS. MURPHY: Good morning, Your Honor. Kristin 9 Murphy of Latham & Watkins on behalf of Puma and 10 Mr. Auerbach. 11 THE COURT: Welcome, Ms. Murphy. 12 DIRECT EXAMINATION 13 BY MS. MURPHY: 14 Q. Good morning, Dr. Segal. Thank you for being here 15 today. 16 Would you introduce yourself to the jury, please. 17 Α. My name is Judith Bebchuk Segal. I'm a director of 18 biostatistics at Puma Biotechnology. 19 Q. If you could get a little closer to the microphone so 20 the court reporter can hear you. Thanks. 21 All right. And just repeat your title for the 22 record. I'm not sure everyone heard. 23 Α. Director of biostatistics at Puma Biotechnology. 24 Ο. Thank you. So can you tell the jury a little bit about 25 your educational background?

1 I did my undergraduate degree in mathematics and Α. 2 statistics and a business degree in actuarial math at the 3 University of Manitoba in Winnipeg, Canada. I did my 4 master's in biostatistics at the University of North Carolina 5 in Chapel Hill and my doctorate in biostatistics at the Harvard School of Public Health in Boston. 6 7 Ο. And how did you come to work at Puma? After grad school I started a job at the University of 8 Α. 9 Minnesota where I was working clinical trials, and --10 THE COURT: Hold on. Just slow down a bit. Okay? We need to record it all. We have a lot of efficient 11 12 speakers in this trial by now. 13 All right. Go ahead. 14 THE WITNESS: -- where I started working in 15 clinical trials. And I really enjoy clinical trials, being 16 in that field. When I moved to Southern California, I had a 17 job with Kaiser Permanente, and it was my first time not 18 working in clinical trials. 19 So when the job at Puma opened up, I was very 20 excited to get back into the world of clinical trials. 21 BY MS. MURPHY: 22 Ο. And how long have you been at Puma? 23 Since March of 2014. Α. 24 Ο. And where do you currently live? 25 I live in Minneapolis, Minnesota. Α.

1	Q. But you still work out here for Puma?
2	A. I do. My husband got a job in Minnesota in the spring
3	of 2016, and Puma allowed me to become a remote employee.
4	Q. All right. So you said that you're currently the
5	director of biostatistics. What was your title during the
6	2014-2015 time frame?
7	A. When I was hired, I was hired as associate director of
8	biostatistics.
9	Q. And what were your responsibilities in that role?
10	A. I was hired to work with Claire Sherman on the efficacy
11	analysis for the ExteNET study, and then to work on the
12	safety analysis.
13	Q. All right. So let's first talk about the efficacy
14	analysis. When did you receive the topline efficacy results
15	for the ExteNET trial?
16	A. I received them in mid-July of 2014.
17	Q. Do you recall what your reaction to that data was?
18	A. It was very positive. We were very excited about the
19	results of the study, and we were looking forward to working
20	with the regulatory agency in terms of getting approval.
21	Q. I'd like to show you Exhibit 123, which is already in
22	evidence. This is an e-mail from Alvin Wong dated July 17th,
23	2014. The subject is topline efficacy results. And if you
24	see, there's an attachment there that says efficacy summary
25	study 3004, 17 July 2014.

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT

1	Do you recognize that attachment?
2	A. I do. I was involved with doing the programming to
3	create the tables and figures for the attachment, as well as
4	reviewing the draft document prior to sending it to the
5	executives.
6	Q. All right. So if you could turn with me to page 10 of
7	the attachment.
8	A. (Witness complies.)
9	MS. MURPHY: If you could blow that up a bit on the
10	screen. Thank you.
11	BY MS. MURPHY:
12	Q. Is this one of the images that you had a role in
13	programming?
14	A. Yes, it is.
15	Q. Can you explain for the jury they've heard a bit
16	about these curves, but if you could just tell them in your
17	own words what this graph means.
18	A. Sure. It's the Kaplan-Meier or survival curve for the
19	primary end point of the ExteNET study which was disease-free
20	survival. It depicts the probability of being disease-free
21	on the Y axis, over time, which is on the X axis.
22	MS. MURPHY: And at the very bottom of the image
23	there, there are two rows of numbers and there's a heading
24	that says number at risk. If we could blow that up?
25	BY MS. MURPHY:

31

1 Can you explain what these numbers represent? Q. 2 They're what we call in statistical terms the Α. Sure. risk set. Really what it is, it's the number of subjects 3 4 that are still on study at the given times and are used to 5 calculate the Kaplan-Meier curves. If you see at the far left-hand side the numbers 6 7 1409 and 1412, those are the number of subjects that started 8 the trial in each of the two treatment groups, the neratinib 9 arm and the placebo arm. 10 Over time the risk set decreases as subjects either

11 have a disease recurrence, which is your primary end point, 12 or they've had their last physical exam on the study and have 13 no more data and follow-up. So that's why you see the 14 numbers decreasing over time.

Q. Thank you. And then if you look at the far right of the curve, the image itself, the top arm of the curve, the red line appears to sort of dip down at the very end. Can you explain why that is?

19 A. Sure. Inherently the tail end of a Kaplan-Meier curve 20 always has more variability in them than earlier parts of the 21 curve. You can see with this study between month 20 and 22 month 25, the numbers in the risk set in those last two rows 23 along the bottom decrease substantially.

24That's because the subject -- it was a two-year25follow-up for this particular analysis. The data was

1 truncated to two years. And so when the subjects came in for 2 that last two-year visit at month 24, they had a window 3 around it so they could come in anytime between 23 and 4 25 months. They would be removed from the risk set after 5 that last final exam.

6 That the creates more variability, and you can 7 imagine that one event in 350 subjects is going to have more 8 of an effect on the curve than one event in 409 subjects. 9 So as the statistician who programmed these curves, how 0. 10 would you interpret the tail end of the curve there? 11 I would actually disregard the little blips at the end Α. 12 due to this variability. So then I would look at the curves 13 in more totality and say that they are maintaining to 14 separate.

Q. All right. I'd like to show you Exhibit 103 which is already in evidence. It's a transcript of Puma's July 22nd, 2014, conference call. Are you familiar with this transcript?

19 A. Yes, I am.

Q. I'd like to direct you to page 7, please. There is a request asked by Howard Liang, an analyst from Leerink. He says: I assume you have seen the curves for the two arms. Can you give us a sense as to whether the separation is widening over time, or how would you describe the curve separation?

1 And then Mr. Auerbach responds and he explains: So 2 the trial started in April of 2009, and this data cut is as 3 of October 2013. So that's essentially the last patient was 4 followed for two years. So from those numbers you can see we 5 have a lot of patients who have been in for much more than 6 the two-year cutoff. If we look at curves going out beyond 7 that, it looks like the curves are continuing to separate. 8 Do you have an understanding of whether it is true 9 that Puma had data for beyond two years at this point? 10 MR. GRONBORG: Objection. Foundation, Your Honor. 11 There certainly is no explanation of what the familiarity is 12 with the transcript, how that familiarity came about. 13 THE COURT: Sustained for now. 14 BY MS. MURPHY: 15 So what are you --have you reviewed this transcript Ο. 16 before? Yes, I have. 17 Α. 18 And in your role at Puma, did you have an understanding Ο. 19 of what data was available to Puma as of July 22nd, 2014? 20 Α. Yes, I did. 21 MR. GRONBORG: Again, vague as to when the 22 transcript was reviewed or what it has to do with the 23 questions regarding the curves. 24 THE COURT: Okay. Often people seem to be 25 objecting to the line of questioning. I look at each

1	specific question as it comes in.
2	The objection to that question is overruled.
3	Next question.
4	BY MS. MURPHY:
5	Q. Okay. Do you talk about thought so. Sorry.
6	THE COURT: You got an answer to the last question,
7	which was: And in your role at Puma, did you have an
8	understanding of what data was available to Puma as of July
9	22nd, 2014? She said: Yes, I did. She says she has an
10	understanding. I still might wonder how she got that
11	understanding, but she has an understanding.
12	BY MS. MURPHY:
13	Q. So what is that understanding based on?
14	A. When we received the data snapshot on July 7th, it
15	included all the data for all the subjects while they were on
16	study. And since there were subjects that were randomized
17	earlier on in 2009 and 2010, we have beyond two years of data
18	for those subjects in that snapshot.
19	Q. And do you know whether Puma maintains that snapshot
20	today?
21	A. Yeah. At the time of the topline analysis, we archived
22	that snapshot so we would always have it for future use if it
23	was needed.
24	Q. Have you needed to access that data since that time?
25	A. Yes, I did, in

MR. GRONBORG: Objection, Your Honor. Relevance 1 2 for the post-class period use of the data. 3 MS. MURPHY: Be happy to respond. 4 THE COURT: Just a moment. I'm going to sustain 5 for vagueness on what, quote, that data, end quote, is. In other words, have you needed access to that data? Does that 6 7 mean the data during relevant time periods, or is that a more 8 general reference to this type of data? 9 MS. MURPHY: I'll rephrase. 10 BY MS. MURPHY: 11 Dr. Segal, have you since July of 2014 gone back to Ο. 12 access that July 7th, 2014, snapshot as it existed at that 13 time? 14 MR. GRONBORG: Vague as to time. 15 THE COURT: Overruled. 16 THE WITNESS: Yes, I have. In November and December of 2017 we retrieved the data --17 18 MR. GRONBORG: Objection, Your Honor, to the extent 19 she's testifying about what was done in November and December 20 of 2017. 21 THE COURT: You may finish your answer. 22 The objection is overruled. 23 THE WITNESS: -- in order to transfer that data to 24 our attorneys. 25 THE COURT: In order to what?

1 THE WITNESS: Transfer the data. 2 THE COURT: To what? 3 THE WITNESS: To the attorneys. 4 BY MS. MURPHY: 5 What exactly --Ο. 6 THE COURT: Hold on just a moment. I'm sorry. 7 MS. MURPHY: Okay. 8 THE COURT: Okay. Overruled. 9 You can continue. 10 MS. MURPHY: Thank you, Your Honor. 11 BY MS. MURPHY: 12 What exactly did you do with that July 7th snapshot in Ο. 13 order to provide it to counsel? 14 We retrieved it from the server and we worked with Α. 15 counsel's tech people in terms of transferring it. 16 All right. So from the materials that you've identified Q. 17 and collected and provided to counsel, would it have been 18 possible to generate Kaplan-Meier curves based on the data 19 available to Puma in July 2014, including beyond the two 20 years? 21 Α. Yes, it would. 22 MR. GRONBORG: Objection, Your Honor. Lacks 23 foundation. Calls for speculation. Again refers to a period 24 long after the class period. 25 MS. MURPHY: I'd be happy to respond.

1	THE COURT: The objection to that specific question	
2	is overruled.	
3	THE WITNESS: Yes, it would've been possible, using	
4	the data that was transferred, to create the Kaplan-Meier	
5	curves.	
6	BY MS. MURPHY:	
7	Q. Including beyond two years?	
8	A. Yes.	
9	Q. Let's go ahead and switch gears to safety data. Do you	
10	recall when you received the topline safety tables for the	
11	ExteNET trial?	
12	A. We received those in mid-July of 2014.	
13	Q. All right. I'd like you to please take a look at	
14	Exhibit 124 which is already in evidence. This is an e-mail	
15	from Alvin Wong, again dated July 18th, 2014. Subject is	
16	topline analysis 3004. So first I'd like to take a quick	
17	do you recognize this e-mail?	
18	A. Yes, I do.	
19	Q. Can you explain what it is?	
20	A. Alvin is sending around the executive summary of the	
21	safety as well as the tables that we received from Rho, which	
22	is a contract research organization that was hired to do the	
23	safety analysis.	
24	Q. All right.	
25	MS. MURPHY: If we could just take a quick look at	

1	slide five, please, of the attachment, the slide that reads
2	most frequent AEs.
3	BY MS. MURPHY:
4	Q. You'll see the diarrhea rate listed there of
5	39.9 percent. Do you recall whether there were any concerns
6	form you and other team members about the rate of grade-three
7	diarrhea at the time?
8	THE COURT: Repeat the question. At the end you
9	blurred the words, at least for me.
10	BY MS. MURPHY:
11	Q. Do you recall any concerns at the time that you received
12	this e-mail regarding the rates of grade-three diarrhea in
13	the trial?
14	THE COURT: Hold on the rate for stage-three
15	diarrhea at the trial?
16	MS. MURPHY: Grade-three diarrhea.
17	THE COURT: Okay. You may answer.
18	THE WITNESS: No. There were no concerns regarding
19	this as there was no diarrhea prophylaxis specified in the
20	study. And we know from other studies that when you do use
21	prophylaxis, you can reduce the rates of the grade-three
22	diarrhea.
23	BY MS. MURPHY:
24	Q. Okay. Let's go back to the e-mail, please. So
25	Mr. Wong's e-mail, he says he's attached the tables as well.

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT

1	They are now validated. Do you have an understanding of what
2	Mr. Wong meant when he said they are now validated?
3	A. My understanding was that they had been validated
4	internally at Rho as they would have their own procedures in
5	terms of how to validate tables or figures or any deliverable
6	prior to sending it to their client as a deliverable.
7	Q. Had the data been statistically validated internally at
8	Puma at this time?
9	A. No, they had not.
10	Q. How do you know that?
11	A. Because I was working on the validation effort, and we
12	hadn't even started until August or September 2014.
13	Q. Could you just briefly explain what that validation
14	process entails?
15	A. Sure. Internally our goal was to program all of the
16	safety tables independently of the work that Rho did to make
17	sure that all of our numbers that we were getting in our
18	tables matched what Rho provided to us and to make sure that
19	all of the definitions and assumptions that are used in the
20	analysis were accurate and how we would like them.
21	Q. When was that process complete?
22	A. The end of January 2015.
23	Q. All right. If I could show you Exhibit 291.
24	MS. MURPHY: This is not in evidence yet, Your
25	Honor. I don't believe there are objections to this. I'd

1 move to admit it. THE COURT: Without objection 291 is admitted. 2 3 (Exhibit 291 received.) 4 MR. GRONBORG: No objection. 5 MS. MURPHY: If you could blow that up a little 6 bit. Thank you. 7 BY MS. MURPHY: This is an e-mail chain between you and Bin Yao and 8 Ο. 9 employees at Rho, I believe. 10 MS. MURPHY: If we could go to the bottom e-mail in 11 the chain and blow up that bottom e-mail. BY MS. MURPHY: 12 13 So this is an e-mail from Adela Pina to you. And who is Ο. 14 Adela? 15 She was the lead statistician for the ExteNET study at Α. 16 Rho. 17 And this e-mail is dated January 30th, 2015, and she Ο. 18 says: I just sent to your Rho mail safety and QOLCSR 19 displays rerun after incorporating validation comments from 20 Puma. And then she lists four zip files there; is that 21 correct? 22 Yes, it is. Α. 23 Can you explain what's going on in this e-mail? Q. 24 Yes. It's common practice that at the end of a Α. 25 validation effort, once all the discrepancies have been

1	rectified, that you will rerun all of the tables and figures	
2	and listings to make sure everything is being run off the	
3	appropriate data with the correct definitions and	
4	assumptions.	
5	Q. And did this include rerunning the topline tables that	
6	were received in July?	
7	A. Yes. It included all the safety tables.	
8	Q. So was this in your view the time at which the safety	
9	validation was complete?	
10	A. Yes.	
11	MS. MURPHY: No further questions.	
12	THE COURT: Cross?	
13	CROSS-EXAMINATION	
14	BY MR. GRONBORG:	
14 15	BY MR. GRONBORG: Q. Good morning, Dr. Segal. You've previously testified	
15	Q. Good morning, Dr. Segal. You've previously testified	
15 16	Q. Good morning, Dr. Segal. You've previously testified under oath twice before this regarding Puma and the ExteNET	
15 16 17	Q. Good morning, Dr. Segal. You've previously testified under oath twice before this regarding Puma and the ExteNET trial; is that right?	
15 16 17 18	Q. Good morning, Dr. Segal. You've previously testified under oath twice before this regarding Puma and the ExteNET trial; is that right? A. Yes, it is.	
15 16 17 18 19	Q. Good morning, Dr. Segal. You've previously testified under oath twice before this regarding Puma and the ExteNET trial; is that right? A. Yes, it is. Q. And you testified again today. Each time were you	
15 16 17 18 19 20	Q. Good morning, Dr. Segal. You've previously testified under oath twice before this regarding Puma and the ExteNET trial; is that right? A. Yes, it is. Q. And you testified again today. Each time were you represented by Puma's lawyers, the defendant's lawyers,	
15 16 17 18 19 20 21	Q. Good morning, Dr. Segal. You've previously testified under oath twice before this regarding Puma and the ExteNET trial; is that right? A. Yes, it is. Q. And you testified again today. Each time were you represented by Puma's lawyers, the defendant's lawyers, Latham & Watkins?	
15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	Q. Good morning, Dr. Segal. You've previously testified under oath twice before this regarding Puma and the ExteNET trial; is that right? A. Yes, it is. Q. And you testified again today. Each time were you represented by Puma's lawyers, the defendant's lawyers, Latham & Watkins? A. Yes.	
15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	Q. Good morning, Dr. Segal. You've previously testified under oath twice before this regarding Puma and the ExteNET trial; is that right? A. Yes, it is. Q. And you testified again today. Each time were you represented by Puma's lawyers, the defendant's lawyers, Latham & Watkins? A. Yes. Q. Okay. Prepared each time for what you were going to	

Γ

1	Q. And have you paid for any of these lawyers to assist
2	you?
3	A. No.
4	Q. You still work at Puma, right?
5	A. Yes, I do.
6	Q. Mr. Auerbach is still your boss?
7	A. Yes, he is.
8	Q. I take it you don't want to displease your boss; do you?
9	A. I try to do the best job I can.
10	Q. Okay. Including today?
11	A. Yes.
12	Q. I want to talk about Exhibit 123 briefly. Do you recall
13	that was the topline efficacy analyses?
14	A. Yes.
15	Q. And you said you had some involvement in putting that
16	together?
17	A. Yes, I did.
18	Q. At any time before July 22nd, 2014, did you tell
19	Mr. Auerbach that any of the information in that efficacy
20	analysis was false?
21	A. No.
22	Q. All right. Did you tell him that any of those topline
23	analyses were incorrectly done?
24	A. Not for the efficacy, no.
25	Q. And are there any Kaplan-Meier curves in that efficacy

Γ

1	analysis that go beyond two years and 28 days?
2	A. No, because the top
3	Q. No is fine.
4	And you talked about data that you had helped
5	transfer in 2017; is that right?
6	A. Yes.
7	Q. And what could possibly be done with that data; is that
8	right?
9	A. Yes.
10	Q. But you yourself, you have no firsthand knowledge of
11	whether there were any Kaplan-Meier curves that were created
12	for beyond the two-year period prior to July 22nd, 2014; is
13	that right?
14	A. I did not create any.
15	Q. And you have no knowledge you didn't see any?
16	A. No.
17	Q. And if I can turn to Exhibit 124, the topline safety
18	tables. This is an e-mail you received; is that right?
19	A. Yes.
20	Q. Did you ever reply to all and say the e-mail was wrong?
21	A. Nope.
22	Q. Do you ever reply to Mr. Auerbach and say the e-mail was
23	wrong?
24	A. No.
25	Q. All right. Did you ever tell Mr. Wong that his e-mail

1	was wrong?
2	A. No.
3	Q. Did you tell him that any of the contents to the
4	attachments were wrong?
5	A. No.
6	Q. Did you ever tell Mr. Auerbach that any of the contents
7	to the attachment were wrong?
8	A. No.
9	MR. GRONBORG: No further questions.
10	THE COURT: Redirect.
11	MS. MURPHY: None, Your Honor.
12	THE COURT: Thank you. You may step down.
13	Defense will call its next witness.
14	(Pause in proceedings)
15	THE COURT: Would you like a break now? Is that
16	what you're saying?
17	Actually, let's take a five-minute or so break and
18	get the next witness ready and be ready to go at 10:20 or so.
19	Thank you for asking. Don't be shy.
20	(Recess from 10:15 to 10:21)
21	(Open court - jury not present)
22	THE COURT: All right. We're back on the record
23	here. I understand there's issues?
24	MR. COUGHLIN: There are, Your Honor. As we had
25	mentioned yesterday, there are a couple of exhibits at issue

1 with the next witness. 2 THE COURT: Okay. Let's describe the issues. We 3 have 295, correct? 4 MR. COUGHLIN: No, no, Your Honor. 5 THE COURT: Exhibit 295? I'm wrong on that? MR. COUGHLIN: It was 985, is the exhibit number. 6 7 THE COURT: Okay. We have Exhibit 985. 8 MR. COUGHLIN: And the exhibit before that that was 9 tied to that was 818. And let me provide a little history of 10 those --11 THE COURT: Let me ask -- again, I want to know 12 what the issues are. Are these the only issues we have to 13 deal with while the jury is waiting? 14 MR. COUGHLIN: Yes. 15 THE COURT: Now, I'm wondering. Gosh, we took 16 20 minutes yesterday and I thought we resolved it. I thought 17 we resolved that I couldn't make a determination until I 18 heard how the documents were prepared from the witness to see 19 if they made the witness a percipient witness or an expert 20 witness. 21 I thought that's where we were going. So are we 22 repeating what we said yesterday, or is there new information 23 that will help me? 24 MR. COUGHLIN: There's a little new information, is 25 that this witness didn't prepare these documents. Another

1 witness did. Janine Lu is the author of the programming. We 2 could not replicate --3 THE COURT: May I ask? Gosh, we spent 20 minutes, 4 15 on this yesterday. Why didn't I hear this yesterday? 5 MR. COUGHLIN: Because I didn't realize -- I 6 thought the new document had been prepared by Bin Yao, and it 7 turns out he did not prepare the programming that had gone 8 into preparing these documents. 9 THE COURT: Okay. So what would you like me to do 10 right now? 11 MR. COUGHLIN: I'd like you to know that he didn't 12 prepare it, so he's not a percipient witness and these 13 documents should not be offered through him. If he starts 14 explaining how somebody else prepared or directed, you know, 15 that really is the subject of expert testimony. The 16 objections are hearsay, 701, 702, plus notice of an expert 17 witness under Rule 26. 18 We didn't get any of that. We couldn't replicate 19 these. And there's an indication that there is information 20 in these that was from 2015, at least the program shows that. 21 So the fact -- the idea that we're going to get in 22 a program that is 15 pages that is attached to his 23 declaration that he submitted to summary judgment --24 THE COURT: Hold on just a moment. The program is 25 15 pages?

1 MR. COUGHLIN: Yes, the program itself. 2 THE COURT: In what code? 3 MR. COUGHLIN: All kinds of codes. SAS codes --4 THE COURT: What --5 MR. COUGHLIN: A computer code. 6 THE COURT: I know, but there's source code and 7 there's other codes. Anyway, it's 15 pages. Got it. 8 Complex. All right. How does that go to 818? Is 818 the 9 previous version of 985 where you objected and --10 MR. COUGHLIN: Yes. 11 THE COURT: Hold on. We've got to really not talk 12 over each other. So 818, the previous exhibit that the 13 defense was putting forth that the defense [sic] raised 14 objections to which led to the production of 985, correct? 15 MR. COUGHLIN: Yes. 16 THE COURT: Ms. Johnson. 17 MS. JOHNSON: Your Honor, Mr. Yao is not here to 18 testify as an expert. There are two issues he will testify 19 on. One of them I would submit should not even be charged to 20 the defense because it is not at issue whether the 21 information has been provided to the plaintiff. There have 22 been suggestions --23 THE COURT: Two issues. One is raw information. Another is a computer program that processes it through 24 25 algorithms or whatever. And just because the actual numbers

1 are available does not mean you get to throw whatever 2 algorithm you come up with to produce a chart. That's not 3 percipient. That's expert.

MS. JOHNSON: There's no dispute that the program was provided to the plaintiffs as well. That's the first --I will talk about the second issue second, if that's acceptable.

8 The first issue is the plaintiffs' counsel has 9 raised, including in front of the jury, a question as to 10 whether they even had the raw data set, the program to 11 evaluate it, and all of the codes they would need to 12 themselves run that program.

We know those have been produced. We know their experts have run them. Their experts have testified that they've used the data. Their experts have submitted Kaplan-Meier curves run with the data.

17 The plaintiffs have argued in opposition to Daubert 18 motions that their experts had access to the data. That, 19 Your Honor, should not even be an issue.

THE COURT: What is that?

20

21 MS. JOHNSON: Whether that, all of that information 22 has been produced to the plaintiff and their experts have 23 been able to use.

24 THE COURT: The -- when was 985 produced to the 25 plaintiff? MS. JOHNSON: 985 was produced a week and a half ago because it was part of the meet-and-confer process where plaintiffs raised an objection to 818. So we provided 985 which, to be responsive to Mr. Coughlin's concern, was in fact created by Bin and his team. It's like, you know, any person who works at a company with a team, they will together work on projects.

8 Mr. Yao is the supervisor. Ms. Lu is one of the 9 programmers. They do this in the ordinary course of their 10 business. This is information that they access regularly and 11 run programs, the same programs that plaintiff and its 12 experts have access to.

On the actual is this expert testimony, I would just say, Your Honor, if all of this data were contained in, let's say, a file cabinet and we provided the file cabinet, the key, and the code for understanding the filing system, that would be the equivalent to saying here's all the data in the database. Here's the key, which is the program, and here's the code for understanding it.

They have all of that. That is what Mr. Yao did. He collected that information. He pushed run to run the program, and out came the curves that are 818, the curves that are 985. I can explain the difference between the two, or the witness can, but their criticism of them are the subject of cross-examination, not foundation or 701.

1 MR. COUGHLIN: Your Honor, it's not so simple as pushing a bottom. They had to create program. Our experts 2 3 estimate --4 THE COURT: Let me stop you right there. When was 5 the program created? 6 MS. JOHNSON: December 2017. We provided it in 7 discovery. 8 THE COURT: If the program was created in 9 December 2017, why isn't 818 correct? 10 MS. JOHNSON: It is January 2018. I'm being 11 corrected, not December 2017. But a year ago. 12 THE COURT: Then why isn't 818 correct? 13 MS. JOHNSON: It is correct. There's a slight 14 difference in the number --15 THE COURT: I said -- there's a slight difference 16 what? 17 MS. JOHNSON: In the number of patients who were 18 included in the run. It's a difference of 19 patients. The 19 curves look the same. The curves have the same numbers. 20 It's exactly identical except for the number of patients in 21 the data set at the very beginning is different by 19 22 patients. 23 Because the data set used at ASCO included those 19 24 patients, the data set that Ms. Sherman was working with in 25 July of 2014 did not include those 19 patients. It is an

1 immaterial difference. But 818 was produced back in 2 January 2018, together with the identification of Mr. Yao. 3 Any suggestion that they did not know this witness 4 or what he was going to testify about cannot withstand 5 We have e-mails saying here are the curves. scrutiny. 6 Here's how they were created. Here are the programs. Here 7 is the code for unlocking them. 8 And Mr. Yao is the custodian of those curves. 9 They've known that for more than a year. Mr. Yao has been on 10 our witness list since the beginning. In direct examination 11 of Mr. Auerbach about Exhibit 818, plaintiffs raised an 12 objection and Mr. Coughlin said --13 THE COURT: I've heard that a lot. You're 14 repeating yourself on that. 15 MS. JOHNSON: I want to -- I just wanted to point 16 out that Mr. Coughlin said Mr. Yao will be here to testify 17 and he can lay the foundation. So any objection that they 18 did not have disclosure of this witness is not supported. 19 THE COURT: When was the program written? 20 MS. JOHNSON: January 2018. 21 THE COURT: So why is the results of a program 22 written in January 2018 -- that's how long? 23 MR. COUGHLIN: I've got 15 pages. 24 THE COURT: I don't know source or object code, but 25 it's 15 pages long. Why is a program written well after the

1 misrepresentations producing a chart well after the actual 2 chart in controversy? How is that relevant? I mean, what 3 does it prove?

MS. JOHNSON: It proves what could have been run in 2014. What was run in 2014 was on a closed system. When Ms. Sherman left the company, the closed system was dismantled.

8 We did provide to plaintiffs the code and the 9 programming from that closed system, but there was a concern 10 that once the closed -- once the data were taken out of the 11 closed system, that program doesn't run anymore. There is a 12 dispute about whether that's true, that you could change some 13 of the code and run the program even though the data were 14 outside of the closed system.

But we ran -- we provided a new program that would run on the data now that it exists on the Y drive of the company's server. That's where it exists today -- same data set, same patients, same everything. But it exists in a different place in Puma. So that's why the revised program was created, was run, and was provided to plaintiffs.

21 THE COURT: All right. Let's move down from 22 30,000 feet to ground level.

What does it prove that a program created well after the relevant time period, producing a graph for the first time well after the relevant time period, what does

1 that prove that's relevant to this case? 2 MS. JOHNSON: What the data showed as of July 2014. 3 THE COURT: But we don't know that that program is 4 the same as the previous program. 5 MS. JOHNSON: The way we know -- and it will be in 6 Mr. Bin Yao's testimony -- is that the program written later 7 produces the same results for the two-year, 28-day analysis, 8 exactly the same. 9 THE COURT: For the what analysis? 10 MS. JOHNSON: The topline analysis, the two-year, 11 28-day analysis. 12 THE COURT: Doesn't that take expert testimony to 13 say this program is producing the same results? I don't 14 think that can be revealed by someone's eyes, nose, ears, 15 feeling, et cetera. That involves some pretty complex 16 technology, trying to recreate a previous program to produce 17 the same number, and we start to get into 403 issues. 18 Just how provable is it -- as well as all the other 19 objections. I just don't think you can do this without it 20 being expert testimony. I'm very uncomfortable -- also, I'm 21 still not pleased that there is not even a tab for 985 in the 22 books that you had plenty of time to prepare, and I kind of 23 sent out a notice in October saying what I expected. 24 So let me give a negative twist on it, and then you 25 poke holes in it. We have Exhibit 985, which is not in the

1 exhibit book that was prepared for October and then 2 reprepared at any urgings which reflects a computer program that didn't exist in -- didn't exist at the relevant time 3 that produces a chart that didn't exist at the relevant time, 4 5 all to be provided to this jury through someone who is not an 6 expert and wasn't subject to the expert cross-examination 7 that is very important before we allow expert testimony to 8 come.

9 There's so many steps there that I think supports 10 the plaintiff. Go ahead.

MS. JOHNSON: Your Honor, Mr. Yao was disclosed and the subject of his testimony was disclosed --

13 THE COURT: I think in the statement I just made, I 14 didn't really mention Mr. Yao, the gentleman being disclosed 15 or not. I went to much more than that. And you can't say we 16 disclosed the percipient witness, so now we can use him as an 17 expert.

I'm trying to look at analogies. You know, hybrid expert witnesses, you know, such as the doctor in the operating room. What did you see? I saw blood. I saw the heart. I saw this. That's all percipient. But then he can quickly move into expert testimony.

I allowed the previous witness to kind of give evidence of numbers that were available. But, boy, when you process them through a newly created program to create a

1 chart that suits your purposes, even if it were reliable, 2 there are expert testimony issues and there are 403 issues. 3 MR. CLUBOK: Your Honor, may I just respond, please? There was a data -- there's evidence in the record 4 5 that there was a data snapshot taken of data as it existed July 7th, 2014, that has been preserved at the company. 6 7 THE COURT: Just for the record -- you seem 8 disappointed I'm interrupting you, but I get to do that since 9 people do that to me so often. 10 MR. CLUBOK: I know. 11 THE COURT: I've heard that a million times. Ι 12 know that. You're repeating yourself. But continue, and try 13 to make a new point to the points I made. Go ahead. 14 MR. CLUBOK: It was misrepresented to the jury that 15 that data set has not been provided to the plaintiffs. That 16 is a demonstrably false statement. I assume it was a 17 mistaken statement, but it was provided. That exact snapshot 18 was provided in or around December of 2017 to the plaintiffs. 19 A suggestion -- mistaken, I'm sure -- that that was 20 not provided is inaccurate. That's step one. 21 THE COURT: I don't understand how that relates at 22 all to my statement, so try and tie it together. Go ahead. 23 MR. CLUBOK: That data set contains all of the 24 data. The program is simply a filter to say just print, just 25 truncate it to two years, or just truncate it to three years.

It's no different than the kind of thing you would do when you have a database internally, and when we get discovery requests, we program such that a subset of a data snapshot is produced.

5 It's not creating some new data set or creating 6 something. It is effectively in electronic age the 7 equivalent of getting your librarian to figure out which 8 shelf of massive books to provide instead of the entire 9 library.

10 So they have the data set. This program that 11 they've made something mysterious out of is just the way to 12 truncate the data so that if you want to look at it just two 13 years or three years or you put in two and a half years, you 14 put in five years, whatever you want to do, it lets you print 15 out that slice of the data.

And because the data had originally existed on the closed system and the snapshot was moved, there were just macros that may or may not still have worked if you're going to macros. Like when you get a -- you know how sometimes you get files and it says -- an Excel spreadsheet, and it's got internal macros, this happens all the time.

If we produce an Excel spreadsheet, the macros are no longer live because they link to the server. So in discovery we give them sort of a new version to make it so that they could do the same thing as if they were operating

1 in our system. 2 That's all that happened here. It happened in 3 discovery. If there was a problem -- I understand 4 Your Honor's ruling is that discovery motions could have been 5 made, but it's not a problem because they've admitted in many 6 pleadings that they used it to do this analysis. 7 THE COURT: Used what? 8 MR. CLUBOK: Used the same data set, the same 9 program --THE COURT: Program. 10 11 MR. CLUBOK: The same program. 12 THE COURT: They used your program? 13 MR. COUGHLIN: No. 14 THE COURT: No. 15 MR. COUGHLIN: No. 16 MR. CLUBOK: They never used our program? 17 MS. JOHNSON: This particular program they did not 18 use. Dr. Lavin said he chose not to run that analysis. 19 MR. CLUBOK: So he chose not to push run because he 20 -- well, we don't have Dr. Lavin to cross-examine why he 21 didn't just push run on that program, but --22 MR. COUGHLIN: He's right here. He would be more 23 than happy to tell you we had to do our own program to try to 24 replicate it because we couldn't verify different things that 25 were brought into this new program. It --

1 THE COURT: All right. Let me just say, I posed a 2 question to Ms. Johnson. She spoke. Mr. Clubok spoke. I 3 still don't have a core question of relevancy. How is this 4 actually relevant? 5 MR. CLUBOK: So if it is the case that all this 6 program is is the way to display the data or to show it, then 7 it is just a question of with the data set, and any competent 8 statistician could run a program to say spit out the 9 Kaplan-Meier curves based on two years or three years or all 10 the data. That's -- the program is just the tool --11 THE COURT: I still haven't heard a question -- an 12 answer to my question --13 MR. CLUBOK: All right. 14 THE COURT: -- what this proves. I can give you a 15 few alternatives, but I'd rather hear it from you what it 16 proves. 17 MR. CLUBOK: What it proves, it is circumstantial 18 evidence that what some witnesses have testified is true, 19 that they have -- they have argued that it was not possible 20 to have just taken off the two-year filter and run the 21 three-year curves in July of 2014. 22 With this data snapshot and with any program you 23 want to just run the Kaplan-Meier curves, it will demonstrate 24 that what Mr. Auerbach has testified and now what Mr. Wong 25 has testified but which plaintiffs have cast a lot of doubt

1 on is true. It is certainly circumstantial evidence at least, if not direct evidence, that what they are saying is 2 3 true, that they're not making it up when they say they saw a 4 three-year curve and it showed something, because we can 5 recreate it very simply by using that exact same data set with just essentially the code to display the data at 6 7 two years, three years, two and a half years, whatever date 8 cutoff you want to write. 9 THE COURT: So the defense wish to offer me any 10 other reasons why this is relevant other than what we've just 11 heard? That's the relevance. 12 MS. JOHNSON: No. 13 THE COURT: I find it expert testimony. 14 MS. JOHNSON: Can I respond on that point? 15 THE COURT: Your clock is ticking, but sure. And 16 we've been at this for quite a while, including yesterday. 17 It's just to me clearly expert testimony. 18 I deal with experts a lot, particularly in patent 19 cases, and I know the difference between expert testimony and 20 percipient witnesses. You know, you can get down to basics about percipient, which I touched on before. Is it revealed 21 22 by your eyes, nose, tongue, ears, touch? A 25-page program 23 of code is not revealed by that. 24 There are, I would guess, maybe probably hundreds 25 of decisions about how to set up that code, and some of those

1 decisions are quite significant in what outcome is produced. 2 MS. JOHNSON: Understanding the Court's ruling on 3 that issue, 26(a)(2)(C) would say that defendants for this 4 witness should provide a statement of the subject matter on 5 which the witness is expected to present evidence and a 6 summary of the facts and opinions, if you call them opinions, 7 to which the witness is expected to testify. 8 Rule 37(c)(1) provides that even expert testimony 9 should not be excluded for lack of that disclosure if the 10 lack of disclosure is substantially justified or harmless. 11 And the case law provides that a disclosure -- not having a 12 disclosure of that information under 26 is harmless if the 13 plaintiff or the other party had notice. 14 THE COURT: You moved to disclosure from simple 15 designation. Designating him as an expert opens up certain 16 rules about rebuttal and examination of an expert, et cetera. 17 You're talking to me about disclosure. Was there ever a 18 designation that he's an expert? 19 MS. JOHNSON: There was not a designation with the 20 understanding that he was not. 21 So I'm not really -- it's not helpful THE COURT: 22 to me to talk about designated experts whose disclosures 23 might be inadequate or even nonexistent. I'm going to the 24 core issue of designation. What about that?

MS. JOHNSON: I would submit that the designation

25

1 issue is harmless. Plaintiffs knew about this witness, what 2 he would testify about, what that -- the fact that he was affiliated with the program, the fact that he ran those 3 4 three-year curves that they've had for more than a year, they 5 were aware of all of that. And I would submit that the case law supports that 6 7 that lack of designation is harmless. 8 THE COURT: When were they aware of 985, which 9 isn't even in my trial book? 10 MS. JOHNSON: Again, it was directly before trial 11 in response to the meet and confer over 818. 12 THE COURT: Tell me how you're prejudiced. 13 MR. COUGHLIN: We're -- well, first of all, we 14 couldn't recreate it. You know, we still can't recreate it 15 because there are a lot of things that go into this code. We 16 did take our own time to try to analyze the data. 17 This is a program that didn't exist back then, that 18 then was made in January of 2018, and we're very prejudiced 19 because we can't analyze it. We've got a right -- we've got 20 a right -- they say that there was a curve shown to 21 Mr. Auerbach, and he testified to it, that went out to 22 3.5 percent in the intent-to-treat population for three 23 years. Okay. 24 Two other witnesses have testified to that. We 25 have a right to say there is no record of that, 803.7, the

1 absence of such a record. We've got that right. They have 2 been touting this to support his statement the curves are 3 separating. They go create a program that creates a curve 4 they want to support that testimony. 5 That's not okay. And certainly saying lack of 6 notice doesn't get a new document in, created with an 7 expert's program. It's very -- it goes to the heart of the 8 That's how prejudicial it is. case. 9 THE COURT: Yes. I'm ruling in favor of the 10 plaintiff. All right? 11 MR. COUGHLIN: Thank you, Your Honor. 12 MS. JOHNSON: Thank you, Your Honor. 13 THE COURT: Thank you, folks. 14 Let's bring the jury in. 15 MR. CLUBOK: Can we rearrange our witnesses? Can 16 we have just one moment, Your Honor? 17 THE COURT: All right. Let's give them one moment. 18 MR. CLUBOK: Your Honor, we're going to call as our 19 next witness deposition testimony of Skye Drynan. For this 20 one, though, Your Honor, we don't have the video. We're 21 going to do it the old-fashioned way and bring a person to 22 sit at the witness stand and have the questions and answers 23 read. 24 The parties have, as we always do, different 25 designations. We're going to just read it together. We have

1 a percentage breakdown so that whatever the time is, we have 2 agreed on the percentage of testimony that should be applied 3 to each. 4 THE COURT: Is Latham reading all the testimony? 5 MR. CLUBOK: Latham is going to just ask all of the questions. We could go back and forth if Your Honor would 6 7 prefer to do it that way. If Your Honor would prefer to do 8 it that way, that's perfectly acceptable to us. 9 MR. FORGE: Your Honor, we trust counsel to be 10 unbiased. 11 THE COURT: It's just difficult for me, then, to --12 and it may be difficult for the jury to determine who's 13 asking the questions. 14 MR. CLUBOK: It may be, and that's appropriate, 15 Your Honor. 16 MS. JOHNSON: That's true for the videos, too. We 17 each designated --18 MR. CLUBOK: That's true, but why don't we --19 THE COURT: You know, I don't know if you expected 20 the court reporter to catch that, folks. 21 Let's move on. 22 MR. CLUBOK: That's fine, Your Honor. It's clear 23 from the record which person is asking the questions, whether 24 it was the Latham attorney or the Robbins Geller attorney. 25 Why don't we just switch and we'll do it that way. It will

1 make it a little easier for the jury to understand and 2 follow. 3 Is that what you would prefer we do, Your Honor? 4 Or I will just say maybe -- I actually agree that makes 5 sense. 6 THE COURT: I just want to get the timing correct. 7 MR. FORGE: Your Honor, what we have done is agreed 8 on the percentage on each side of the timing. And that 9 way --10 THE COURT: Okay. So if you've agreed on a 11 percentage, do it the way you originally suggested. What is 12 the percentage you've agreed on? 13 MR. FORGE: 43 percent for the plaintiffs, 14 57 percent for the defendants. 15 THE COURT: Okay. Then do it your way and I'll 16 make the adjustment. 17 MR. CLUBOK: That's great. And we're just going to 18 put a picture up of Ms. Drynan on the screen. Does Your 19 Honor want to -- would you prefer if we explain this process 20 to the jury? 21 THE COURT: Sure. You may explain the process. 22 MR. CLUBOK: Okay. 23 THE COURT: I'm sure you'll do it fairly. 24 Okay. Let's bring in the jury. 25 THE CLERK: All rise.

(Open court - jury present) 1 2 THE COURT: Okay. That was a long five minutes. 3 So we had another issue come up that we have been 4 discussing, giving you a little more time on your break. 5 We've resolved a few important issues now, and we are proceeding, and Mr. Clubok is going to tell us what's 6 7 happening next. 8 MR. CLUBOK: Thank you, Your Honor. 9 Defense are now going to call Skye Drynan. 10 Ms. Drynan testified by written deposition as opposed to 11 video. 12 So under the agreement of the parties and with the 13 approval of the Court, we're going to have a person at the 14 stand who is not actually Skye Drynan, but there will be an 15 attorney asking questions so that you can see the actual give 16 and take of the questions. 17 This is the normal procedure that's used in court, 18 and the parties and the Court have agreed to it when there's 19 no video available. We will put a picture up of Ms. Drynan 20 if we may. This is the actual Skye Drynan. So you have to imagine that is the woman speaking in response to questions. 21 22 The other point to raise is that some of the 23 questions were originally asked by lawyers for the defendants, and some of the questions were originally asked 24 25 by lawyers for the plaintiffs. It's mixed throughout the

1 testimony, and we've just agreed for convenience sake not to 2 have different lawyers jumping up and down. 3 One lawyer will ask all the questions even though 4 approximately 57 percent of the questions were asked by 5 defendants and approximately 43 percent of the questions were 6 asked by plaintiffs at the actual deposition. 7 THE COURT: Thank you for that. And this is 8 On deposition playbacks, one thing to do is the normal. 9 video, which you've seen. Another thing is to have a witness 10 play the role of the deponent just to make it a little 11 clearer and more interesting. 12 So who is Ms. Drynan? 13 MS. MURPHY: We'll have Ms. Drynan to the stand, 14 So this is Michelle Carpenter. She's going to play please. 15 Skye Drynan. 16 (Whereupon the deposition of Skye Drynan was read 17 into the record as follows:) 18 BY MS. MURPHY: 19 Ο. You are currently with Capital; is that correct? 20 Α. That is correct. 21 So what do you do on a day-to-day-basis? What are your Ο. 22 responsibilities? 23 I am responsible for investing in U.S. biopharmaceutical Α. 24 companies, which include biotech and pharma in the U.S. I 25 will meet with companies that are U.S. based and not U.S.

1	based.
2	I also have responsibilities as a research
3	portfolio coordinator.
4	Q. What do you do as a research portfolio coordinator?
5	A. I ensure that the ideas of other analysts who have other
6	sectors exposure get appropriately reflected in the portfolio
7	that also meet the objects of the fund.
8	Q. Okay. What is your process?
9	A. Do just you want to understand the nuts and bolts of
10	what I do on a day-to-day basis?
11	Q. Yes.
12	A. Okay. So it would be different every day. So this
13	would only be an example. Obviously with research you cannot
14	take a single data point to make a decision. You have to
15	take multiple data points for mosaic to try to figure out
16	which companies, in my case, are having the best new
17	innovations, and as result, which companies will win and
18	which companies will lose.
19	That can take the shape of a variety of data inputs
20	from doing doctor surveys to going to medical meetings to
21	talking to the company you may or may not be interested in
22	buying, along with the competition.
23	Q. Have you been covering the biotechnology sector since
24	you've been employed at Capital?
25	A. Yes.

1	
1	Q. What qualifies you to cover that sector?
2	A. I've been investing in it since the late '90s.
3	Q. How many hours do you think you spend researching a
4	company before you make a recommendation to invest in it?
5	A. I don't know. A long time.
6	Q. And what about before you invest in a company? Do you
7	always talk to the management of that company?
8	A. Absolutely.
9	Q. And why is that?
10	A. Because they are the people who are making the decisions
11	on how to allocate resources for developing the drugs.
12	Q. Would you invest in a company if you did not trust the
13	senior management?
14	A. No.
15	Q. Did the valuation models that you used take into account
16	the implications of clinical trial results for FDA approval,
17	assuming that the company doesn't have approval for their
18	drug yet?
19	A. So are you asking do I incorporate probability of
20	success?
21	Q. That's a better question.
22	A. Yes.
23	Q. And I think you said this, but just to be clear, those
24	model also take into account the implications of the market
25	share for that particular drug?

1	A.	Correct.
2	Q.	When you look at the results of clinical trials, is that
3	when	you involve your biostatistician consultants?
4	Α.	Yes.
5	Q.	And what do you ask them to do?
6	Α.	You would ask them if they thought any of the data had
7	been	cut incorrectly or if they thought it had been done
8	corre	ectly.
9	Q.	Does a company's stock price factor into your
10	recor	mmendation as to whether to purchase or sell a stock?
11	Α.	Yes.
12	Q.	How so?
13	Α.	If you model it and it's overvalued, you would not buy
14	it.	
15	Q.	How do you determine if a stock price is overvalued?
16	Α.	Based on your estimates.
17	Q.	So does that mean based on the modeling that we talked
18	about	t earlier?
19	Α.	Yes.
20	Q.	Is one of your goals to identify stocks that you are
21	reaso	onably certainly are undervalued?
22	Α.	Yes.
23	Q.	Why?
24	Α.	To make money for our clients.
25	Q.	And then in your approach to investing, do you consider

1	envi	ronmental, social, or governance issues?
2	A.	Yes.
3	Q.	And what types of issues would those be?
4	Α.	I mean, you want to invest with a management team that
5	to t	he best of your knowledge is ethical.
6	Q.	And how would you determine if a management team was
7	ethi	cal?
8	Α.	Whether they told the truth or not.
9	Q.	Did you talk to Puma's management team?
10	Α.	Yes.
11	Q.	Who did you talk to?
12	Α.	Primarily Alan Auerbach.
13	Q.	How long have you known Alan?
14	A.	I don't remember if I met him before I moved to
15	Los	Angeles. But I moved to Los Angeles, it will be ten
16	year	s on March 20th. It's my birthday, so it's easy to
17	reme	mber. So it would've been sometime after that.
18	Q.	So you've known Alan for roughly ten years?
19	Α.	Roughly.
20	Q.	Is that how you became familiar with Cougar?
21	Α.	I believe so.
22	Q.	For the record, that was also Alan's company, correct?
23	Α.	Yes.
24	Q.	What is your impression of Alan Auerbach?
25	Α.	I like him.

Г

1	Q. Was it your practice to communicate often with Alan
2	during the time period in which you were investing in Puma?
3	A. Yes.
4	Q. Are you still investing in Puma today?
5	A. I do not hold Puma today.
6	Q. Do you recall when you stopped investing in Puma?
7	A. I don't remember the precise date, only the context.
8	Q. What was the context?
9	A. The biotechnology sector was under a lot of pressure.
10	And I had been at a conference, and there was a concern that
11	potentially their competitor, Roche, could have data that
12	would have potentially limited the size of their lead asset.
13	So I decided to reallocate funds in companies with
14	more than one product whose valuations within the sector had
15	gotten crushed. That was the context. I do not remember the
16	timing.
17	Q. Okay. I believe you said that you liked Mr. Auerbach.
18	Do you trust him?
19	A. Yes.
20	Q. Do you think he is a good manager?
21	A. I do.
22	Q. Do you think that he has added value for Puma
23	shareholders?
24	A. I do.
25	Q. At any point while you were investing in Puma, do you

1	
1	believe that he misguided Puma investors?
2	A. To the best of my knowledge, no.
3	Q. To the best of your knowledge, do you believe that he
4	ever lied to Puma's investors?
5	A. To the best of my knowledge, no.
6	Q. Do you believe Mr. Auerbach ever lied to you?
7	A. I do not believe he ever lied to me.
8	Q. Do you believe he ever misled you in any way?
9	A. I do not believe he ever misled me in any way.
10	Q. Do you believe that he defrauded you in any way?
11	A. No.
12	Q. So I handed you what's been marked as Exhibit 22. Take
13	a quick look at that. The second point there is: Alan's
14	dream scenario is to be given a shovel and allowed to dig in
15	big pharma's graveyard again.
16	What did you mean by that?
17	A. Both for Cougar and Puma, those are not assets that he
18	ended up with a team on his own. Those were assets that the
19	pharmaceutical companies decided to divest.
20	Q. Got it. So it was your impression that he wanted to do
21	that again after Puma?
22	A. Correct.
23	Q. Was it your impression that Alan's goal was to
24	eventually sell Puma?
25	A. Yes.

1	Q. And then the final sentence of that says: Puma's
2	management has only summarized enough efficacy data for us to
3	estimate 87 percent DFS for control and 92 DFS for neratinib.
4	My first question is, do you understand what DFS
5	means?
6	A. I'm trying to remember the precise definition, because
7	we're at a deposition. So I will say no.
8	Q. Sure. Does disease-free survival sound right to you?
9	A. Yes.
10	Q. And do you recall when you say Puma's management has
11	only summarized enough efficacy data for us to make these
12	estimates, do you recall what that statement is based on?
13	A. I do not recall specifically.
14	Q. Okay. Do you have a general recollection?
15	A. I recall that they talked about it, but I don't remember
16	if it was in a press release or conference call, et cetera.
17	I do not remember the particulars of it.
18	Q. The second bullet point says: This is an investment in
19	the people. The CEO is shrewd and a workaholic. He is a
20	proven moneymaker and understands how to use capital wisely.
21	What did you mean by that?
22	A. Exactly how it is written.
23	Q. How did you know that Alan uses capital wisely, for
24	example?
25	A. It was my impression that he did not overspend when he

1	was doing clinical trials. He's also a very understated
2	person. I do not know what type of car he drives today, but
3	I do recall after he had sold Cougar and, you know, had Puma,
4	he still, like, drove a car that was, like, beaten up and
5	dented. So he's not an ostentatious person.
6	Q. And you also say in this report that you knew that Puma
7	faced risk from positive clinical data from competitors. Was
8	that the Roche drug that we talked about earlier?
9	A. To the best of my recollection, yes.
10	Q. One more question. On page 42 you say here under road
11	kill: Additionally, the company will need to raise
12	additional capital if they remain independent.
13	A. Uh-huh.
14	Q. What did you mean by that?
15	A. If the company was acquired, they would not need to
16	raise capital to launch the product. If they remained
17	independent, they would, based on my analysis of their cash
18	flows.
19	Q. So despite the fact that Alan used capital wisely, as a
20	development stage company they would need more capital, was
21	your assumption?
22	A. Yes. That would be typical.
23	Q. So now you've been handed what we've marked as
24	Exhibit 23. So it looks like part of the discussion items,
25	third bullet point says SYD biotech day wrap-up, and then it

1	lists six companies with the notation buy.
2	Would that reflect your recommendation to invest in
3	those six companies?
4	A. Yes.
5	Q. At the bottom, the last heading there talks about the
6	biotech day that we've talked about?
7	A. Uh-huh.
8	Q. And it says that in the second sentence, first bullet
9	point, all six companies have management teams best described
10	as magic makers?
11	A. Uh-huh.
12	Q. What did you mean by that?
13	A. My interpretation of magic maker is a management team
14	that understands their business well, understands the
15	opportunities, and understands how to develop the drug to the
16	best of their abilities with a full knowledge that there are
17	no future facts in biotech. That is why you run the
18	experiment.
19	Q. So with the knowledge that there's no guarantee that the
20	result will come out as you want them to?
21	A. Correct. It's biotech.
22	Q. And here it says SYD, meaning you, knows the CEO and
23	considers him a straight shooter, so she thinks that the data
24	will be clean.
25	Do you recall saying that or what you meant by

1 that? I do not recall saying that in particular, but I do 2 Α. 3 believe that Alan Auerbach is a straight shooter to the best 4 of my knowledge. And I think whenever there have been 5 challenges, he's been forthright about those. I don't remember in particular, like, a particular conversation. 6 7 That's just my general impression of him. 8 And when you say data will be clean, what does that Ο. 9 mean? 10 Α. Approvable. 11 In your experience, is there a typical time frame in Ο. 12 which companies will release the full results of a clinical 13 trial after announcing the topline results? 14 There's not a typical time frame. One of the challenges Α. 15 if you're a biopharmaceutical company, you would have a press 16 release that is topline data. But you cannot actually give 17 the full data out in the press release or you will not be 18 able to present the data at the medical meeting. 19 So the answer is not -- there's not a typical time 20 frame because it would depend on what the rules were for that particular meeting in terms of timing for acceptance. When 21 22 is the next medical meeting? 23 So I can't answer the question with the average 24 typical group because for my impression there's not a typical 25 time frame, just given how the mechanics work for the

1	communication of data.
2	Q. Understood. So your expectation of when the full trial
3	results would be disclosed might depend on when the next
4	major medical conference was; is that fair?
5	A. Correct and the timing criteria that is required for
6	that medical meeting organization.
7	Q. Because presenting too many details could jeopardize
8	your ability to present at the medical conference?
9	A. Absolutely.
10	Q. If you turn the page number 2, this says diarrhea still
11	a very big issue.
12	A. Uh-huh.
13	Q. Do you recall that diarrhea was the primary side effect
14	of taking neratinib?
15	A. Yes.
16	Q. And how did you know that?
17	A. Because it was presented in the medical meeting. And
18	also we had done survey work to see whether or not doctors
19	thought that was a big deal or not. And from the research,
20	it looked like if you used prophylactic Imodium, which is not
21	atypical when you see this sort of side effect in other
22	oncology trials, that that ended up reducing the diarrhea
23	rate substantially.
24	And I also recall that the diarrhea was more
25	transient in nature. It happened upfront versus being

1	continuous, generally speaking. I'm sure in most cases with
2	any clinical trial, you could see potentially differences in
3	an individual patient level. But that was my recollection of
4	that, the data regarding that safety signal.
5	Q. Is it fair to say that the information regarding the
6	high rate of diarrhea for neratinib was widely known in the
7	industry?
8	A. Oh, it was widely known.
9	Q. Setting that aside, though, so at this point in time it
10	was known that the diarrhea was the main safety issue
11	associated with this drug?
12	A. The company spoke openly about it.
13	Q. In your experience in researching biopharmaceutical
14	companies and these types of drugs, is there a higher degree
15	of tolerability of these types of side effects for cancer
16	drugs?
17	A. Look, if you're going to die, you're going to put up
18	with more side effects than if you do not have a
19	life-threatening disease. So in general, yes. But it's hard
20	to say in general because it really depends on what the
21	safety signal is and what is the quality of life of the
22	patient.
23	Q. Could it also depend on I can't remember the word you
24	used, but the duration of the diarrhea or the you used a
25	particular word.

1	Α.	Whether it was transient?
2	Q.	Transient, yes.
3	A.	Yeah. I mean, that would depend. So, like, if you wer
4	goin	g to take the drug and you had diarrhea the entire time,

5 that would not be acceptable for a profile. But to the best 6 of my recollection, that rate was transient.

7 As a result, with a combination of Imodium, it was 8 my assessment at that time that that particular side effect 9 would not be very limiting to the drug if the patient had the 10 high-end medical need.

11 Do you recall generally believing that Puma was a buying Ο. 12 opportunity prior to releasing the full set of data at the 13 ASCO conference?

14 Α. Yes.

15 Ο. Why was that?

16 Because I thought neratinib would work inside and meet a Α. 17 high-end medical need.

18 Did you think the data to be released at ASCO would Ο. 19 support that view?

20 Α. That was my hope.

21 So we'll hand you what's been marked as Exhibit 34. Q. 22 Α. Okay.

23 So you say here at the top -- and this is dated Q.

24 May 14th, 2015 -- the house is not on fire. Buy. Then you

25 talk about that Puma's trading down 19 percent on the

So, like, if you were

1	abstract unveiled last night for ASCO. And you provide two
2	reasons for your belief here?
3	A. Uh-huh.
4	Q. Can you elaborate a little bit more on those two
5	reasons?
6	A. I don't have anything to elaborate on. I think it
7	speaks for itself.
8	Q. So number one where you say: Hate selling, because the
9	CEO did not provide color beforehand to the investment
10	community that there is variability between those patients
11	that were locally versus centrally confirmed.
12	What do you mean by hate selling?
13	A. So hate selling is people don't like to get blind-sided.
14	But the reality is, I mean, I'm not a lawyer, but I would be
15	surprised if Alan would have been able to share that there
16	was a difference between centrally versus non-centrally
17	adjudicated data, because that would have been data that
18	would be presented at the medical meeting.
19	So it's a knee-jerk reaction, but I don't think
20	that would be something that would be in his control because
21	of how the rules work, because which we discussed earlier,
22	that if you you can press release topline data. But if
23	you do anything beyond that, then you can get embargoed from
24	the meeting.
25	So I remember the people were disappointed, but I

1	also	remember that I just didn't think that that was a
2	reas	onable ask in light of the rules for a presentation.
3	Q.	If you'd go to the second page to the part that's
4	unde	rlined.
5	Α.	Okay. Yes.
6	Q.	So here you say that you still think that neratinib is
7	an aj	oprovable drug, correct?
8	Α.	Correct.
9	Q.	Did you think that Puma's stock price at the end of the
10	day,	at the end of May 14th, 2015, was undervalued?
11	Α.	Yes. That's why I suggested to buy.
12	Q.	Did you believe that Alan always worked as hard as he
13	could	d to create value for the shareholders?
14	Α.	Yes.
15	Q.	Was that one of the reasons that you invested in Puma in
16	the :	first place?
17	Α.	Yes.
18	Q.	We've handed you what has been marked as Exhibit 36.
19	Α.	Uh-huh. Yes.
20	Q.	So this is a summary of another call, it looks like,
21	also	within your same division?
22	Α.	Correct.
23	Q.	And this is dated June 2nd, 2015?
24	Α.	Uh-huh.
25	Q.	I will represent to you for the record that the ASCO

1	conference took place on June 1st, 2015.
2	A. Okay.
3	Q. And so this is the day after that?
4	A. Okay. Thank you.
5	Q. And if you look at the first topic of the call, it says
6	SYD Puma Biotechnology?
7	A. Uh-huh.
8	Q. And the notes from the call suggest that the stock was
9	down 13 percent on June 1st, but you still viewed this as a
10	strong buying opportunity?
11	A. Correct.
12	Q. Why did you believe that Puma was still a strong buying
13	opportunity at this time?
14	A. I mean, based on what I've written, I still thought that
15	it could be a very large drug, and the valuation was
16	compelling.
17	Q. Do you recall analyzing why the stock went down?
18	A. Of course. I don't recall specifically every thought
19	that I had, but obviously I analyzed it.
20	Q. And what were your thoughts that you recall?
21	A. I thought that the diarrhea could be dealt with with the
22	Imodium. So I thought that true time, that would make sense
23	and people would gain greater clarity on that if their
24	initial data points were reproduced in larger settings with
25	Imodium use.

1	And two, when you actually look at those patients	
2	that had that particular marker, the rates were quite	
3	acceptable and quite good. So I thought it was an approvable	
4	drug.	
5	Q. And the second bullet point says: Shorts jumping on	
6	comments regarding a longer follow-up timeline with the FDA	
7	regarding their lead asset neratinib.	
8	A. Uh-huh.	
9	Q. Do you recall there was some concern at the time that	
10	there would be a longer timeline in terms of FDA approval for	
11	neratinib?	
12	A. I remember that that was the controversy. I didn't	
13	agree with it, but that was the controversy.	
14	Q. What was the controversy?	
15	A. I don't remember the specific time frame, but you'd need	
16	to have a certain amount of follow-up period in order to get	
17	the drug approved. And the question was, how much longer did	
18	that need to be and whether or not Puma would have that data	
19	to get regulatory approval.	
20	But the precise timelines I just don't remember. I	
21	just remember it was a delta.	
22	Q. So do you think that backing up. So you recommend	
23	so you recommended buying additional Puma stock, correct?	
24	A. Correct.	
25	Q. Do you recall if that happened?	

1	Α.	I do not remember.
2	Q.	Were you aware that Norfolk County Council is a client
3	of Ca	apital International in the period 2014 to 2015?
4	Α.	Not until the lawsuit.
5	Q.	Have you ever had any communications with any
6	repre	esentative of the Norfolk County Council?
7	Α.	Not that I'm aware of.
8	Q.	Do you know who at Capital Group was the relationship
9	manag	ger for Norfolk County Council?
10	Α.	I do not know.
11	Q.	During 2014 or today?
12	Α.	No.
13	Q.	And to the best of my knowledge, I take it you would
14	know	if you ever had communications with that relationship
15	manag	ger?
16	Α.	Correct.
17	Q.	Do you know who the Capital Group portfolio managers
18	were	for Norfolk County Council in 2014?
19	Α.	I do not know.
20	Q.	And do I take it, then, you would not know if you ever
21	had a	any communications with any of those portfolio managers
22	about	t Puma?
23	Α.	I do not, no.
24	Q.	At the time that you compiled this report, did you have
25	any r	nonpublic information about Puma?

1	A. No.
2	Q. Was any of the information provided in this report
3	nonpublic?
4	A. No.
5	Q. At any point during the time you were covering Puma, did
6	Mr. Auerbach ever provide you with any material nonpublic
7	information?
8	A. No.
9	Q. At the time that you were covering Puma, did anyone from
10	the company provide you with any material nonpublic
11	information?
12	A. No.
13	Q. And to the best of your knowledge, while you were
14	covering the company, did Mr. Auerbach ever provide you with
15	any information that had not previously been made public?
16	A. No.
17	Q. I'd like you to turn to page 37. It's the Puma
18	investment thesis we looked at earlier.
19	A. Okay. 0441?
20	Q. Correct, 0441. And looking at the first of your three
21	killer facts, it starts off it says: Puma is derisking
22	with more data. What did you mean by derisking?
23	A. Well, they had topline data that suggested that
24	neratinib had the potential to be an approvable drug. In my
25	opinion, without the prior there's no data. So therefore,

1	with no data, higher risk.
2	Q. And was the data you're referring to here the data that
3	was just released by the company in July of 2014?
4	A. Was that when the stock went up initially?
5	Q. Correct.
6	A. Okay. Yes.
7	Q. Between that first spike in the stock price following
8	the release of information in September, had you been
9	provided with any additional data about Puma's neratinib
10	drug?
11	A. I don't remember.
12	Q. And then the last sentence in there, you write: Puma's
13	management has only summarized enough efficacy data for us to
14	estimate 87 percent DFS for control and 92 percent DFS for
15	neratinib.
16	A. Yes.
17	Q. What is that based on?
18	A. I do not remember.
19	Q. Would that have been based on any information that was
20	not publicly available?
21	A. No.
22	Q. And so your estimate here would have been based on
23	publicly available information about the drug?
24	A. Absolutely.
25	Q. Now, at any time prior to May of 2015, were you ever

1	provided with any efficacy data about Puma's clinical trial
2	that had not been publicly disclosed?
3	A. I only know public information.
4	Q. And in listing your killer facts to my Puma buy
5	investment thesis, do you know why you included this
6	information about the DFS rates?
7	A. Because DFS would be important for approval.
8	Q. Why do you believe it would be important for approval?
9	A. Because it's disease-free survival.
10	Q. And why is that important?
11	A. Because you would want a patient to live longer without
12	their disease progressing.
13	Q. Was that relevant to your investment decision?
14	A. Yes.
15	Q. And the information that was in the ASCO abstract that
16	day, was that relevant to your valuation of Puma?
17	A. Yes.
18	Q. Did you consider that along with all other publicly
19	available information about the company?
20	A. Yes.
21	Q. All right. And at the time you were making stock
22	recommendations, did you also consider what the stock price
23	was?
24	A. Yes.
25	Q. I take it your recommendations were not were based on

1	what the stock prices were trading at at a given time,
2	correct?
3	A. Yes.
4	Q. Were your recommendations based on where the stock price
5	was trading at the time you made your recommendations?
6	A. Not solely based on that.
7	Q. But would that have been a factor?
8	A. Yes, that would have been a factor.
9	Q. Prior to receiving if you would, why don't we take a
10	look at the ASCO abstract which was previously marked as
11	Exhibit 32.
12	If you look at the back page. Prior to receiving
13	the ASCO abstract, did you know that the DFS rates for
14	neratinib and placebo patients was 93.1 percent and 91.6
15	percent, respectively?
16	A. No.
17	Q. And was that difference was that different from the
18	estimate that you had provided in your prior report?
19	A. I do not remember what the estimate number was.
20	Q. Do you want to look at can you look at Exhibit 22?
21	A. That is different.
22	Q. And was the ASCO abstract the first time that you were
23	apprised of what the actual DFS rates were in the neratinib
24	trial?
25	A. Yes.

1	Q. If I can have you turn to Exhibit 34.
2	A. Okay.
3	Q. The May 14th, 2015, report.
4	A. Yes.
5	Q. You call it a discussion. At the top the report refers
6	to the stock being down for two reasons. Do you see that?
7	A. Yes.
8	Q. And your recommendation here to buy the stock, was that
9	based in part upon where the stock was trading after it had
10	fallen approximately 19 percent?
11	A. Yes.
12	Q. And looking at the second topic, it says: The topline
13	2.9 percent end point of DFS on DCIS is below Wall Street's
14	expectation of approximately three to four percent. Do you
15	see that?
16	A. Yes, I do.
17	Q. And was that below the expectations that you had
18	previously included in your report?
19	A. I do not know what the number is I included in the
20	previous reports.
21	Q. Would you like to look at it with Exhibit 26?
22	A. Which page?
23	Q. In your report what was the difference between the
24	placebo and neratinib DFS rate that you had estimated?
25	A. So, I mean, it could be at an absolute basis because

1 it's rounded, like, as much as five percent, as much as that. So was the information that was released on May 13th, 2 Ο. 3 2015, was that lower than your prior estimates? 4 Hold on a second. So in terms of the topline for -- it Α. 5 was different. But then if you actually looked further down in what I had written on ExteNET, it looked like in the 6 7 locally confirmed, it would have actually been a higher rate. 8 And if you also looked at the other ranges that I 9 had written about, when you include rounding on the number of 10 87 to 92 percent, if you went into the weeds on ExteNET, then it would have been in line with my expectations. 11 12 So you're correct. It was lower than the topline. 13 But if you look at the subsequent analysis, it would've been 14 in line to better. 15 And on May 14th, 2015, when you were recommending to buy Ο. 16 Puma stock, were you recommending to buy it at what was the 17 then market price? 18 Α. Yes. 19 Ο. Was your buy recommendation based on what the market 20 price was on May 14th, 2015? 21 Α. If you thought that you could have it be at that price 22 but it could also end up being at a higher price, depending 23 on what your valuation was. So I'm not quite sure I can 24 answer your question the way that it was framed. 25 If you'd turn to Exhibit 36, which is the June 2nd, Ο.

1	2015, call summary.
2	A. Okay.
3	Q. Then under Puma Biotechnology, you see it says: Stock
4	down 13 percent, down on June 1, 2015. SYD views as strong
5	buying opportunity. Do you see that?
6	A. Yes.
7	Q. And again, in part was that buying opportunity based on
8	the stock price decline?
9	A. In part based on that, but that was because I still
10	thought neratinib was an approvable drug.
11	Q. On any of the times that you recommended the purchase of
12	Puma stock, were you aware of whether or not Mr. Auerbach had
13	violated the federal securities laws?
14	A. I'm not aware of it.
15	Q. Is that something you would have wanted to know at the
16	time that you were making a stock recommendation?
17	A. Yes.
18	Q. Would that be relevant to your investment decision in
19	Puma?
20	A. Yes.
21	Q. For example, would you have wanted to know whether
22	Mr. Auerbach's statements regarding the ExteNET trial in 2014
23	were false?
24	A. I would want to know if they are true or false.
25	Q. And would that have been relevant to your investment

1	decision?
2	A. Of course.
3	Q. And you yourself, have you ever investigated or looked
4	into the issue of whether Mr. Auerbach violated the federal
5	securities laws?
6	A. No, I have not.
7	Q. Have you ever investigated the issue of whether
8	Mr. Auerbach knew but failed to disclose negative topline
9	data about the ExteNET trial?
10	A. I have not.
11	Q. Do you know when Mr. Auerbach first became aware of the
12	topline safety and efficacy results from the ExteNET trial?
13	A. I would not know that.
14	Q. And do you know if he was aware of those results at the
15	time he made his statements about the trial in July of 2014?
16	A. I do not know.
17	Q. And earlier you testified that you don't believe that
18	Mr. Auerbach lied or misguided Puma investors; is that right?
19	A. That is correct.
20	Q. Is that something that you investigated?
21	A. I did not investigate it.
22	Q. Okay.
23	A. It's my impression.
24	Q. And is that impression based on any investigation of the
25	facts regarding Mr. Auerbach's statements to investors?

1	A. No.
2	Q. And here you write you say that you haven't
3	investigated whether or not he lied to investors about the
4	ExteNET trial results; is that right?
5	A. I have not investigated it.
6	Q. Well, would you want to know if Mr. Auerbach was aware
7	of materially worse results, topline results from the ExteNET
8	trial than what he told investors in July of 2014?
9	A. Yes.
10	Q. Why would you want to know that?
11	A. Because it wouldn't have been the truth.
12	Q. And have you ever been provided with any Puma documents
13	regarding what Mr. Auerbach knew at the time he made his
14	statements about the ExteNET trial?
15	A. No.
16	Q. And then you also testified that Mr. Auerbach you
17	don't think Mr. Auerbach defrauded you; is that right?
18	A. That is correct.
19	Q. Did you personally ever buy Puma stock?
20	A. No, I did not.
21	Q. And have you ever undertaken any investigation about
22	whether Mr. Auerbach defrauded any of the investors in Puma?
23	A. No, I have not investigated that.
24	Q. So to the extent that an investigation concluded that
25	Mr. Auerbach violated the federal securities laws when he

1	spoke about the ExteNET trial, would you have any reason to
2	dispute that conclusion?
3	A. Can you repeat that, or can you simplify it? Can we
4	just do it in, like, one sentence at a time, because
5	Q. Sure.
6	A. One at a time.
7	Q. I appreciate it.
8	It's near the end of the day. We'll start off with
9	a hypothetical, which is: To the extent that an
10	investigation concluded that Mr. Auerbach violated the
11	federal securities law when he spoke about the topline
12	results at the ExteNET trial so it's a hypothetical to
13	the extent that conclusion was reached, would you have any
14	reason to dispute it?
15	A. I'm not involved with it, so I don't I'm not
16	associated with it.
17	Q. And my question is, would you have any reason to dispute
18	it, any evidence to offer that wouldn't be true?
19	A. I mean, I presume that he did something wrong, and I
20	don't know anything. So I can't answer your question.
21	Q. When you say you don't know anything, you don't know
22	anything one way or the other?
23	A. Correct.
24	(Reading of deposition of Skye Drynan concluded)
25	MS. MURPHY: That's it, Your Honor.

I

1 THE COURT: All right. Thank you. 2 MS. MURPHY: We'd also like to move Exhibits 22 and 3 36 into evidence. I believe there are no objections. 4 MR. FORGE: That's correct, Your Honor. 5 THE COURT: All right. Is that Ms. Carpenter? MS. MURPHY: Yes, it was. 6 7 Thank you. All right. 22 and 36, is THE COURT: 8 that right? Did I get that right? 9 MS. MURPHY: Yes. 10 THE COURT: 22 and 36. 11 (Exhibits 22 & 36 received.) THE COURT: The defense will call its next witness. 12 13 MR. CLUBOK: Yes, Your Honor. We're back to the 14 tape with a video deposition of Darcy Kopcho. 15 The time according to the -- what is spit out by 16 the program is 21 minutes and 3 seconds for the defendants 17 and 24 minutes and 57 seconds for the plaintiffs. 18 THE COURT: 21 and 3 seconds for the defendant. 19 And for the plaintiffs? 20 MR. CLUBOK: The plaintiffs have 24 minutes and 21 57 seconds of the deposition. 22 THE COURT: Okay. 23 MR. CLUBOK: And while they're cueing it up, just 24 so people know, this is the last video deposition, and then 25 we have one more live witness remaining in our case.

1 THE COURT: Very well. 2 (The videotape deposition of Darcy Kopcho played.) 3 THE COURT: All right. Does that conclude the video? 4 5 MR. CLUBOK: Yes, Your Honor. And we would just 6 like to move into evidence Exhibit 11. I think there's been 7 no objection. 8 MR. FORGE: That's correct, Your Honor. 9 THE COURT: 11 is in evidence. 10 (Exhibit 11 received.) 11 THE COURT: Anything else? 12 MR. CLUBOK: Not with this witness, Your Honor. 13 THE COURT: All right. We're going to take a very 14 quick break, say, five minutes, and come back and finish off the evidence. 15 16 MR. CLUBOK: Thank you. THE COURT: All right. Let's take a five-minute 17 18 break. I have 25 minutes left for the defense and 55 minutes 19 left for the plaintiff. All right. So you don't have to 20 take it all. That will bring us to a conclusion sometime 21 after 1:30. Thank you. 22 (Recess taken from 12:15 p.m. until 12:24 p.m.) 23 MS. CONN: Your Honor, we have one issue before the 24 jury comes back in. 25 THE COURT: Okay. What's the issue?

1 MS. CONN: Plaintiffs object to one of defendant's 2 demonstratives for the next witness. 3 THE COURT: All right. Can I see the demonstrative? 4 5 MS. CONN: Yes, Your Honor. 6 THE COURT: You can put it on the screen if you 7 would like. Ms. Johnson, what would you like to do? 8 MS. JOHNSON: Put it on the screen. 9 THE COURT: All right. Which demonstrative is in 10 issue? 11 MS. CONN: It's marked DDEM80, Your Honor. It's a 12 slide titled The Real World, and it goes -- it refers to the 13 present-day use of the drug. 14 THE COURT: Hold on. Excuse me one moment. There's a zoom-out button if you want to capture 15 16 everything, which you haven't. 17 Go ahead. 18 MS. CONN: So given the title The Real World, we 19 think this suggests to the jury that this is indicative of 20 the present-day use of the drug. So it violates the order on 21 plaintiffs' motion in limine number four. 22 It's also misleading because there are only 2,000 23 patients on the drug today. So to present them a slide that 24 suggests that up to 81,000 patients are taking it would be 25 very misleading.

1 That prejudice and confusion could not be corrected 2 without further violating the order on the motion in limine 3 number four. THE COURT: What about that? 4 5 MS. JOHNSON: This witness has been treating 6 patients in the real world since 2010. He was --7 THE COURT: I don't know how that relates to the 8 objection we just heard. 9 MS. JOHNSON: That's why the --10 THE COURT: She wasn't commenting on foundation or 11 authenticity. She was commenting on the motion in limine. 12 So your opening statement leaves me thinking we're not on the 13 same wavelength. 14 MS. JOHNSON: The real world refers to the period 15 of time, the class period, 2014-2015. This witness had --16 has experience with the drug. These data are based on his 17 expectation at the time. 18 And Dr. Adelson testified about percentages of 19 patients that she would expect would be appropriate for 20 neratinib. We should be entitled to rebut that evidence with 21 Dr. Schwab's expectation in the same time period about --2.2 based on the ExteNET trial. 23 THE COURT: I think your -- don't bury the lead. Ι 24 think your statement might be, this is the real world from 25 the class period.

1 MS. JOHNSON: Correct. 2 THE COURT: Response? How does that violate the 3 motion in limine? You kind of led me astray. I mean, I'm 4 just looking at this. I don't know other than what you tell 5 me. When you say the motion in limine, didn't that go to post class period discussions? 6 7 MS. CONN: It does, Your Honor. 8 THE COURT: Well, then, I'm not sure why you even 9 brought that up if this is a -- I mean, that really made me 10 get on Ms. Johnson saying -- I'm confused. Can you help me 11 out? 12 I think the -- it's not clear from MS. CONN: Yes. 13 the slide that we're talking about Dr. Schwab's expectations, 14 particularly given the --15 THE COURT: Are these expectations? 16 MS. CONN: That is what I heard Ms. Johnson to say. 17 MS. JOHNSON: Putting yourself into the real world 18 as of 2014 based on the ExteNET data, this is -- these are 19 his responses to Dr. Adelson's testimony about who she would 20 expect. 21 THE COURT: All right. Anything further? 22 MS. CONN: No, Your Honor. 23 THE COURT: Okay. I'll allow the demonstrative. 24 It's the sort of thing I could see a witness putting on a 25 piece of butcher paper. And you can say what you want on

1 cross-examination. 2 So let's bring the jury back. 3 THE CLERK: All rise. 4 (Open court - jury present) THE COURT: All right. Folks, we're in the last 5 stretch, and a three-day weekend awaits you if not us. 6 7 Ms. Johnson, call the defense next witness, please. MS. JOHNSON: Thank you, Your Honor. 8 9 Defendants are pleased to call their last witness, 10 Dr. Richard Schwab, to the stand. 11 Richard Schwab, Defendant's witness, sworn 12 THE CLERK: For the record, please state and spell 13 your first and last name. 14 THE WITNESS: Richard Bruce Schwab, R-i-c-h-a-r-d, 15 S-c-h-w-a-b. 16 DIRECT EXAMINATION 17 BY MS. JOHNSON: 18 Good afternoon, Dr. Schwab. Ο. 19 Α. Good afternoon. 20 Could you please introduce yourself to the jury. Ο. 21 Absolutely. My name is Richard Schwab. I'm a clinical Α. professor of medicine at UC San Diego. I'm a medical 22 23 oncologist. 24 Q. Have you prepared any materials to assist your testimony 25 here today?

1	A. Yes. I have some slides.
2	Q. All right.
3	MS. JOHNSON: Your Honor, we've marked for
4	identification Exhibit 1122. The pages are marked DDEM50
5	through 55.
6	Let's put up the first slide, which is
7	Exhibit 1122, DDEM50.
8	BY MS. JOHNSON:
9	Q. Can you please tell the jury about your educational
10	background.
11	A. Certainly. Just briefly I did my undergraduate work at
12	UC Berkeley. My major was molecular cell biology. I
13	received my medical degree from Albert Einstein College of
14	Medicine. I did an extra year of research there, so I
15	graduated with distinction in research for molecular
16	pharmacology, so research developing new medications.
17	Since that time I've been at UC San Diego. I did
18	all of my post-graduate medical education there for six
19	years. Then I've been on the faculty ever since, gradually
20	being promoted over the years. And now I'm a full professor.
21	Q. What does a medical oncologist do?
22	A. Medical oncologists give medications to treat cancer.
23	Q. Do you specialize in a particular type of cancer?
24	A. Yes. In clinic I only see patients that have breast
25	cancer.

1	Q. And briefly what are your responsibilities at UC San
2	Diego?
3	A. So it's sort of a long list, but I mainly just take care
4	of patients. So I see patients four full days a week in
5	clinic. As part of that I would also put them onto clinical
6	trials, so there's a fair bit of clinical research.
7	I collaborate with a lot of different scientists,
8	epidemiologists, so scientists that study disease in
9	populations. I sit on the IRB, which is a federally mandated
10	committee that reviews all the clinical trials that we are
11	opening at the university.
12	Q. Just quickly, what is IRB?
13	A. Internal review board.
14	Q. Do you also do any teaching?
15	A. Yes. Most of the teaching I do is with doctors in
16	training rotating in clinic with me. I would have an
17	oncology fellow with me at least one morning every week and
18	often more. And then I give lectures about once a year.
19	Q. Do you also conduct research?
20	A. Yes. Again, most of the research I do is in the setting
21	of clinical research. So opening trials, enrolling patients
22	on trials. I am a part of a large national study called
23	I-SPY 2.
24	So in that study, in addition to just treating
25	patients at UC San Diego, I also sort of help run the study

1 at the national level. So I co-chair the safety committee and I act as a medical monitor for the study. So when there 2 3 are severe adverse events at other sites, I take on that sort 4 of FDA-mandated role of rereviewing what the local physician 5 believes has happened to the patient and just providing a second set of eyes to make sure we're treating patients 6 7 safely on the study. 8 What drug is in the I-SPY trial? 0. 9 Α. The I-SPY 2 trial is what we call a platform trial. Ιt 10 opened back in 2010, and we serially test different drugs in 11 the study. So each medication that is in the study is its 12 own arm. 13 So when we first opened back in 2010, we had two 14 Then those medications finished and we graduated them, arms. 15 and we brought in new drugs. So over the last nine years, 16 we've tested about a dozen different medications in the 17 trial. And the trial continues to this day. 18 About how many clinical trials have you been involved 0. 19 with? 20 Over my 13 years on the faculty, we've probably had Α. 21 between 50 and 100 different trials for breast cancer 22 patients. 23 And what has your role been in those clinical trials? Ο. 24 Α. I'm always at least a co-investigator. So that means I 25 have the right to enroll patients on the study and treat them

1	on the study. But for some of the studies, I have taken on
2	the larger role of being the site PI, principal investigator.
3	That means I take on really total responsibility for the
4	activity of the trial at UC San Diego.
5	Q. So you started telling us about your experience by
6	saying, I treat patients. How many patients do you see in a
7	week?
8	A. An average week I would see between 60 and 70 patients
9	in clinic.
10	Q. And how about not in clinic?
11	A. Right. So I have a much larger group of patients who
12	are under treatment at any given time. Probably between 100
13	and 200 patients total would be receiving injections or
14	infusions at our infusion center.
15	And then there's probably close to a thousand
16	patients that I have on therapy with medications, pills that
17	they take at home.
18	Q. Dr. Schwab, have you ever served as an expert witness
19	before?
20	A. I have I've testified in court on two previous
21	occasions.
22	Q. And in those cases were you retained by the plaintiffs
23	or the defendants?
24	A. In both of the previous cases I was retained by
25	plaintiffs.

Γ

1	Q. Who has retained you in this matter?
2	A. Puma, the defendant.
3	Q. And are you being paid for your work here?
4	A. Yes.
5	Q. How much so far?
6	A. Yeah. My total just surpassed 25,000, which I know
7	because that requires me to disclose it for the clinical
8	trials I participate in.
9	Q. And did you review the testimony of Dr. Adelson in this
10	case?
11	A. I did.
12	Q. Let's talk about the standard of care for HER2-positive
13	breast cancer.
14	MS. JOHNSON: If we can go to the next slide, which
15	is Exhibit 1122-DDEM51.
16	BY MS. JOHNSON:
17	Q. Would you explain to the jury what HER2-positive means?
18	A. Sure. HER2-positive breast cancer is a breast cancer
19	that expresses too much of the HER2 protein, and it makes a
20	more aggressive cancer.
21	Q. Prior to the ExteNET trial you're familiar with the
22	ExteNET trial?
23	A. I am.
24	Q. Prior to that trial, for a patient who has been
25	diagnosed with HER2-positive breast cancer, what was the

1 standard of care, again prior to ExteNET? Right. We have it on display here. So historically and 2 Α. 3 prior to the ExteNET trial, the first treatment for breast 4 cancer was always surgery. It was the first treatment that 5 was invented. 6 Then after surgery, even though the patient is 7 cancer free, we know that they have a high risk of 8 recurrence, particularly with aggressive cancers like 9 HER2-positive cancers. So we give them additional treatment 10 after surgery. 11 And what does adjuvant mean? Ο. Adjuvant is a helper, right? So this is an adjunct to 12 Α. 13 the main treatment of surgery. So we can give them adjuvant 14 chemotherapy, which for HER2-positive breast cancer is very 15 helpful. Even chemotherapy alone dramatically reduced the 16 risk of recurrence for HER2-positive patients. 17 Then, as you can see on the slide, more recently 18 we've added Herceptin. So this is the first anti-HER2 19 therapy that was also given adjuvantly and also had a very 20 significant benefit in reducing recurrences. 21 When did Herceptin become available for patients in the Ο. 22 adjuvant setting? 23 Right. So Herceptin was already approved for metastatic Α. 24 incurable patients when in December of 2004 at the big breast 25 cancer meeting they announced the results of the adjuvant

1	trials. Everyone who was I was at that meeting. Everyone
2	at that meeting basically went back and started calling their
3	patients who were in this situation and said, you need to
4	come in and get your Herceptin.
5	Q. Again talking about the standard of care before ExteNET,
6	how long would patients take Herceptin?
7	A. The current and previous standard is one year of
8	Herceptin.
9	Q. For HER2 patients when does the risk of relapse after
10	surgery peak?
11	A. For high-risk disease, about two years after surgery.
12	Q. And prior to the ExteNET trial, did those patients who
13	finished their treatment with Herceptin have any other
14	options to target the HER2-positive protein?
15	A. No.
16	Q. All right. Let's talk about neratinib for a few
17	moments. Do you know how neratinib works?
18	A. I do.
19	MS. JOHNSON: If we can go to the next slide,
20	Exhibit 1122-DDEM52.
21	THE WITNESS: So you can see here on the left we
22	have a cancer cell. It's obviously simplified. Those teal
23	pluses at the top there represent the HER2 receptor.
24	Obviously in a real cell there would be many, many copies of
25	HER2, but we've simplified it to just those two receptors

Γ

1 which come together to actually lead to signaling. That's 2 called dimerization. You can see the nucleus of the cell 3 which is labeled. That's where the DNA is, and that's what 4 controls the growth of the cell.

5 Then we have these blue dots which are sort of just 6 simplification of the signaling cascade that can transmit a 7 signal from the surface of the cell where the HER2 receptor 8 is to the nucleus.

9 So it can show how those blue dots, when they get 10 to the HER2 receptor, they become activated. And here we 11 depict that as red. Then they make their way to the nucleus, 12 and that tells the cell to grow more quickly and divide.

Now, here we show just two -- one cell becoming two. But, of course, in a patient this is an ongoing process, and the divisions keep happening and you get exponential growth of the cancer. And those millions of cancer cells cause harm and death.

18 BY MS. JOHNSON:

Q. And if a patient does not have the HER2-positive protein, is neratinib likely to work? You can finish with the slide if you like.

A. In general we wouldn't expect neratinib to work in a
HER2-negative patient, no. There's obviously -- in medicine
there's always rare exceptions.

25

In terms of how neratinib works -- I apologize.

Working my slides here. Here you can see that when neratinib is present, and it's displayed as this little yellow bar, it's able to -- when a patient takes neratinib, it's a small molecule and it's able to get inside of the cancer cell.

5 That's unlike other anti-HER2 therapies which are 6 big proteins that only act on the outside of the cell. They 7 get into the cell and they block that enzymatic activity of 8 the HER2 protein.

9 You can see that when the signaling molecules, the 10 inactive molecules, reach that area, they're not able to be 11 acted upon. And instead of activating, they just do nothing. 12 Interestingly, HER2 is such a strong signal that the 13 HER2-positive cancer cells tend to be very addicted to that 14 signal.

15 When we take it away, we see in general very good16 responses with rapid killing of the cancer cells.

Q. So with that explanation for how neratinib works, how do you as a practicing physician know if a patient actually has HER2-positive?

A. So HER2 testing is complicated. There have been
sequential updates to the HER2 testing guidelines. Over my
entire career the last update was just either 2017 or 2018.
Basically we run two different types of tests, one that looks
for the HER2 protein and one that looks for extra copies of
the HER2 gene in the cancer cell.

1 At a large center like UC San Diego, that's done at 2 our local lab. We probably test about a thousand patients a 3 year for HER2. At smaller centers where they test fewer than 4 a hundred patients, they're not able to maintain their accreditation with the national bodies that make sure 5 pathology departments are doing this correctly. 6 7 So those centers will normally send their samples 8 out to a reference lab. In the context of a clinical trial, that would be called central review. In the context of 9 10 clinical care, we would just call it reference testing. 11 Even at UCSD, if I get a weird result from my local 12 lab, I'll send it out to an expert in the field, to a 13 reference lab to be sure. 14 And when you use the term reference lab within your Ο. 15 practice, in a clinical trial that would be called what 16 again? 17 Α. I think they use the term central confirmation. 18 Thank you. Let me ask you, when did you first learn Ο. 19 been neratinib? 20 So when we opened the I-SPY 2 trial in early 2010, Α. 21 neratinib was one of the first drugs in the trial. So that's 22 when I first learned about it. 23 And did you participate in that trial as a Ο. 24 co-investigator? 25 Yes. I enrolled patients on the trial, and I had the Α.

1 opportunity to treat them with neratinib. 2 Q. With respect to neratinib, what were the results of that 3 trial? It was positive results. The study graduated neratinib 4 Α. 5 for efficacy. And were there any side effects observed in that trial? 6 Ο. 7 Yes. We were warned about the diarrhea as we were Α. 8 opening the trial. The protocol, the I-SPY 2 protocol, 9 didn't dictate that you had to give preventative Imodium, but 10 on the investigator calls we were advised that that would be 11 a good idea, and we did that. 12 And when did you first learn about the ExteNET trial? Ο. 13 I remember -- and it's hard to remember because you're Α. 14 constantly hearing about these things. But I definitely 15 remember in December of 2015, again, at the big breast cancer 16 meeting, seeing a presentation, one of the presentations 17 about ExteNET at that time. 18 And let's talk about the efficacy results of the ExteNET 0. 19 trial. Can you explain to the jury what the ExteNET trial 20 showed in terms of efficacy? 21 Absolutely. So I think that you've been over this a few Α. 22 times, so I'll be brief. These are the two different ways 23 that I and clinicians in general look at these efficacy 24 results. 25 The absolute risk reduction is the simplest way to

look at it, particularly if I'm talking to a patient. So for a patient who is exactly like the patients on the ExteNET trial -- which obviously doesn't really exist, but that imaginary patient who is exactly like the patient on the ExteNET trial -- I can tell them that if they're treated again exactly as they were on the trial, we can expect a reduction in the risk of cancer recurring at 2.3 percent.

8 So for every thousand patients, just like that, 23 9 of them avoid their cancer coming back by taking the 10 medicine. And then when we think about the field more 11 generally as a population of patients we want to cure all of, 12 that's where I think about the relative risk reduction.

So relative risk reduction is only concerning the patients who are destined to recur. So for any given patient, I don't know who's destined to recur, but, of course, as a field, as we keep inventing new drugs with the goal of curing everyone, we want to keep track of how much of this disease burden are we chipping away at.

19The ExteNET trial was impressive. So of those20patients at risk of recurring, a third of them were prevented21from recurring with the addition of the neratinib.

Q. You've been speaking from Exhibit 1122, page DDEM54. If we can translate what you've just said into real-world numbers given the results of the ExteNET trials as you learned about them, can you translate the 23 women out of a 1 thousand into real-world numbers?

A. Absolutely. So breast cancer is not a rare disease. In the United States every year about 270,000 women are diagnosed with breast cancer, and about 25 to 30 percent of them will have HER2-positive disease. The number is a little bit of a moving target because of the constant updates to the guidelines.

8 So with that range, we can just do the math and see 9 at the low end there would be about, I don't know, 67,000 10 patients a year. The high end is a little bit over 80,000 11 patients a year with HER2-positive invasive breast cancer.

Then if we just applied that 2.3 percent without doing any further strategizing to give it to the highest-risk patients, we would see that between 1,500 to about 2,000 women would be saved from a cancer recurrence with this medication.

Q. And based on what you learned on ExteNET at the time,
did you have a view about the potential for FDA approval?
A. Absolutely. When you open a phase III trial, it's very
expensive and you have to meet with the FDA in advance. The
FDA requires it. And, of course, sponsors want to do it.

There's basically an agreement before you even start the trial. You know, if we hit our primary end point which our study is powered for, the drug is expected to be FDA approved unless there is some surprising safety signal --

1 or, of course, if you don't hit the target that you agreed 2 on. 3 And in your practice, what kinds of patients would you 0. 4 expect to recommend take neratinib? 5 Yes. It's a little bit complicated because the field Α. has evolved over time. Even back during this time period 6 7 we're talking about, there was a new approval for a new 8 anti-HER2 drug, pertuzumab, also known as perjeta, in the 9 neoadjuvant setting where we give it before patients have 10 surgery. So it is sort of a constantly evolving area. 11 Would you want all of your patients to know about 0. 12 neratinib? I certainly think that any patient who would have been 13 Α. 14 considered eligible for the ExteNET trial has the right to 15 know about those results. If I was a patient in that 16 situation facing a life-threatening disease, I would want to 17 know what was available. 18 And, you know, given the side effects and the 19 efficacy, I would expect many, many of those patients to want 20 to take it. 21 And what about the cost? The jury heard Dr. Adelson Ο. 22 talk about her patients being responsible for about 23 20 percent of the cost of their prescription drugs. Is that 24 consistent with your experience? 25 No. In my experience I see -- we take all different Α.

types of insurance at UC San Diego. So I have a lot of underinsured patients who are funded through Medicaid. Then I also have patients with really good insurance. And then there is a population of patients sort of in between, particularly Medicare patients who maybe don't have a supplemental insurance.

So the underfunded Medi-Cal patients get their
prescriptions covered. They don't have a share of cost. The
patients with really good insurance usually have basically no
share of costs or minimal share of costs. And it's that
middle group who sometimes do face a share of costs.

In my experience the drug companies are very good at providing co-pay assistance. To be honest it's not really altruistic. It doesn't cost them that much to make the drug. So if they provide the difference, they're still making money by selling the drug and getting the non co-pay part of the payment.

So in my practice I really haven't had problems getting patients the drugs they need.

20 Q. Would you expect that any of your patients would have to 21 decline treatment with neratinib because of how much it might 22 cost?

23 A. No.

Q. All right. Let me ask you about safety. You mentionedthe diarrhea side effects. Is that a temporary or a

1	long-term side effect?
2	A. It's temporary.
3	Q. Does neratinib have any long-term side effects?
4	A. No.
5	Q. And you have been you have had experience treating
6	patients with neratinib going back to the I-SPY 2 trial; is
7	that correct?
8	A. Correct. So my patients who were treated way back in
9	2010, they still follow up with me. So I have not seen any
10	long-term side effects from any of those previously neratinib
11	treated patients.
12	Q. And based on your experience treating patients with
13	neratinib, are you aware would you expect patients to stop
14	treating, to stop taking neratinib because of the diarrhea
15	side effects?
16	A. I think the simple answer is no. On the study there
17	were very strict rules about how much diarrhea you had to
18	have and for how long before you could start to reduce the
19	dose of the drug.
20	So on I-SPY 2, I did have one patient refuse to try
21	it a second time. Because she only had horrible diarrhea for
22	a couple days, the study was going to require her to go back
23	on full dose. And, of course, we both knew she was going to
24	have the same diarrhea, so she decided not to continue on
25	study-directed therapy.

1	
1	Outside of the trial, if I had access to the drug,
2	I would have just given her a lower dose and she would have
3	been happy to do that. So in my experience I don't think it
4	would be an issue.
5	Q. And based on that same experience with neratinib, would
6	you expect any of your patients to be hospitalized with
7	diarrhea?
8	A. No. I don't think I've ever had a patient hospitalized
9	for diarrhea.
10	Q. Dr. Adelson testified about some side effects that other
11	cancer treatments may cause, a parade of things hair loss,
12	joint pain, high risk of infection. Does neratinib have any
13	of those side effects?
14	A. No.
15	Q. And what about other cancer treatments that may end up
16	in early menopause which may lead to weight gain, anxiety,
17	sleep disturbances? Does neratinib cause any of those side
18	effects?
19	A. No.
20	Q. And what about patients who are going through those
21	other cancer treatments who might have to take time off from
22	work or be hospitalized? Would you expect neratinib to cause
23	any of those effects?
24	A. No.
25	Q. All right. And based on your knowledge of the ExteNET

1	trial, is neratinib a safe and effective drug for the
2	treatment of HER2-positive breast cancer?
3	A. Absolutely.
4	Q. Would you expect the results of the ExteNET trial to
5	change the standard of care for HER2-positive patients?
6	A. Yes.
7	MS. JOHNSON: Thank you, Dr. Schwab.
8	THE COURT: Right on time.
9	All right. Cross-examination.
10	CROSS-EXAMINATION
11	MS. CONN: Thank you, Your Honor.
12	BY MS. CONN:
13	Q. Good almost afternoon, Dr. Schwab.
14	A. Good afternoon.
15	Q. I think you probably remember me as the person who took
16	your deposition earlier this year, correct?
17	A. Yes.
18	Q. You understand that this case is not this jury is not
19	being asked to decide whether or not women should have
20	neratinib available as a treatment choice, right?
21	A. I actually am not a legal expert, so I would defer to
22	you and the other counsel to make those determinations.
23	Q. So you don't know that this case is about statements
24	that Mr. Auerbach made on a July 22nd, 2014, conference call
25	with investors?

1	A. I know that that's
2	THE COURT: I saw the defense look like they wanted
3	to object. Your objection is?
4	MS. JOHNSON: That counsel is mischaracterizing
5	THE COURT: By putting the word so in front of it,
6	it's not what he said. So
7	MS. CONN: Okay. I'll rephrase, Your Honor.
8	BY MS. CONN:
9	Q. Dr. Schwab, you were retained in this case to provide
10	opinions to support the defendant's position in this case; is
11	that right?
12	A. No.
13	Q. You were retained in this case to provide opinions on
14	the standard of care in breast cancer; is that right?
15	A. Yes, among other things.
16	Q. And you were retained by Puma Biotechnology and
17	Mr. Auerbach; is that right?
18	A. No. Just retained by Puma Biotechnology.
19	Q. Okay. And you understood when you prepared your
20	opinions in this case that Puma Biotechnology would use them
21	in furtherance of their case, correct?
22	A. I assumed as much.
23	Q. Okay. And did you have an understanding at the time you
24	were retained that this case was about statements that
25	Mr. Auerbach made on a July 22nd, 2014, conference call with

1	investors?
2	A. I think the way it was explained to me was investor
3	fraud, was the term that I recall.
4	Q. Okay. You didn't listen to that conference call at the
5	time; did you?
6	A. No.
7	Q. But you did review the transcript in preparation of your
8	materials in this case; is that right?
9	A. Yes.
10	Q. You just didn't refer to those statements at all in your
11	testimony today, right?
12	A. Correct.
13	Q. You agree that the ExteNET trial showed a 2.3 percent
14	absolute benefit in DFS for all patients, right?
15	A. Correct.
16	Q. I want to turn back to your demonstrative, which for the
17	record is Exhibit 1122, slide DDEM54. So here you've
18	described some of the results of the ExteNET trial, correct?
19	A. Yes.
20	Q. And you say here that if a thousand patients are treated
21	with the drug, 23 fewer women will have a recurrence of
22	breast cancer after two years; is that right?
23	A. You read it correctly, yes.
24	Q. Okay.
25	THE COURT: I wonder if you turned the light on

1	it's always good to see if the exhibit squares up with the
2	video image. That would help a little bit, yeah.
3	BY MS. CONN:
4	Q. And that's simply a different way of saying there's a
5	2.3 percent benefit in DFS, correct?
6	A. That's the way of saying absolute risk reduction in DFS,
7	yes.
8	Q. Okay, but there were some other numbers from the trial
9	that you didn't include on your slide of ExteNET trial
10	results, right?
11	A. There is an enormous number of results both from this
12	time point and other time points, yes.
13	Q. And you didn't include any safety results on this slide;
14	did you?
15	A. No, I did not. The slide is titled benefits of
16	neratinib, so I don't think it would fit.
17	Q. Okay. It's true, though, that if you were to take a
18	thousand patients if you were to treat your hypothetical
19	thousand patients here, treated with the drug, based on the
20	results of ExteNET, 952 of those women would have had
21	diarrhea, right?
22	A. I think there's a few points to make about it. Going
23	down to grade-one diarrhea, I'm not sure of. And that would
24	require that I treat them exactly the way they were treated
25	on the ExteNET trial, so with no prophylactic anti-diarrheal.

1	Q. Right. And we're just extrapolating the results of the
2	ExteNET trial. We're just multiplying them by ten instead of
3	expressing them as percentages here, right?
4	A. Yes.
5	Q. Okay. So taking the results of the ExteNET trial, how
6	about grade-three diarrhea? Do you know that result? That's
7	399 out of a thousand women, right?
8	A. Correct.
9	Q. Okay. And you are also aware that if you were to take
10	this group of a thousand patients, 276 of them would have
11	stopped taking the drug due to side effects, correct?
12	A. Yes, but there's an important point about that, which is
13	that discontinuation rate is included in the DFS benefit. We
14	assume if all of them took it, we would've seen another
15	25 percent benefit.
16	Q. Right. And you agree that patients don't benefit when
17	they can't tolerate the drug and have to stop taking it,
18	right?
19	A. By definition, to get the benefit, you must take the
20	drug, yes.
21	Q. So it's a pretty important fact that over a quarter of
22	those patients couldn't tolerate the drug, right?
23	A. No. Actually it's not. That's contained within the 2.3
24	benefit. All right? If they all were able to take it, we
25	would've seen a bigger benefit.

1	The benefit we see includes the discontinuation
2	rate. That's the real-world result from the trial.
3	Q. You're also aware that 168 of those thousand women would
4	have discontinued the drug due to the diarrhea side effect
5	alone, correct?
6	A. Yeah. On the trial, that's what happened, yes.
7	Q. Again, your slide is extrapolating the ExteNET results
8	into multiples of a thousand, right, or multiples of ten?
9	A. On that line, yes.
10	Q. Whether we're using percentages or groups of a thousand,
11	you agree with me that none of these results were disclosed
12	on that July 22nd call, right?
13	A. It's been a while since I read the call, so I would have
14	to go it's a pretty long call. I would have to go back
15	through all of that to know exactly what was or wasn't
16	disclosed.
17	Q. Are you aware from your review of the materials in this
18	case that Mr. Auerbach knew all of those results before July
19	22nd, 2014?
20	A. I mean, I read a lot about I looked through a lot of
21	material in preparing for the case, and to be honest with
22	you, I wasn't really tuned in to that part. It wasn't what I
23	was asked to review.
24	Q. So your answer is no?
25	A. At this point I'm actually not even sure what the

1 question was, but I guess the answer is no. 2 Let's look at Exhibit 1122, slide DDEM55, the one titled Q. 3 The Real World. Do you remember talking about this slide? 4 Α. Yes. 5 Okay. And you call it The Real World, but it's actually Ο. just your hypothetical expectation when you learned the 6 7 results of the ExteNET trial in 2015; is that right? 8 I -- well, certainly the 270,000 women per year Α. 9 diagnosed with breast cancer in the United States is very 10 much the real world. 11 Okay. And you estimate there's -- 25 to 30 percent of Ο. 12 those HER2-positive cancers -- or those cancers are 13 HER2-positive; is that right? 14 Yeah. Again, that data is a little harder to come by Α. 15 because of the changes to the guidelines over time, but I 16 think that that's a correct number. 17 Ο. Okay. So just this part down here is your hypothetical 18 expectation of how many women would have benefited from 19 neratinib based on the ExteNET results you learned in 2015; 20 is that right? 21 To get the first set of numbers, you just have to Α. No. 22 multiply the number of women with breast cancer per year by 23 the percentage who are HER2-positive. So those numbers are 24 very robust. The 67 and a half thousand to 81,000 number is 25 definitely robust.

1	Q. Would you agree with me, Doctor, that this right here is
2	the market for Herceptin?
3	A. Yes. Almost all HER2-positive patients with localized
4	or metastatic breast cancer will take Herceptin at one point
5	or another.
6	Q. And you're saying here in this slide that as of 2015,
7	you expected neratinib to have the same market that Herceptin
8	did?
9	A. We were talking about the potential use of the drug.
10	Q. Yes?
11	A. Yes. The potential use would be the same because of the
12	way the drug was studied. It was studied in patients who had
13	completed a year of adjuvant Herceptin and then they went on
14	to take neratinib.
15	So, yes, it was that not the exact same
16	population because Herceptin is also used in metastatic
17	patients. But in the adjuvant setting it would be available
18	after they finished their year of Herceptin.
19	Q. You agreed with me at your deposition, correct, that
20	there were certain subgroups in ExteNET who did not show a
21	benefit? Is that right?
22	A. What we were talking about
23	MS. JOHNSON: Objection, Your Honor, to the
24	improper impeachment.
25	THE COURT: Sustained. Improper use of a

1	deposition.
2	BY MS. CONN:
3	Q. You're aware, Dr. Schwab, that there were subgroups in
4	the ExteNET trial who did not show a benefit from neratinib,
5	correct?
6	A. Yes.
7	Q. And based on those results, you would have well,
8	first of all, you would not use neratinib in a patient who
9	had stage IV cancer, right?
10	A. Correct.
11	Q. Based on the eligibility criteria of the ExteNET trial?
12	A. Correct.
13	Q. Okay. So you're going to take out stage IV, which is
14	about five percent, right?
15	A. Correct.
16	Q. And you also are aware that ExteNET did not show a
17	benefit in estrogen-receptor negative patients, correct?
18	A. Yes.
19	Q. And I think your estimate of that was about 50 percent
20	of the HER2-positive population is also ER negative, correct?
21	A. Correct. Fifty percent of HER2-positive patients will
22	be ER negative. But in this context that reduction only
23	comes from the denominator, from the total number of patients
24	who would be potentially treated.
25	By eliminating them, the benefit actually gets

refined down into that ER-positive population. So we would
get the same number of patients saved from recurrence, but we
would reduce the number of patients who needed to be treated
with that knowledge.
Q. You're also aware that ExteNET did not show a benefit
for node-negative patients, correct?
A. Again, when you say didn't show a benefit, it didn't
reach statistical significance. The lower the risk of
population is, the harder it is to show significance, of
course.
Q. So is that a yes or a no?
-
A. So
Q. Are you aware that the ExteNET results did not show that
lymph node negative patients benefitted from neratinib?
A. It did not reach statistical significance for benefit in
the node-negative population, correct.
Q. So that's another 75 percent of the population, right?
A. Correct. Again, those would be patients we would
potentially not need to expose to the drug, and we could
refine the treated patients to the highest-risk patients and
still get that benefit but by treating fewer patients.
Q. Now, you've just done some math here to get to 81,000

Correct. Α.

patients, correct?

That was your expectation in 2015, that 81,000 patients Q.

1	would be eligible for treatment with neratinib?
2	A. Again, we're talking about the way the trial was
3	conducted.
4	Q. Correct.
5	A. So it's a it's an estimate. I agree with your point
6	about the five percent of patients who are primarily
7	metastatic. So I overshot by five percent, but we're still
8	within the range.
9	Q. You exaggerated; didn't you?
10	A. I made a mathematical error. I am certainly fallible in
11	that regard.
12	Q. You said earlier that well, let me ask you this.
13	You've talked a lot about your experience with neratinib.
14	How many patients have you actually treated with neratinib?
15	A. To date, including after the period of time that we're
16	talking about, about 20 now.
17	Q. About 20. Okay.
18	So your opinions based on those 20 patients, you're
19	aware that the ExteNET trial enrolled 2,800 patients,
20	correct?
21	A. I apologize. For the previous answer, the 20 doesn't
22	include the patients I treated on I-SPY 2. So my estimate
23	for how many I treated on I-SPY 2 might have been another
24	ten. So maybe 30 overall. So those were early patients
25	treated on I-SPY 2 and the later treatment now.

1	Q. Later treatment now. So you've prescribed neratinib to
2	20 patients?
3	A. Correct.
4	Q. Okay. And do you know how many patients your colleagues
5	have prescribed neratinib for?
6	A. I don't keep a running total, but the more junior
7	colleagues at UCSD do talk to me about their patients. So
8	since my deposition, probably a few more. And I think there
9	had been a few by the time of my deposition.
10	Q. Do you know nationwide how many prescriptions have been
11	written for neratinib?
12	A. I heard you over I was in the audience earlier, so
13	that I heard state a number earlier. That's the first time I
14	heard you state a number earlier. But that's the first time
15	I've actually heard a number for how much neratinib is
16	currently being used.
17	Q. Did it surprise you to hear that only 2,000 patients are
18	on neratinib today?
19	A. No. You know, the field is in constant flux. So since
20	the ExteNET trial was presented, or even before we had the
21	FDA approval of pertuzumab in the neoadjuvant setting and
22	then since ExteNET, we got the results of the pertuzumab
23	adjuvant trial where we give it afterwards.
24	Then just last December, so just last month, T-DM1
25	or Kadcyla, which is a special version of Herceptin that has

1 chemotherapy added to it, now that can be given to patients 2 who don't have a complete response to the standard treatment 3 before surgery. So --4 Doctor, is your answer no? Q. 5 Maybe you better repeat the question. Α. 6 Okay. I asked you, does it surprise you that to date Q. 7 roughly 2,000 prescriptions have been written for neratinib? 8 No. In medicine nothing surprises me. Α. It's 9 complicated. 10 Ο. But you would agree with me, wouldn't you, that 2,000 is 11 significantly less than 81,000? 12 Oh, I apologize. I didn't mean to imply that all 81,000 Α. 13 patients would end up taking it. But if we're good and we 14 pick the right 2,000 patients, we actually might save the 15 1,800 patients. That would obviously be amazing. I don't 16 think we're that good, but I think we are much better than 17 2.3 percent. But again, based on -- there's no evidence about that 18 Ο. 19 greater benefit based on what you learned in 2015. Correct? 20 Okay. So now if I'm going to now forget everything from Α. 21 after 2015, no, there still is reason to believe, because 22 even in the 2015 data, we knew that the ER positive, 23 HER2-positive patients were the ones who were benefiting. 24 So just by focusing on that group, we could 25 basically double the benefit. And then by focusing on the

1	lymph node positive population, we could also enhance the
2	benefit. It's hard to know exactly how much, but it's quite
3	clear we could do a lot better than 2.3 percent.
4	Q. Doctor, I'm just going to move on to the next question.
5	You said you learned about the ExteNET trial at San Antonio,
6	right?
7	A. That's the first time I can be sure of hearing about it.
8	It's hard for me to remember all the different medications I
9	hear about at different
10	Q. So that was
11	A time points, of course.
12	MS. JOHNSON: Your Honor
13	BY MS. CONN:
14	Q. That was the three-year analysis, correct?
15	A. Yes, the three-year analysis.
16	Q. And you're aware that the DFS benefit of the three-year
17	analysis
18	MS. JOHNSON: Objection, Your Honor. Objection.
19	THE COURT: Hold on. I think she needed to
20	complete the question.
21	Did you get the whole question, Ms. Baird?
22	Would you repeat the question.
23	BY MS. CONN:
24	Q. You're aware that the DFS benefit as presented at San
25	Antonio in 2015 was 2.1 percent, correct?

1 MS. JOHNSON: Your Honor, I object based on motion 2 in limine number four. We have been precluded from putting 3 on quite a bit of evidence --4 THE COURT: Response. 5 MS. CONN: He testified to this as the basis of his 6 knowledge and opinions of an increasing benefit for 7 neratinib. 8 MS. JOHNSON: We have been -- you're asking me? 9 The defendants have been exceedingly careful about the time 10 period that Your Honor viewed. We would have put in a number 11 of other pieces of information about this drug, and plaintiff 12 is cherry-picking one out of thousands that reflect the true 13 benefit of this drug. 14 THE COURT: The objection is sustained. 15 Next question. 16 BY MS. CONN: 17 Ο. At any rate, Doctor, you learned about neratinib -- you did not learn about neratinib at ASCO in 2015; is that right? 18 19 Α. I did not attend ASCO 2015, and I don't remember seeing 20 the slides. Sometimes I do look through the slides. I just 21 don't remember. 22 Okay. And yet you managed to hear about it and Ο. 23 prescribed it at least 20 times through today, correct? 24 Wait. I heard about it at the San Antonio Breast Cancer Α. 25 Symposium before it was approved, yeah.

1 MS. CONN: That's all I have. THE COURT: All right. Thank you. 2 3 Is defense ready? Actually --MS. JOHNSON: I'm out of time. 4 THE COURT: Indeed. So the defense rests? 5 MR. CLUBOK: Yes, Your Honor. 6 7 THE COURT: All right. 8 MR. CLUBOK: The defense rests. 9 THE COURT: Is there any rebuttal from the 10 plaintiff? 11 MR. FORGE: Yes, Your Honor. 12 How much time do we have? 13 THE COURT: You have 30 minutes -- a little less 14 than 30 minutes. 15 MR. FORGE: Your Honor, we are going to play the 16 video deposition of Mr. William Hicks. 17 THE COURT: Is that your last witness? 18 MR. FORGE: Yes, Your Honor. 19 MR. CLUBOK: Your Honor, we would object to this on 20 two grounds. One, Mr. --21 THE COURT: Have we already discussed this outside 22 the presence of the jury? 23 MR. CLUBOK: I do not believe so, not the first 24 ground. 25 THE COURT: Not this one?

1 MR. CLUBOK: Yeah. The first ground is that 2 Mr. Hicks was available here. At plaintiffs' request he was 3 here for several days waiting to be called during their 4 case-in-chief. He was an available witness, and therefore 5 the deposition --6 THE COURT: Let me cut short. Gosh, I always like 7 you to lead with the nature of your objection. 8 So you're saying? 9 MR. CLUBOK: The witness was not unavailable, and 10 therefore the deposition is hearsay. 11 THE COURT: Thank you. Very well stated. 12 Response? 13 MR. FORGE: Stated in the past tense, Your Honor. 14 The witness is unavailable. He's on the east coast. His 15 attorney never returned my calls to appear. We only have the 16 video to play. If he was here, I would be happy to put him 17 on the stand live. 18 MR. CLUBOK: We just --19 THE COURT: All right. This -- gosh, this is an 20 interesting issue at this late hour. I believe if he was in 21 court -- was he in court during the plaintiffs' case? 22 MR. CLUBOK: He was not in court because of the 23 witness exclusion rule, but he was in the building waiting to 24 be called or at the hotel nearby. He was standing by for 25 several days waiting to be called.

1 Every day the witness list kept changing. They 2 finally told us they were not going to call Mr. Hicks. He still stayed here anyway until their case-in-chief rested. 3 Ι advised them of that. Yesterday we said, okay, I quess we'll 4 5 send Mr. Hicks home. We did. 6 This is the very first time, including last night 7 when we have our witness identification rule, that I've ever 8 heard a suggestion they were going to call Mr. Hicks. So 9 they waited until he went home, and now I guess they're 10 claiming he's unavailable. 11 It's hearsay. The witness was available and should 12 have been called when he was here. 13 THE COURT: All right. Do you add to your list of 14 objections failure to provide advance warning, advance 15 designation? 16 MR. CLUBOK: We do, Your Honor. THE COURT: Okay. Gosh, I'm very open to 17 18 accommodating witnesses' schedules, and I'm inclined to go 19 along with the defense. 20 Anything further? 21 MR. FORGE: Yes, Your Honor. We did provide 22 advance designation of the deposition excerpts. We had an 23 agreement on it. Mr. Hicks' schedule was such that at one 24 point he was not going to be available, and this is all I'm 25 getting secondhand. I could never get a return call from his

1	lawyer, so we wound up not being able to call him when we
2	wanted to. We weren't going to call him.
3	There was many changes going back and forth on
4	witness lists, as Your Honor knows. We were planning on
5	calling Ms. Kopcho in our rebuttal. The defense then pulled
6	her in.
7	And because they played Mr. Wolff's testimony which
8	refers so much to Mr. Hicks, we would like to play
9	Mr. Hicks's video deposition which has already been agreed
10	upon back in the time when we were going to play it in our
11	case-in-chief.
12	MR. CLUBOK: Your Honor, we would have happily
13	examined Mr. Hicks when he was here live in
14	cross-examination. As soon as right after their first
15	witness was called, Mr. Hicks was here and available, and he
16	waited until yesterday. I specifically told Mr. Forge we
17	were sending him home. Heard nothing about that he might be
18	called today.
19	It's well past the 36-hour notice that we I
20	think there's an order that the parties a stipulation.
21	THE COURT: It could have been an oral order, but
22	you did inform me of the stipulation. You didn't object.
23	MR. CLUBOK: Yes. And the parties had agreed to
24	give each other 36 hours' notice. Mr. Hicks was sent home
25	because the plaintiffs rested and also did not tell us that

1 they might even possibly call him in rebuttal. 2 I asked repeatedly yesterday what other witnesses 3 could possibly be called. In fact, I even asked a couple hours ago if they had any witnesses left to call, and I 4 5 wasn't advised that Mr. Hicks was. 6 We would have probably -- well, I don't know what 7 we could have done to get him back this morning by magic, but 8 we certainly, if we had known this even last night, We would have done something to get him here. He could have flown 9 10 this morning from Boston and been back. 11 THE COURT: Anything else from the plaintiff? 12 MR. FORGE: Yes, Your Honor. We did not think we 13 would not have enough time -- given the listing of witnesses 14 that we were exchanging late into the evening last night, we 15 did not think we would have enough time. 16 As Your Honor knows, there were objections to 17 portions of Mr. Wolff's deposition. We worked those out. We 18 wound up having enough time to play it. This is not -- we 19 specifically told the defense to reserve time for rebuttal. 20 They declined to do that, so it wouldn't make a 21 difference if he was here live or not. There would be no 22 time for cross-examination. And like I said, we cleared 23 these designations with them at a time when we thought we were going to be playing it in our case-in-chief. 24 25 So there's nothing I could've done to be in a

1 different position than we're in right now. They pulled two 2 witnesses that they told us they were going to call today. 3 We did not think we would have time for this. 4 THE COURT: The objection by the defense is 5 sustained. Who would the plaintiff now like to call? MR. FORGE: Your Honor, we would rest our case, our 6 7 rebuttal. 8 THE COURT: In that case, ladies and gentlemen, we 9 are concluded. So the attorneys and I are going to be 10 sticking around today working on jury instructions that we'll 11 give to you, and then we will see you Tuesday at 9:00. 12 I will probably begin by reading the jury 13 instructions. I estimate maybe 45 minutes. Then we will 14 have the attorney arguments which I think will conclude by 15 noon. If not, maybe a little after noon. Then you'll begin 16 your deliberations. So I appreciate all your patience. Have a nice 17 18 weekend. Tuesday at 9:00. Thank you. 19 THE CLERK: All rise. 20 (Open court - jury not present) THE COURT: All right. As they're leaving, why 21 22 don't we take a quick break and then come back and discuss 23 instructions. Thank you. 24 MR. COUGHLIN: Your Honor, before you leave the 25 bench, can we just have a quick second? We just have our

1 50(a) motion for judgment as a matter of law as to the 2 rebutting of the presumption of reliance that I'll hand up 3 for Your Honor's consideration. You can read it. THE COURT: Actually, why don't you come all the 4 5 way around here. Come this way. Has the defense seen these papers? 6 7 MS. JOHNSON: No, Your Honor. 8 MR. COUGHLIN: No. We just prepared them, Your 9 Honor. They're for the conclusion of the case. We don't 10 think that the efficient market hypothesis has been rebutted. 11 Gompers didn't do an event study. Nobody challenged 12 Feinstein's numbers. 13 Gompers provided no evidence that there had been 14 actual impact on the stock. The defense has failed to show 15 that Norfolk didn't rely on the integrity of the market 16 price. Ms. Skye Drynan and Kopcho both expressed that they 17 actually relied on the price in making the purchases of the 18 stock. So I think that we've established an efficient 19 20 market and showed -- should get the benefit of the reliance 21 on that market. We've also established that Norfolk relied 22 on the market price. 23 THE COURT: All right. 24 Mr. Clubok, this is significant, and you haven't 25 been given much time. So I will not be ruling here without

1 giving you more time. It does affect the jury instructions 2 we are about to discuss. 3 Do you have any general comments? Again, this 4 isn't dispositive. 5 MR. CLUBOK: Well, generally speaking, I would say 6 the evidence did show that the plaintiff purchased the stock 7 at the --8 THE COURT: Maybe you need to gather your thoughts. 9 MR. CLUBOK: I think I would like to gather my 10 thoughts if that's okay. 11 THE COURT: I'll make some quick observations, 12 which are always dangerous and they might not be applicable. 13 You know, the Basic versus Levinson case does 14 present a strong burden that I've wondered about. 15 Folks, you may or may not have noticed that a day 16 or two ago I was suggesting a judicial ruling on that. The 17 response from the plaintiff was: In your summary judgment, 18 Judge, you said it would go to the jury. 19 Therefore, I'm a little surprised by this motion. 20 It's kind of what I was hinting at. I'm just saying. Or 21 maybe you picked up on my hint. Do you wish to speak? 22 MR. GRONBORG: I believe it was separate issues. 23 It was not with regard to the reliance we talked about. It 24 was specifically with regard to materiality, the falsity of 25 materiality of the statements. That was what we said went to

1 the jury. 2 So if we back up there, there are four elements --THE COURT: Okay. 3 MR. GRONBORG: -- with respect to the efficient 4 5 This is specifically tied to the defendants' burden market. and their burden to rebut. So that is separate from what we 6 7 were discussing the other day. 8 THE COURT: I'm not sure of that, but maybe there 9 was a miscommunication. 10 Mr. Clubok. 11 MR. CLUBOK: Yeah. 12 THE COURT: I don't expect this to be complete or 13 even an answer at all, but what evidence did you provide 14 concerning the fraud-on-the-market theory? 15 MR. CLUBOK: Your Honor, we provided evidence that 16 lead plaintiff Norfolk purchased the stock not in reliance or 17 even frankly in consideration of the market price. 18 To the contrary, Norfolk's research showed that the 19 market was mispricing the stock, had significantly 20 undervalued the stock and their own independent analysis 21 without regard to the market price except to take advantage of what they thought was an inefficient market that had 22 23 significantly undervalued the stock. 24 They jumped on, for example, on May 14th, a buying 25 opportunity because they thought the market had misunderstood 1 the abstract. It turned out the market corrected for that a 2 few days later, and Norfolk benefited from that. But that's 3 just one of many examples.

THE COURT: One of many. We'll see how many many are. Good argument on your feet right there. And I noted a lot of time was spent asking the witness, you thought you were smarter. You thought the market price was wrong and you were betting it was wrong.

9 Doesn't that prove too much? People are always 10 betting the market is wrong. People are always betting that 11 Gurley's not going to play and more than 20 snaps in the 12 Super Bowl, so I'm going to beat Vegas with my odds. All 13 sorts of things.

14 So people betting against the market or the Super 15 Bowl doesn't mean that Vegas is wrong or that the market 16 theory is wrong. So what about that? I was wondering about 17 that.

18 MR. CLUBOK: Well, for --

19 THE COURT: Wouldn't it prove too much? Wouldn't 20 it prove that there's never a fraud-on-the-market theory 21 because people are always betting differently?

22 MR. CLUBOK: Well, there's a presumption that the 23 information is incorporated into the market. One way to 24 rebut that presumption is to show specifically that a 25 plaintiff did -- believes that the market did not incorporate the information.

1

-	
2	That period where we had an admission from
3	Professor Feinstein that from May 14th to May 27th the stock
4	was volatile in that period. Remember that admission I spent
5	so much time on?
6	That alone demonstrates an indication that the
7	market was not operating efficiently and was not quickly
8	incorporating the price, but instead took several days to
9	incorporate it. And that was a very small measure of the
10	volatility throughout the class period as compared to the
11	rest of the class period which experienced even greater
12	volatility.
13	So as Professor Gompers said
14	MR. GRONBORG: Your Honor
15	THE COURT: One at a time.
16	Did you finish?
17	MR. CLUBOK: Well, I was going to tie it to some of
18	Professor Gompers' testimony. With more time I'm sure I
19	could expand on this. I don't know how much of my sneak
20	preview arguments I should be saying here, and I don't want
21	to repeat myself later. I'm doing this, you know, obviously
22	with some quick reactions.
23	THE COURT: Did you know this motion was coming?
24	MR. CLUBOK: No. Maybe I'm not as good I should
25	have anticipated it perhaps, but I certainly did not have any

1 idea it was coming. 2 THE COURT: Response? 3 MR. GRONBORG: The market efficiency for Puma stock has been admitted as fact in the case. It's in the pretrial 4 order. So I'm not sure what --5 6 THE COURT: I'm going to repeat it. The market 7 efficiency for Puma stock is admitted, period. It's in the 8 pretrial order? 9 MR. GRONBORG: Yes. 10 THE COURT: Written by who? 11 MR. GRONBORG: The parties submitted the joint 12 pretrial order. 13 THE COURT: Is that true? 14 MR. CLUBOK: My team is conferring here and I'll find out. 15 16 THE COURT: All right. 17 MR. CLUBOK: Your Honor, what I'm also -- I'm 18 getting shakes of the head, so I'm not sure. I will say 19 that --20 THE COURT: You know, you said shakes. I observe 21 nods. But go ahead. Go ahead. 22 MR. CLUBOK: Your Honor, the fraud on the market 23 allows us -- and I think -- I believe Your Honor gave us in 24 the pretrial motion phase several different ways, that we 25 certainly identified several different ways to rebut the

1 fraud on the market, one of them being materiality and, you 2 know, the other ones that we discussed at that pretrial 3 motions hearing on this issue. 4 I would very much like to take some time today to 5 review plaintiffs' motion, review our pretrial pleadings, 6 review what was said at the pretrial hearing. 7 THE COURT: Hold on. That means we might have to 8 have alternative jury instructions prepared for Monday, if 9 you get my drift. Yeah. 10 MR. CLUBOK: 11 THE COURT: Okay. So let's take a break now. 12 We've obviously worked through the lunch hour and I 13 appreciate it. Let's take a 15-minute break, and we will be 14 concluding today sometime before 3:00. It depends on how we 15 progress. 16 Let's take a 15-minute break. 17 MR. GRONBORG: Thank you, Your Honor. 18 MR. CLUBOK: Thank you. 19 (Recess taken from 1:29 p.m. until 1:45 p.m.) 20 THE COURT: Are we ready to begin? MR. GRONBORG: Yes, Your Honor. 21 22 THE COURT: The first thing is I'm going to ask 23 Melissa to hand each side this document. 24 Now, let me explain what this document is. This 25 document is your original list of exhibits. You'll see it

has date identified and date admitted. What I think necessarily we have to do is you need to sit down with my clerk and agree on the list, agree that I didn't miss something, and then put together a package to give to the jury.

1

2

3

4

5

6 Now, one of the confusions we'll have is all the 7 documents given to me that aren't on the list. And, you 8 know, I've already said the reason I like one list and the 9 reason I urge you to pare it down and give it to me is it's 10 difficult for me to deal with half a dozen revised lists -- I 11 hope you're listening and not talking to each other -- half a 12 dozen revised lists, because then I just don't know which 13 list I'm working off of and I've got things admitted on other 14 lists.

15 I try to keep it on the one paper. It doesn't work 16 to give me a revised list and then a revised list and then a 17 revised list, because I need one list. So if you look at, 18 for example, Exhibit 319, there's no list. It's not on the 19 list. So I stuck it on the list. For the record, when it 20 says 122, 122, it means it was identified and admitted, but 21 that only goes to 319, not to 321, if you see what I mean. 22 Same thing for 324. That goes to 324, not to 322. 23 It's just what I had to do to keep up with things.

24Then we get to 475R. I put five pages. What I25introduced we agreed upon would be five pages. And then 486,

1 it looks like it was identified on the 17th, and I would 2 interpret that to mean I introduced a revised version on the 3 22nd. I could be wrong about that. Then it looks like, for example, 701 I never 4 5 admitted. And you can read through and see what you think. 6 At times, it was a bit confusing what the parties were doing. 7 Finally, let's turn to page 18. Page 18 says 994 8 was admitted. It doesn't mean 196 and 486 were admitted. 9 Those were copies someone was telling me had relevance to 10 994. Perhaps I should have erased that. 11 Then you see kind of the mess we have on page 20 12 where I kept trying to see where we're going. I added 13 things. You can see I got very tight with no room for 180 and 181, so I had to do that on its own and stick it in there 14 15 like you see there. 16 You'll see there's two columns in those 17 demonstratives, like at 1100, were not introduced -- were not 18 admitted though they were identified. Then those lists also 19 don't identify the document. Actually this is the first time 20 I've gone through a case and not gotten identifications for 21 each document. 22 So they aren't identified. I think they need to be 23 identified, what each of them are. The identifications 24 probably are in the half a dozen or so supplemental exhibit 25 lists I got, but they aren't on this sheet.

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT

1 Then on the last page, boy, it just looks like 2 random additional documents, and it looks like none of them were admitted. Huh. Yeah, maybe I'm wrong, because it 3 4 started to get confusing there. But I see none of those 5 documents handwritten on the last page were admitted. 6 One of the things you folks need to do between now 7 and Monday at 10:00 is get together -- listen carefully. Get 8 together, agree on a final list with all the descriptions. 9 The descriptions likely come from the supplements you gave 10 me. I don't know. Agree on my notations here of identified 11 and admitted. 12 I am concerned that none of those on the last page 13 I have down as admitted. Maybe I just missed it. Maybe 14 those were all demonstratives coming at the end. All right. 15 Just come to agreement. And if there are some that you just 16 don't agree upon, raise that on Monday and we'll see what we 17 do. 18 I hope you come to agreement on just about 19 everything because this will be the package that goes to the

20 jury. Okay. So that's the exhibits.

21 MR. GRONBORG: Your Honor, can I ask just one for 22 clarification?

THE COURT: Uh-huh.

23

24 MR. GRONBORG: When you're referring to 25 identification, are you simply referring to the last page, or

1 are you -- for the document description, or are you looking 2 for Bates numbers or some other identification beyond the 3 document description? THE COURT: Now you've used a word that I used 4 5 twice. One is the date identified column. You're not 6 talking about that? 7 MR. GRONBORG: No, no, no. 8 THE COURT: We're talking about the description, 9 and your question about the description is what? 10 MR. GRONBORG: I understood you wanted the parties 11 to include a description, and my question was is that just 12 for these documents on the last page? 13 THE COURT: No. It's for every document that 14 doesn't have a description. 15 MR. GRONBORG: Okay. 16 THE COURT: For example, let's go to 319. Wasn't it 319? Turn to 319. You see it doesn't --17 18 MR. GRONBORG: I understand now. I understand what 19 you're talking about now. THE COURT: So there's lots of them in there that 20 21 weren't described. In fact, everything that's not on the 22 original list wasn't described, so it needs a description. 23 First time that's ever happened to me. 24 Okay. Then let me just say I appreciate the 25 defendant's motion for directed verdict. It's on record. Ι

1 understand your arguments, and I'm going to deny it. 2 MR. CLUBOK: May I just say one thing? 3 THE COURT: Sure. MR. CLUBOK: Technically we'd like to renew it now 4 5 that our case has closed, before the case goes to the jury. So we would like to now renew that motion. 6 7 THE COURT: Good. I think that's appropriate. 8 I still deny it. Stated differently, I don't see 9 anything in the actual defense case that would change my 10 ruling. 11 Then we have plaintiffs' motion for judgment as a 12 matter of law, and I'm taking that under submission. I think 13 it may affect what we do with the jury instructions, but we 14 need to look at it. 15 Okay. Then, looking at my witness list, who was 16 the last witness? 17 MR. CLUBOK: Richard Schwab. 18 THE COURT: Yeah. Okay. Then on the jury 19 instructions, let's make sure we're on the same wavelength. 20 On Monday at 10:00 o'clock I need us to finalize the jury 21 instructions. The final version will be jury instructions 22 that go to the jury and say Court's Instruction No. without 23 reference to subject matter or anything. 24 I gave you a few redoes by me where I put Court's 25 -- no. Let me stay away from that. It should simply say

Court's Instruction No. with a blank. You need to put together the preliminary instructions I read at the start of the trial. You need to include the first instructions we read at the close of the trial about selecting a jury. And then we need to get into the substantive instructions.

6 What I'd like to be working off on Tuesday is --7 before I get to that, let's see how far we get today 8 reviewing these matters. First of all, as I said before, you 9 need to make sure they're all consistent on using a plural 10 version of plaintiff.

Second of all, I will give joint instruction number one, which has been agreed upon. Next we have Securities Exchange Act instructions pages 5 to 12. I told you that I'm going to include an omissions-based theory. You've already made your argument. I'm going to include an omissions-based theory.

Next we get to elements of 10(b) claim pages 13 to 24. Now, a big issue there is whether we add the word justifiably before the word relied. I understand we need not add justifiably before the word relied because that is based on a market fraud theory and plaintiff has said if they haven't proven market fraud, they lose. So we don't put justifiably there.

But we have to make sure that what we do say leads to plaintiffs' loss if market fraud isn't established in the

1 later instructions. Does that all make sense? Yeah. So, 2 you know, I redid some instructions. I'm not sure they're 3 clear that if market fraud -- you know, particularly the fourth element of materiality -- isn't established, that the 4 5 plaintiffs would lose. The plaintiffs are so confident of it they 6 7 basically have given me a motion on it. We'll look at it. 8 We just have to make sure that the instructions lead to that 9 conclusion. 10 So in that regard, I'm going to give plaintiffs' 11 instruction number four. Then we get to materiality, pages 25 through 37 in 12 13 the briefs. 14 MS. SMITH: I'm sorry, Your Honor. One point of 15 clarification. You said plaintiffs' instruction number four 16 for the last instruction. I think you meant plaintiffs' instruction number three. 17 18 THE COURT: I did. Thank you. I appreciate that. 19 MS. SMITH: Thank you. 20 THE COURT: All right. So now on materiality, we 21 get a bit to the Omnicare issue and the question of opinion. 22 I previously stated that I believe Omnicare is deeply rooted 23 in the issue of opinion, clearly stated throughout and 24 clearly agreed by everyone in the case. And I'm not 25 convinced that this case parallels that in that regard, which

1	leads me to conclude at this moment that I would not include
2	an opinion instruction.
3	Yesterday I believe Ms. Johnson, arguing quickly
4	without pre-advance, said that the misstatements included the
5	word believe.
6	MS. JOHNSON: And that I would check.
7	THE COURT: Do they?
8	MR. JOHNSON: No, Your Honor.
9	THE COURT: Okay. Thank you for that. I didn't
10	see it either. Maybe I'm relying too much on the statements
11	in the jury instruction and I just didn't see it. So I'm not
12	inclined to give the opinion portion of the instruction.
13	Further argument on that?
14	MS. JOHNSON: We understand your ruling, Your
15	Honor.
16	THE COURT: Okay. So I would give plaintiffs'
17	instruction 4.1. Next is plaintiffs' instruction I'm
18	sorry, defendant's instruction five. Yeah, I think that's
19	what we've been talking about. I would not give defendant's
20	instruction five.
21	That gets us to state of mind, which is on pages 38
22	through 67 of the brief. I would give plaintiffs'
23	instruction number five, replace the fourth paragraph with
24	defendant's instruction number six. So let's see that
25	through a bit here.

1 So I'm looking at the argument beginning on page 38 2 of the joint statement, which for the record is document 687. By the way, Ms. Johnson, I don't know what your statement was 3 4 just now. I don't want you to be waiving anything. I think 5 your statement was, we understand your ruling and not we agree with your ruling. 6 7 MS. JOHNSON: That's correct, Your Honor. 8 THE COURT: Sometimes you slip into we agree, and I again don't want that to be a waiver. If I mess up, I want 9 10 clarification from higher powers than I. 11 Okay. That would be what I would do, is give 12 plaintiffs' instruction number five but replace the fourth 13 paragraph which concerns corporate knowledge with the third 14 paragraph of defendant's instruction number six. 15 So we're going to have to decide, if I stick with 16 that ruling, who's going to put all this together. But what do you say about that ruling? 17 18 MR. GRONBORG: That's fine, Your Honor. The only 19 point I would make is the second use of the word statement in 20 that paragraph. It should be plural. It's obviously a fix we can make. And plaintiffs are happy to take the laboring 21 22 oar on putting these together. 23 MS. SMITH: Your Honor, if we may be heard on this 24 instruction briefly? 25 THE COURT: Just a moment.

1 So when you say you're concerned about the use of 2 the word singular statement on page 40, line 15? 3 MR. GRONBORG: I've got it printed out separately. 4 So on mine it's line 13. It just says acted knowingly with 5 respect to the --THE COURT: Hold on. Slower. 6 7 MR. GRONBORG: Acted knowingly with respect to the 8 statements at issue in the case, if Mr. Auerbach made the 9 statements knowingly. 10 THE COURT: Yes. That should be statements with 11 an S. 12 And you wanted to argue about this whole thing. Go 13 ahead. 14 MS. SMITH: Not the whole thing, Your Honor. 15 THE COURT: I'm with you. 16 MS. SMITH: So first of all, we believe that the 17 language regarding recklessness should refer specifically to 18 the deliberate recklessness standard that comes straight from 19 Ninth Circuit authority, including the Ninth Circuit's most 20 recent case on this issue, Webb versus SolarCity, which is --21 THE COURT: Just a moment. Hold on one second. 22 All right. It looks like the plaintiffs have given 23 me the agreed instruction language found at 556 of the model 24 jury instructions dated 2017. And you want to change it how? 25 MS. SMITH: In two ways, Your Honor. First of all,

1 we believe the standard is deliberate recklessness rather 2 than recklessness. And while the model instructions were 3 revised in 2017, there's actually a 2018 Ninth Circuit case, Webb versus SolarCity, 884 --4 5 THE COURT: I have the cite. MS. SMITH: Okay. Thank you, Your Honor. 6 7 THE COURT: Just a moment. Let me read what you say on page 41. 8 9 (Court reading document) 10 THE COURT: Okay. Next point. 11 MS. SMITH: The other portion of the jury 12 instruction we would propose to add to the model is the 13 single sentence in the second paragraph of our proposed 14 instruction, which reads: It is not enough for plaintiff to 15 show that Mr. Auerbach acted accidentally, negligently, or 16 merely made a mistake. 17 That's a critical modifier for the jury to 18 understand exactly what state of mind is required for 19 scienter. That language appears in the Fifth Circuit, 20 Seventh Circuit general pattern jury instructions for each of 21 those Courts as well as it's been used in all of the major 22 securities cases or many of them -- the Mark Cuban case, the 23 Goldman Sachs case. And it was also used in the JDS Uniphase case. 24 25 This is particularly critical here because we believe

plaintiffs will argue that Mr. Auerbach had scienter simply because he had the topline results. We do not believe it would be appropriate to exclude this language. It's critically important that the jury understand that something more is required for scienter as opposed to just having the results. He must have a state of mind to commit securities fraud.

8 By informing the jury that it's not enough that he 9 acted negligently, we think that's an appropriate decision. 10 THE COURT: Argument.

MR. GRONBORG: So the two points, the addiction of the word deliberate we find is not in the instructions because it's not necessary. That is simply the shorthand that the Ninth Circuit uses in addition to conscious recklessness.

16 THE COURT: Or longhand, as the case might be.
17 MR. GRONBORG: Or longhand, as the case may be. So
18 certainly for the jury, the way reckless is defined, there's
19 no dispute that that is legally the way the standard of
20 recklessness that is appropriate.

21 So whether it's conscious or intentional or 22 deliberate, there's no need to add or modify as to what is 23 there. There's certainly no change in the law about what 24 standard of recklessness is necessary.

25

On the second issue, again defendants are simply

trying to redefine or add additional definitions with respect to knowingly and reckless. The sentence they want to add doesn't appear anywhere in the Ninth Circuit model instructions. It is not based on any new law or any change in the law.

They listed a few other cases where they think it involves the SEC. You know, there's probably a litany of cases that don't use it because they use the model instructions. I'm not sure that adds anything.

10 THE COURT: Okay. I understand the argument. 11 Reading through the decision, sometimes the Ninth Circuit 12 throws in words, sometimes significant, and sometimes other 13 words are sufficient. I'm going to stick with my ruling to 14 give plaintiffs' instruction number five but replace the 15 fourth paragraph, re, corporate knowledge, with the third 16 paragraph in defendant's instruction number six, including 17 that pluralization where needed.

Now, here's something we have in defendant's instruction 7 through 11. I said it before and I'll say it again. Defendant's brief reminds me that the model instructions are not in tablets down from on high. They're merely from the jury instruction committee. They can be overruled, just like BAJI and CACI can be overruled.

24 But I do think I need a strong reason to overrule 25 them because I know how carefully the committee looks at

1	these. So 7 and 11 face those observations, and I don't
2	think any are necessary.
3	So I'll turn to the defense. And tell me pick
4	any or all of 7 through 11 and tell me why I need them.
5	MS. SMITH: We understand the Court's ruling with
6	respect to 7, 8, 9, and 10, without waiving any of our
7	arguments. We acknowledge
8	THE COURT: Wait. So let me just is 111 good
9	faith?
10	MS. SMITH: 11 is good faith.
11	THE COURT: Let me just tell you my notes on good
12	faith. You know, I think it's been rejected in this district
13	and the Ninth Circuit, United States versus Shipsey, a 2004
14	case, no right to any good-faith defense when the jury is
15	adequately instructed with regard to intent.
16	So I am impressed you want to focus on 11. It's
17	important for you. I focused on it, too. Let me hear what
18	you have to say.
19	MS. SMITH: Thank you, Your Honor. Not having
20	looked at the case that Your Honor just cited that's unclear
21	to me, I would want to look in that case to see how the
22	good-faith proposed instruction interacts with the knowingly
23	instruction that the Court had given. So there might be some
24	component of the knowingly instruction there that would be
25	relevant.

Г

More importantly, this instruction, actually the one that we proposed for good faith, was given by Judge Selna right next door in a case also involving 10(b) claims almost verbatim in the SEC versus Moshayedi case. It has also been given in again JDS Uniphase, in SEC versus Toray, in the Avendi case.

And I would add, Your Honor, as Your Honor knows,
these cases do not often go to trial, the securities class
action cases.

10 THE COURT: You know -- hold on. That's a very 11 good point. They are not constantly being tested by the 12 creation of jury instructions. Poor Judge Selna. Poor Judge 13 Guilford. Go ahead.

MS. SMITH: In many of the cases that have gone to trial, Courts have given a good-faith instruction. It is consistent with Ninth Circuit law. And again, this is critically important for our case where we anticipate that the jury could be confused should it conclude that the mere possession of information by Mr. Auerbach is sufficient to establish scienter.

His good faith is a defense to scienter, and we believe that the jury should be instructed. And I would -if Your Honor would like to review any of these authorities, we'd be happy to provide them.

THE COURT: Like to review what?

25

MS. SMITH: Any of the jury instructions, 1 2 additional jury instructions that have been given in other 3 securities cases. 4 THE COURT: No. You give a good list of citations, 5 and that's sufficient. What does the plaintiff have to say? 6 7 MR. GRONBORG: Your Honor, in addition to the 8 Shipsey case that you noted and that we cited in our papers, 9 there's an entire litany of Ninth Circuit cases that have 10 very, very consistently held --11 THE COURT: And District Court, but apparently not 12 Judge Selna. 13 MR. GRONBORG: Not Judge Selna. There was another 14 District Court judge I think we noted who did. I think it 15 was you, you know, who had basically rejected -- this is the 16 Schultz case where it was the same -- you rejected a very, very similar good-faith instruction on the same basis that 17 18 the Ninth Circuit has, which is, it's not necessary. 19 THE COURT: Well, I'm trying to decide whether I 20 hold in higher esteem the judge in that court or this court. 21 You know what? I'm leaning against the defense. But when we 22 show up Monday, just have that Court instruction, boom, with 23 that, and we'll withhold final judgment. I want to look at 24 this a little bit further. 25 MS. SMITH: Thank you, Your Honor.

THE COURT: By the way, I hold Judge Selna in the
 highest possible esteem.

3 Okay. So then that gets us to reliance. On 4 reliance I have put together an instruction that pretty much 5 mixes defendant's and plaintiffs' fraud-on-the-market 6 instructions, plaintiffs' number six and defendant's number 7 12. 8 So I'm looking at this. I hope you all have it. 9 It's what I typed it up and handed out to you all. And I'll 10 turn to the plaintiff and ask what is your response to my 11 proposed reliance instruction. 12 MR. GRONBORG: In general we're fine with it. 13 There's one, the very last sentence, which begins: If 14 defendants successfully rebut the presumption of reliance by 15 Norfolk Pension Fund, plaintiffs must then prove that they 16 justifiably relied directly on the alleged misrepresentations 17 or omissions. 18 We don't think it's necessary, given the point that 19 we made previously that the plaintiffs are not relying on a 20 direct reliance case. 21 THE COURT: Say that last sentence. 22 MR. GRONBORG: Plaintiffs are not relying on direct 23 reliance. If they lose on the fraud on the market, they 24 lose. 25 THE COURT: Got it.

162

1 MR. GRONBORG: And then I have one other. 2 THE COURT: Okay. What else? 3 MR. GRONBORG: Recognizing that the rebuttal issue 4 may be moot by Monday, the only other point is there's the --5 there's the either/or, how you can rebut the presumption as to Norfolk itself if it didn't rely on the integrity of the 6 7 market price. Or you can rebut it as to the class as a whole 8 if the alleged misrepresentations and omissions did not 9 affect the market price of the stock. 10 And I'm not -- I don't know if it changes the 11 instructions, but we want it clear for the record that what 12 the law says is if you rebut the presumption as to the 13 individual plaintiff, that does not rebut the presumption as 14 to the class. These are distinctive. So you can rebut it as 15 to an individual plaintiff. The class would still get that 16 presumption unless you satisfied part B. 17 THE COURT: Okay. 18 MR. GRONBORG: Does that make sense? 19 THE COURT: Yes. 20 What does the defense say? 21 MR. CLUBOK: That may be true if there was another 22 plaintiff for whom their presumption was not rebutted. In a 23 case where there's only one plaintiff who is typical and the 24 presumptions are rebutted against that plaintiff, that 25 applies to the rest of the class.

1 Certainly without a live plaintiff here who 2 successfully withstands the rebuttal of the presumption, 3 there certainly cannot be a finding for the rest of the 4 class. 5 THE COURT: Okay. Any other objections to the 6 Court's proposed instruction? 7 MR. CLUBOK: Well, our other objections, but we 8 understand your ruling. 9 THE COURT: Very good. 10 Then here's what I would like us to do. I am going 11 to require both sides to meet between now and Monday at 10:00 12 and give me a list of questions that remain to be answered 13 about this. Perhaps you can work out the issues you raised. 14 Perhaps not. But on Monday I would purport to come in, work 15 off the Court's instruction. And if you can't agree on what 16 it should say, just present me as simply as possible what you 17 want me to say. Now, the defense, you don't have to revisit what 18 19 I've answered by not including in this. Your objections and 20 all that are duly noted, but work off this proposal and tell 21 me what remaining words you would like and make it as simple 22 as possible for me to make the decision. Add those words or 23 subtract those words. So you need to work together on that. 24 Again, don't revisit everything else that led to 25 that.

1 Okay. Then we get to defendant's instruction 2 number 13, delegation to investment advisor. That's not in 3 the model instructions, and I'm inclined not to give it. 4 What does the defense say? 5 MR. CLUBOK: I'm not sure -- well, this is a 6 situation where there may not be many cases tried where there 7 is an investment advisor so prominently featured. Certainly 8 this case, where it's only one plaintiff and they've given 9 full discretionary authority to an investment advisor, we 10 think -- I'm not sure if any other cases -- I certainly don't 11 think there are any other securities 10(b)(5) class action 12 cases that I can think of have been tried where this was 13 requested and not provided. And I think --14 THE COURT: Whoa, whoa, whoa. Can I ask it the 15 other way? This wasn't requested and --16 MR. CLUBOK: That's a great way to ask it. You 17 certainly can ask it. And I can't answer it. 18 THE COURT: Go ahead. Do you know of a lot of 19 cases where it was requested? 20 MR. CLUBOK: No. There's only been -- as we all 21 know, there's only been a handful of cases. In this case the 22 sole named plaintiff entirely relied on an investment 23 advisor. It goes right to the heart of the case. And for 24 the jury to not understand the legal significance of that we 25 think is -- we would object to, let's say.

1 THE COURT: Okay. So there we have it. Number 13, what does the plaintiff say? 2 3 MR. GRONBORG: Well, I think the situation here is standard in the last 20 years in probably every trial where 4 5 it has had a lead plaintiff, an institutional lead plaintiff. 6 To turn it the other way, I'm not aware of any 7 situation where this instruction or one like it has been 8 requested, let alone granted. So obviously the cited 9 authority doesn't cite to any Ninth Circuit law. I think it 10 is drawn directly just from a lead plaintiff decision, if I 11 have it right, from the Southern District of New York. 12 MR. CLUBOK: Your Honor has a chance to break new 13 ground apparently since neither side can cite a single case, 14 but this is the heart of the case, the investment advisor's 15 decision. And the jury should be advised. 16 THE COURT: Understood. I'm going to do with this what I did before. My tentative is for the plaintiff. I'm 17 18 going to think about it a little more. Include it in the 19 package and we'll revisit it on Monday. 20 Okay. That brings us to causation, pages 79 21 through 89. The Court has put together a proposal that 22 really is a mix of defendant's number 14 and plaintiffs' 23 number seven. What's the argument? Do you have any argument 24 with my proposal on causation, plaintiff? 25 MR. GRONBORG: None. Plaintiffs are fine with it.

1 THE COURT: Defense? 2 MS. SMITH: Defendants are fine with it, subject to 3 discussion -- defendants are actually fine with the Court's 4 causation and damages instruction as long as the 5 disaggregation component of the damages instruction is 6 included in damages. 7 It was originally proposed business defendants I 8 think in causation as opposed to damages. 9 THE COURT: I don't -- I don't have enough grasp to 10 say what you --11 MS. SMITH: Sure. 12 THE COURT: Are you saying you'll take this if I 13 take the next one, too? 14 MS. SMITH: Yes. 15 THE COURT: Then I have a grasp. Okay. So 16 causation will be as it is. That moves us to -- but there's 17 also 15, isn't there? So defendant's 15 goes to causation. 18 I think it's redundant and not well enough supported by 19 authority. Let's take a look at 15. 20 My opening decision is not to give 15. It is found at page 87. It simply says: A recharacterization of 21 22 previously disclosed facts is not corrective for the purposes 23 of establishing causation. I'm not inclined to give that, 24 but talk me out of it. 25 MR. CLUBOK: Understood, Your Honor.

1 THE COURT: Okay. Then we get to damages. I have 2 a proposal on damages. It's the first two sentences of 3 plaintiffs' instruction, and then it adds a modification of defendant's instruction number 16. 4 5 What does the plaintiff say about my instruction on 6 damages? 7 MR. GRONBORG: We're fine with it except for the 8 very last sentence, Your Honor, which reads: Plaintiff also 9 bears the burden of separating out any share price declines 10 that were caused by factors other than the alleged misrepresentations or omissions. 11 12 Two points. One, it's redundant. The jury has 13 already been told that they are required to identify just the 14 damages that are caused by the alleged omissions or 15 misrepresentations. More problematic is the fact --16 THE COURT: I don't know that they know who has the 17 burden on that. This sentence begins with, who has the burden on that. 18 19 MR. GRONBORG: Well, certainly I'm looking above. 20 We don't mind -- the entire section can start, plaintiffs 21 bear the burden. There's no dispute as to that. The issue 22 with that last sentence is simply it appears to presuppose that there were other factors, factors other than the alleged 23 24 misrepresentation or omission. 25 By saying we have the burden to separate them out,

1 it is presupposing that they exist. And we don't believe 2 that's proper. MR. CLUBOK: Your Honor, if I may, I think the 3 problem here -- and this really goes to our motion, which --4 5 THE COURT: Let me say, at this moment you're 6 winning, but go ahead and say what you're saying. 7 MR. CLUBOK: I'm going to stop talking. 8 THE COURT: Okay. I'm going to give the revision 9 as I have it. Okay? I hear your argument. 10 MR. GRONBORG: That's not okay, but I understand. 11 THE COURT: Very good. Very good. All right. So that concludes the instructions. We now turn to the special 12 13 verdict. 14 To do that, you folks are going to be busy with 15 closing arguments and all sorts of other things. Who is 16 going to be responsible for giving me the package? I could say -- well, who's going to be responsible? 17 MR. GRONBORG: Plaintiffs will. 18 19 THE COURT: Okay. Now, remember, Court's 20 Instruction No. blank. Include the previously given. 21 Include what you've already given as preliminaries, and then 22 go through all of this. Put together a package. It should 23 be pretty clear. 24 So this is -- this is all the instructions that 25 have been given or will be given. Share it with the defense. One of the reasons I don't put instruction numbers on is so
 you can rearrange order. Give some thought to the order.
 Obviously you've approved things that conclude: Now it's
 time to retire and pick a foreman. You need a foreperson.
 You need to put that at the back, you know.

6 So put it all together in your proposed order, 7 share it with the defense, then come in on Monday and raise 8 any remaining issues on all of that. We can have a debate 9 about the order or anything else. So that takes care of the 10 jury instructions.

11 We're now going to move to the special verdict. I 12 have two versions, of course. I have document 680.

MR. CLUBOK: Your Honor, If I may, I don't know if this is helpful, but now that we've gotten your guidance on these instructions, I really do think the parties could come together and make substantial progress on the verdict form based on your instructions and try to conform them collectively.

19 THE COURT: Okay. Thank you for that. Let's just 20 quickly go -- I'm looking at them both. Let's just quickly 21 go through this -- this will be very quick -- and see if 22 there is any general things I can do now.

Obviously, the first page I've added omissions.
You know, the plaintiff -- the defense says statement number.
The plaintiff summarizes them. Any issue on that? Do you

want me to decide? You see what I'm saying? You don't want 1 2 them summarized. The plaintiffs wants them summarized. 3 Anything further on that? 4 MR. GRONBORG: No. 5 MR. CLUBOK: We would meet and confer. That may be one that, given the other instructions, we could move towards 6 7 -- I think we have the right to insist on the entire 8 statement. I do think that's what the law -- our belief --9 THE COURT: Well, it attaches an attachment. 10 MR. CLUBOK: Well, you could make these 11 instructions very long. We did it just for convenience sake. 12 But, yes, it should be where it says statement one, it should 13 go on for however long it takes to be statement one. 14 I believe we have the right to require that to the 15 extent that, you know, the summaries, for example, the 16 plaintiffs' summary says -- sorry. Yeah. So if we're going 17 to do summaries, I do think the parties would certainly need 18 to meet and confer to see if we can come up with fair 19 summaries, if that's what Your Honor is going to move to. 20 As I said, maybe it would be helpful if we start 21 from the base point of whether or not the Court agrees that 22 the defendants have the right to ask for the full statement 23 to be identified if we do want to make these longer, you 24 know, and kill some more trees. 25 But I do think that is a basic principle of law.

I If we can work that out on fair summaries, we certainly would work with the plaintiffs on doing that.

THE COURT: I think you're entitled to the full statement to be clear. I think both sides acknowledge that by including it as an appendix. I mean, both sides are asking for an appendix with the full statement. Am I correct on that?

8 MR. GRONBORG: That's correct. There are some 9 differences in what the statements are that we can address, 10 we do have similar that way.

11 THE COURT: Okay. So you're entitled to the full 12 statement. My preliminary notion is that a brief summary of 13 the statement is in order. We talked about it throughout the 14 trial -- DFS, grade three, Kaplan-Meier, you know, AEs. And 15 I think you provide a limited, sufficient number of words, 16 disease-free survival, DFS rates. Grade-three plus. --

17 I like your summaries. I agree with Mr. Clubok 18 that they're entitled to see the whole thing, but I think the 19 appendix gives them the whole thing. This just helps get 20 through it. Although, you know, the way the rest of it is 21 decided, such as defendant's at 2.1, you need to identify 22 what each of those are. So after you say statement one, 23 disease-free survival rate, DFS rates, but say statement one. 24 So that if we go with the defendant's breakout -- but I'm not 25 saying we're going with it -- go ahead.

MR. CLUBOK: Can I make just one, Your Honor. I think we would agree that if we're going to -- certainly we are willing, I think, to just accept the plaintiffs' suggestion that we just have one breakout for knowingly and not have separate individual, depending on the instruction we get for knowingly ultimately.

We would be open to that, in other words, if we have the right instruction of knowingly, to just have one instruction, if that's helpful in thinking about this.

10 THE COURT: So think about that. See if you can 11 come to agreement on that.

12 All right. Are there any other preliminary things 13 that would be helpful here before we meet on Monday at 10:00? MR. GRONBORG: I would, since we are talking about 14 15 the appendix -- I don't know if this is something we would 16 want to meet and confer on. Our concern was we have a set of 17 statements that are alleged. They are admitted in the 18 pretrial order, and defendants have added. In their appendix 19 of these statements, they've simply added additional language

20 which is not anything that's ever been alleged to be a 21 material misrepresentation or omission.

THE COURT: All right. Let's get specific, then. On the July -- obviously the July 22, 2014, statements beginning with disease-free survival rates, where does a difference arise.

1 MR. GRONBORG: For example, on the disease-free survival rates, you know, they've added additional parts. 2 3 Part one, they've added additional parts about the diarrhea. 4 It's not the most problematic there because that's also 5 alleged to be a false and misleading statement. But it 6 really doesn't apply --7 THE COURT: I'm not sure where I see the diarrhea 8 rate suggested by the defendants, document 680, page 7 of 9. 9 Where am I missing that for the first statement? 10 MR. GRONBORG: I'm sorry. On the first statement 11 they removed the full statement. I think they've removed the 12 part about the diarrhea. But then if you go --13 THE COURT: Well, now you've got me going crazy. 14 May I say you may be arguing against yourself. 15 MR. GRONBORG: I flipped it around on where they 16 have added and where they have removed. 17 THE COURT: Okay. 18 MR. GRONBORG: So on number one, they've -- they 19 removed the full statement of the full context the way the 20 jury has heard it the entire time, which is --21 THE COURT: So now you want the diarrhea rate in 22 statement number one? 23 MR. GRONBORG: Well, I think we want just the full 24 statement, which is how it was presented at the conference 25 and alleged as opposed to just part of.

1 THE COURT: A moment ago you said you didn't want 2 the diarrhea rate. Now you want it. 3 What does the defense say? 4 MR. CLUBOK: I think this is exactly why a meet and 5 confer might help sort all this out. THE COURT: I agree. Meet and confer on that. 6 7 Then on diarrhea rate --8 MR. GRONBORG: On diarrhea rate you can say -- and 9 this is what I meant to talk about -- there is on the 10 plaintiffs' version, document 677, what you have there are 11 these statements as alleged to be false and misleading and as 12 they stand in the pretrial order. 13 On the right you can see that defendants have added 14 all sorts of other language about use of loperamide and 15 various other statements that the company was making that go 16 on and on. You can see just sort of by the length of it that 17 they go on and talk about in other current ongoing studies. 18 These may have been statements that Mr. Auerbach 19 made on July 22nd, 2014, but they are not statements that we 20 have alleged to be false and misleading. THE COURT: Hearing that, you need to meet and 21 confer on the statements, and we'll take that up on Monday as 22 23 well. 24 Now let's get down to logistics. 25 You rise to say?

1 MR. COUGHLIN: I just thought we were -- no. 2 THE COURT: When can you get your completed version 3 e-mailed or otherwise to the defense? 4 MR. GRONBORG: We can do that this evening. 5 THE COURT: Okay. So I want you folks to talk about it. When do you propose to talk about it? 6 7 MR. GRONBORG: We had a call last night, so I think 8 that's actually made today a little smoother. My guess is 9 we're going to schedule another call for tomorrow so that we 10 can --11 THE COURT: Okay. I'll put it simply at this. 12 Sufficiently before Monday at 10:00, talk about it and just 13 tell me the questions you want me to answer. I assume we're 14 going to have to make a few more adjustments Monday at 10:00, 15 not many, and you're going to put them together for me to 16 read Tuesday at 9:00. Do the -- does either side want the jury 17 18 instructions in their hand when they do closing? 19 MR. GRONBORG: Yes, Your Honor. 20 MR. CLUBOK: Absolutely, Your Honor. 21 THE COURT: I usually like to allow that. In fact, 22 I encourage that for the jury's sake. 23 Does any side want the special verdict in hand when 24 they do closing? 25 MR. GRONBORG: Yes, Your Honor.

1 MR. CLUBOK: Definitely. THE COURT: So that means we've really got to limit 2 3 our work Monday at 10:00 so that we can get all that together 4 in time for you to prepare around it for Tuesday at 9:00. So 5 do all of that. Then, is there anything else? 6 7 MR. GRONBORG: No, Your Honor. MR. CLUBOK: No. Well, there was just one other 8 9 thing. Time for Tuesday, or --10 THE COURT: Well, yeah, let's talk about that. 11 MR. CLUBOK: Closing argument time? 12 THE COURT: Yeah. You know, I really -- well, 13 where are you now on time, plaintiff? 14 MR. COUGHLIN: About the same. I said an hour for 15 the first part and then another 20 minutes. But I'll say an 16 hour to start and a half hour at the end. 17 THE COURT: And you'll say? 18 MR. CLUBOK: We would prefer two hours. 19 THE COURT: Okay. So once you say two hours, we're 20 probably going to have to take lunch. I'm just saying that. 21 Or maybe you want to start and continue after lunch. I mean, 22 consider that. 23 MR. CLUBOK: Yeah. THE COURT: I'm thinking these are going to take --24 25 they usually take about a minute per page. Not always.

1 Maybe that's when there's a lot of single paragraph 2 instructions, which we don't have. But if you consider a 3 minute per page, you know, I'm thinking it's going to be 45 minutes. And we won't get one hour plus two hours plus 4 5 45 minutes in before noon. I would allow you to take a 6 break. 7 You know, just think about that as you put together 8 your closing. 9 MR. CLUBOK: We appreciate that. Thank you for 10 that. 11 THE COURT: So be ready to kind of think about all 12 of that. 13 MR. CLUBOK: We'll have that answer on Monday. 14 THE COURT: Okay. Anything else? 15 All right. Have a nice weekend. We'll see you 16 Monday. 17 MR. GRONBORG: Thank you, Your Honor. 18 THE COURT: I knew there was one other thing. 19 Sorry. Sorry. I'm going to ask a dangerous question. 20 Written opposition to the motion received today? 21 MR. CLUBOK: Yes, Your Honor. THE COURT: That was stated with conviction and 22 23 certainty. So when do I get to read it? 24 MR. CLUBOK: That's a fair point. We would -- when 25 would be useful for Your Honor to read it?

1 THE COURT: Ten minutes from now, but let's say --2 you know, just e-mail it to everyone by Sunday morning. 3 MR. CLUBOK: Thank you very much, Your Honor. THE COURT: Now, can I give you my e-mail address? 4 MR. CLUBOK: Off on the record? 5 6 THE COURT: It can be on the record. I don't care. 7 MR. CLUBOK: Okay. 8 THE COURT: It's pretty simple. It's 9 Andrew Guilford@cacd.uscourts.gov. So that way, depending on 10 where I am Sunday, I'll get my hands around it. 11 MR. COUGHLIN: Your Honor, I didn't know they were 12 going to take two hours. I had heard an hour and a half 13 before. So I just don't want to be short-changed. I won't 14 go over farther over the time that they go. 15 MR. CLUBOK: Of course. I assume equal time, 16 whatever time we agree to, I assume. 17 THE COURT: We'll also work that out on Monday. 18 Thank you. 19 (Proceedings adjourned at 2:40 p.m.) 20 21 22 23 24 25

1	CERTIFICATE
2	I HEREBY CERTIFY THAT THE FOREGOING IS A TRUE AND CORRECT
3	TRANSCRIPT OF THE STENOGRAPHICALLY RECORDED PROCEEDINGS IN
4	THE ABOVE MATTER.
5	FEES CHARGED FOR THIS TRANSCRIPT, LESS ANY CIRCUIT FEE
6	REDUCTION AND/OR DEPOSIT, ARE IN CONFORMANCE WITH THE
7	REGULATIONS OF THE JUDICIAL CONFERENCE OF THE UNITED STATES.
8	
9	<u>/s/ Miriam V. Baird</u> <u>01/26/2019</u>
10	MIRIAM V. BAIRD DATE OFFICIAL REPORTER
11	OFFICIAL REPORTER
12	
13	
14	
15	
16	
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	

^	13th [1] - 90:2	5:19, 5:25, 6:16, 11:11,	28 [1] - 43:1
\$	13 (1) - 90.2 14 [2] - 3:5, 166:22	17:8, 17:13, 28:23, 29:16,	
\$40 ···· 40·00		29:23, 29:25, 32:17, 33:19,	28-day [2] - 53:7, 53:11
\$40 [1] - 16:23	1409 [1] - 31:7	34:9, 35:11, 35:12, 36:19,	291 [4] - 3:14, 39:23, 40:2,
	1412 [1] - 31:7	37:12, 37:15, 39:12, 42:18,	40:3
-	147 [6] - 3:12, 11:24, 12:5,	43:12, 50:25, 52:5, 53:2,	295 [2] - 45:3, 45:5
'90s [1] - 68:2	12:7, 12:9, 12:11	43.12, 50.23, 52.3, 53.2, 55:6, 58:21, 84:3, 84:11,	2:40 [1] - 179:19
303 [1] - 00.2	14th [7] - 79:24, 81:10, 89:3,	84:18, 86:3, 91:22, 92:15,	2nd [2] - 81:23, 90:25
1	90:15, 90:20, 141:24,	93:8, 99:18, 118:24,	â
1	143:3	119:25, 123:19, 173:23,	3
/s [1] - 180:9	15 [11] - 46:4, 46:22, 46:25,	175:19	3 rot 05:16 05:19
	47:7, 51:23, 51:25, 155:2,	2014-2015 [2] - 29:6, 98:15	3 [2] - 95:16, 95:18
0	167:17, 167:19, 167:20	2015 [35] - 9:20, 11:6, 11:21,	3.5 [1] - 61:22
0	15-minute [2] - 145:13,	12:12, 13:2, 13:6, 13:14,	30 [5] - 113:4, 124:11,
01/26/2019 [1] - 180:9	145:16	14:1, 14:3, 39:22, 40:17,	128:24, 133:13, 133:14
0441 [2] - 85:19, 85:20	16 [1] - 168:4	46:20, 79:24, 81:10, 81:23,	30,000 [1] - 52:22
	168 [1] - 123:3	82:1, 84:3, 86:25, 89:3,	3004 [2] - 29:25, 37:16
1	17 [1] - 29:25	90:3, 90:15, 90:20, 91:1,	30th [1] - 40:17 319 [5] - 146:18, 146:21,
-	17th [3] - 24:20, 29:22, 147:1	91:4, 111:15, 124:7,	319 [5] - 146:18, 146:21, 149:16, 149:17
1 [1] - 91:4	18 [2] - 147:7	124:19, 125:6, 127:25,	32 [1] - 88:11
1,500 [1] - 113:14	180 [1] - 147:13	130:19, 130:21, 130:22,	32 [1] - 88:11 321 [1] - 146:21
1,800 [1] - 130:15	181 [1] - 147:14	131:25, 132:18, 132:19	
1-053 [1] - 1:23	18th [1] - 37:15	2016 [3] - 14:5, 14:7, 29:3	322 [1] - 146:22
10 [2] - 30:6, 159:6	19 [7] - 3:5, 50:18, 50:21,	2017 [10] - 35:17, 35:20,	324 [2] - 146:22
10(b [2] - 151:17, 160:3	50:23, 50:25, 79:25, 89:10	43:5, 50:6, 50:9, 50:11,	34 [2] - 79:21, 89:1 350 [1] - 32:7
10(b)(5 [1] - 165:11	1900 [1] - 2:6	55:18, 109:22, 155:24,	36 [8] - 3:15, 81:18, 90:25,
10,000 [1] - 17:6	194 [1] - 22:3	156:3	95:3, 95:7, 95:10, 95:11,
10.5 [2] - 20:14, 20:18	196 [1] - 147:8	2018 [7] - 50:10, 51:2, 51:20,	136:24
100 [4] - 3:7, 3:8, 103:21,	1:29 [1] - 145:19	51:22, 61:18, 109:22,	36-hour [1] - 136:19
104:12	1:30 [1] - 96:21	156:3	37 [2] - 85:17, 152:12
103 [1] - 32:15	1:45 [1] - 145:19	2019 [2] - 1:19, 4:1	37(c)(1 [1] - 60:8
10:00 [7] - 148:7, 150:20,	1st [2] - 82:1, 82:9	20th [1] - 70:16	38 [2] - 153:21, 154:1
164:11, 173:13, 176:12,	2	21 [2] - 95:16, 95:18	39.9 [1] - 38:5
176:14, 177:3	2	21st [1] - 12:12	399 [1] - 122:7
10:15 [1] - 44:20	2 [10] - 77:10, 102:23, 103:9,	22 [8] - 3:15, 72:12, 88:20,	3:00 [1] - 145:14
10:20 [1] - 44:18	110:20, 111:8, 116:6,	95:2, 95:7, 95:10, 95:11,	
10:21 [1] - 44:20	116:20, 128:22, 128:23,	173:23	4
11 [9] - 3:17, 96:6, 96:9,	128:25	22nd [21] - 4:13, 4:22, 5:12,	+
96:10, 158:19, 159:1,	2,000 [6] - 97:22, 113:14,	5:18, 5:25, 6:16, 7:13,	4 [2] - 3:3, 3:4
159:4, 159:10, 159:16	129:17, 130:7, 130:10,	17:8, 17:14, 17:22, 32:16,	4.1 [1] - 153:17
11.5 [1] - 25:19	130:14	33:19, 34:9, 42:18, 43:12,	40 [2] - 3:14, 155:2
1100 [1] - 147:17	2,800 [1] - 128:19	118:24, 119:25, 123:12,	40,000 [1] - 17:4
111 [1] - 159:8	2.1 [2] - 131:25, 172:21	123:19, 147:3, 175:19	401 [3] - 5:4, 7:4, 7:17
1122 [5] - 101:4, 101:7,	2.3 [7] - 112:7, 113:12,	23 [5] - 32:3, 74:24, 112:8,	403 [6] - 5:4, 7:4, 7:17, 16:13,
112:22, 120:17, 124:2	120:13, 121:5, 122:23,	112:25, 120:21	53:17, 55:2
1122-DDEM51 [1] - 105:15	130:17, 131:3	23.5 [1] - 20:13	409 [1] - 32:8
1122-DDEM52 [1] - 107:20	2.9 [1] - 89:13	24 [5] - 3:12, 32:2, 95:17,	41 [2] - 3:7, 156:8
118 [1] - 3:8	20 [14] - 31:21, 45:16, 46:3,	95:20, 151:18 25 (43) 1:10 3:13 4:1 12:8	411 [1] - 1:23
11893 [1] - 1:22	114:23, 128:16, 128:17,	25 [12] - 1:19, 3:13, 4:1, 12:8, 21:3, 31:22, 32:4, 96:18,	42 [1] - 74:10
12 [3] - 3:12, 151:13, 162:7	128:18, 128:21, 129:2,	113:4, 122:15, 124:11,	43 [2] - 64:13, 66:5
12.7 [2] - 20:15, 20:20	132:23, 142:11, 147:11,	152:12	45 [3] - 138:13, 178:4, 178:5
122 [2] - 146:20	166:4, 177:15	25,000 [1] - 105:6	475R [1] - 146:24
123 [2] - 29:21, 42:12 124 [2] - 37:14, 43:17	200 [1] - 104:13	25-page [1] - 59:22	486 [2] - 146:25, 147:8
	2004 [2] - 106:24, 159:13	26 [3] - 46:17, 60:12, 89:21	491 [1] - 18:19
12670 [1] - 2:15	2009 [2] - 33:2, 34:17	26(a)(2)(C [1] - 60:3	
12:15 [1] - 96:22	2010 [6] - 34:17, 98:6,	27 [2] - 3:6, 3:6	5
12:24 [1] - 96:22	103:10, 103:13, 110:20,	270,000 [2] - 113:3, 124:8	F 454.40
13 [7] - 82:9, 91:4, 103:20, 151:17, 155:4, 165:2	116:9	276 [1] - 122:10	5 [1] - 151:13
151:17, 155:4, 165:2, 166:2	2013 [1] - 33:3	27th [1] - 143:3	50 [2] - 103:21, 126:19
100.2	2014 [43] - 4:13, 4:23, 5:13,		50(a [1] - 139:1
			• • • •

55 [2] - 96:18, 101:5 556 [1] - 155:23 559 [3] - 24:16, 24:21, 24:22	95 (952 96 (
567 [3] - 24:9, 24:10, 24:19 57 [4] - 64:14, 66:4, 95:17, 95:21	985 47 49 994
6	9:0 17
60 [1] - 104:8 602 [1] - 7:5	
655 [1] - 2:6	
66 [1] - 3:14 67 [2] - 124:24, 153:22	A.N abi
67,000 [1] - 113:9	abi abl
677 [1] - 175:10 680 [2] - 170:12, 174:8	au 80
687 [1] - 154:2	10 13
7	AB abs
7 [7] - 1:17, 32:20, 158:19,	abs 12
159:1, 159:4, 159:6, 174:8 70 [1] - 104:8	abs
701 [6] - 7:4, 7:17, 10:20, 46:16, 49:25, 147:4	68 11
702 [4] - 7:5, 7:17, 10:21,	11
46:16 75 [1] - 127:17	abs 88
79 [1] - 166:20	acc
7th [4] - 34:14, 35:12, 36:12, 55:6	acc 79 acc
8	acc acc 35
8 [1] - 159:6	11
80,000 [2] - 17:6, 113:10 803.7 [1] - 61:25	acc
81,000 [6] - 97:24, 124:24,	aco
127:22, 127:25, 130:11, 130:12	acc acc
818 [11] - 45:9, 47:8, 47:12, 49:3, 49:22, 50:9, 50:12,	acc
49.0, 49.22, 30.9, 30.12,	acc ack
51:1, 51:11, 61:11	
87 [4] - 73:3, 86:14, 90:10,	17
87 [4] - 73:3, 86:14, 90:10, 167:21 884 [1] - 156:4	
87 [4] - 73:3, 86:14, 90:10, 167:21	17 acc Act act
87 [4] - 73:3, 86:14, 90:10, 167:21 884 [1] - 156:4 89 [1] - 166:21 8:41 [1] - 4:1	17 acc Act act act
87 [4] - 73:3, 86:14, 90:10, 167:21 884 [1] - 156:4 89 [1] - 166:21 8:41 [1] - 4:1 9	17 acc Act act act
87 [4] - 73:3, 86:14, 90:10, 167:21 884 [1] - 156:4 89 [1] - 166:21 8:41 [1] - 4:1	17 acc Act act act 15 act act act
87 [4] - 73:3, 86:14, 90:10, 167:21 884 [1] - 156:4 89 [1] - 166:21 8:41 [1] - 4:1 9 9 [2] - 159:6, 174:8 900 [2] - 14:14, 16:21 91.6 [1] - 88:14	17 acc Act act act 15 act
87 [4] - 73:3, 86:14, 90:10, 167:21 884 [1] - 156:4 89 [1] - 166:21 8:41 [1] - 4:1 9 9 [2] - 159:6, 174:8 900 [2] - 14:14, 16:21 91.6 [1] - 88:14 92 [3] - 73:3, 86:14, 90:10 92101 [1] - 2:7	17 acc Act act act 15 act act act act act
87 [4] - 73:3, 86:14, 90:10, 167:21 884 [1] - 156:4 89 [1] - 166:21 8:41 [1] - 4:1 9 9 [2] - 159:6, 174:8 900 [2] - 14:14, 16:21 91.6 [1] - 88:14 92 [3] - 73:3, 86:14, 90:10 92101 [1] - 2:7 92130 [1] - 2:15	17 acc Act act act act act act act act act act a
87 [4] - 73:3, 86:14, 90:10, 167:21 884 [1] - 156:4 89 [1] - 166:21 8:41 [1] - 4:1 9 9 [2] - 159:6, 174:8 900 [2] - 14:14, 16:21 91.6 [1] - 88:14 92 [3] - 73:3, 86:14, 90:10 92101 [1] - 2:7	17 act act act act act act act act act act

[1] - 3:15 **2**[1] - 121:20 [2] - 3:16, 3:17 **5** [11] - 45:6, 45:7, 47:9, 7:14, 48:24, 49:1, 49:3, 9:23, 53:21, 53:25, 61:8 **4** [2] - 147:7, 147:10 0 [4] - 138:11, 138:18, 76:16, 177:4

Α **VI** [1] - 4:1 ilities [1] - 75:16 ility [1] - 77:8 le [9] - 48:23, 76:18, 0:15, 109:3, 109:4, 09:10, 110:4, 122:24, 36:1 **BOVE** [1] - 180:4 sence [1] - 62:1 solute [4] - 89:25, 111:25, 20:14, 121:6 solutely [11] - 18:7, 19:12, 8:8, 77:9, 86:24, 100:21, 11:21, 113:2, 113:19, 18:3, 176:20 stract [6] - 80:1, 87:15, 8:10, 88:13, 88:22, 142:1 cept [2] - 11:17, 173:3 ceptable [4] - 48:7, 63:8, 9:5.83:3 ceptance [1] - 76:21 cess [7] - 34:24, 35:6, 5:12, 48:18, 49:10, 49:12, 17:1 cidentally [1] - 156:15 commodating [1] - 135:18 cording [1] - 95:15 count [2] - 68:15, 68:24 creditation [1] - 110:5 curate [1] - 39:20 curately [1] - 13:1 knowledge [2] - 159:7, 72.4 quired [1] - 74:15 t [1] - 151:13 t [2] - 103:2, 109:6 ted [5] - 109:11, 155:4, 55:7, 156:15, 157:9 tion [2] - 160:9, 165:11 tivated [1] - 108:10 tivating [1] - 109:11 tivities [1] - 13:9 tivity [2] - 104:4, 109:7 tual [9] - 47:25, 49:13, 2:1, 65:15, 65:20, 66:6, 8:23, 139:14, 150:9 tuarial [1] - 28:2 add [11] - 24:12, 24:14,

135:13, 151:18, 151:20, 156:12, 157:22, 158:1, 158:2, 160:7, 164:22 added [11] - 71:22, 106:18, 130:1, 147:12, 170:23, 173:18, 173:19, 174:2, 174:3. 174:16. 175:13 addicted [1] - 109:13 addiction [1] - 157:11 addition [4] - 102:24, 112:21, 157:14, 161:7 additional [13] - 11:13, 16:25, 17:5, 74:12, 83:23, 86:9, 106:9, 148:2, 158:1, 161:2, 173:19, 174:2, 174:3 additionally [2] - 24:15, 74:11 address [2] - 172:9, 179:4 adds [3] - 20:12, 158:9, 168:3 Adela [2] - 40:13, 40:14 Adelson [4] - 98:18, 105:9, 114:21, 117:10 Adelson's [1] - 99:19 adequately [1] - 159:15 adjourned [1] - 179:19 adjudicated [1] - 80:17 adjunct [1] - 106:12 adjustment [1] - 64:16 adjustments [1] - 176:14 adjuvant [8] - 106:11, 106:12, 106:13, 106:22, 106:25, 125:13, 125:17, 129:23 adjuvantly [1] - 106:19 admission [3] - 24:9, 143:2, 143:4 admit [1] - 40:1 admitted [20] - 12:7, 22:12, 24:19, 24:23, 40:2, 57:5, 144:4, 144:7, 146:1, 146:13, 146:20, 147:5, 147:8, 147:18, 148:3, 148:5, 148:11, 148:13, 173:17 advance [6] - 14:10, 113:20, 135:14, 135:22, 153:4 advancement [1] - 13:14 advantage [1] - 141:21 adverse [1] - 103:3 advised [4] - 111:10, 135:4, 137:5, 166:15 advisor [4] - 165:2, 165:7, 165:9, 165:23 advisor's [1] - 166:14 **AEs** [2] - 38:2, 172:14 affect [4] - 9:10, 140:1, 150:13, 163:9 affiliated [1] - 61:3

afternoon [4] - 100:18, 100:19, 118:13, 118:14 afterwards [1] - 129:23 age [2] - 14:15, 56:6 agency [1] - 29:20 aggressive [2] - 105:20, 106:8 ago [8] - 6:20, 18:1, 19:9, 49:2, 50:11, 137:4, 140:16, 175.1 agree [21] - 25:18, 64:4, 83:13, 120:13, 122:16, 123:11, 125:1, 128:5, 130:10, 146:3, 148:8, 148:10, 148:16, 154:6, 154:8, 164:15, 172:17, 173:2, 175:6, 179:16 agreed [15] - 20:13, 63:2, 64:7, 64:10, 64:12, 65:18, 66:1, 114:1, 125:19, 136:9, 136:23, 146:25, 151:12, 152:24, 155:23 agreement [6] - 65:12, 113:22, 135:23, 148:15, 148:18, 173:11 agrees [1] - 171:21 ahead [14] - 24:14, 28:13, 37:9, 54:10, 55:13, 55:22, 97:17, 144:21, 155:13, 160:13, 165:18, 169:6, 172:25 AL [2] - 1:10, 2:12 Alan [10] - 70:12, 70:13, 70:18, 70:24, 71:1, 73:23, 74:19, 76:3, 80:15, 81:12 Alan's [3] - 70:22, 72:13, 72:23 Albert [1] - 101:13 algorithm [1] - 48:2 algorithms [1] - 47:25 alleged [11] - 162:16, 163:8, 168:10, 168:14, 168:23, 173:17, 173:20, 174:5, 174:25, 175:11, 175:20 allocate [1] - 68:11 allocated [4] - 20:15, 20:20, 25:19, 25:20 allocation [2] - 20:13, 20:17 allocations [1] - 26:16 allow [4] - 54:7, 99:23, 176:21, 178:5 allowed [3] - 29:3, 54:23, 72:14 allows [1] - 144:23 almost [3] - 118:13, 125:3, 160:3 alone [4] - 106:15, 123:5, 143:6, 166:8 alter [1] - 18:21 altered [1] - 18:19

altering [1] - 19:5 alternative [1] - 145:8 alternatives [1] - 58:15 altruistic [1] - 115:14 Alvin [7] - 3:13, 25:16, 25:25, 26:8, 29:22, 37:15, 37:20 amazing [1] - 130:15 amount [1] - 83:16 **ANA** [3] - 1:18, 1:23, 4:1 analogies [1] - 54:18 analyses [2] - 42:13, 42:23 analysis [22] - 29:11, 29:12, 29:14, 31:25, 34:21, 37:16, 37:23, 39:20, 42:20, 43:1, 53:7, 53:9, 53:10, 53:11, 57:6, 57:18, 74:17, 90:13, 131:14, 131:15, 131:17, 141:20 analyst [2] - 17:13, 32:21 analysts [1] - 67:5 analyze [2] - 61:16, 61:19 analyzed [1] - 82:19 analyzing [1] - 82:17 AND [2] - 2:14, 180:2 AND/OR [1] - 180:6 **ANDREW** [2] - 1:3, 2:12 Andrew_Guilford@cacd. uscourts.gov [1] - 179:9 Angeles [2] - 70:15 announced [1] - 106:25 announcing [1] - 76:13 answer [22] - 7:23, 8:7, 8:16, 15:10, 18:25, 34:6, 35:21, 38:17, 58:12, 76:19, 76:23, 90:24, 94:20, 116:16, 123:24, 124:1, 128:21, 130:4, 141:13, 165:17, 176:13, 178:13 answered [2] - 164:12, 164:19 answers [2] - 16:16, 62:22 anti [4] - 106:18, 109:5, 114:8, 121:25 anti-diarrheal [1] - 121:25 anti-HER2 [3] - 106:18, 109:5, 114:8 anticipate [1] - 160:17 anticipated [3] - 11:9, 11:12, 143.25 Antonio [3] - 131:5, 131:25, 132:24 anxiety [1] - 117:16 anxious [1] - 10:24 ANY [1] - 180:5 anytime [1] - 32:3 anyway [2] - 47:7, 135:3 apologize [4] - 25:12, 108:25, 128:21, 130:12 appear [2] - 134:15, 158:3

appendix [5] - 172:5, 172:6, 172:19, 173:15, 173:18 applicable [1] - 140:12 application [3] - 11:12, 11:18, 11:20 applied [2] - 63:2, 113:12 applies [1] - 163:25 apply [1] - 174:6 appreciate [6] - 94:7, 138:17, 145:13, 149:24, 152:18, 178:9 apprised [1] - 88:23 approach [1] - 69:25 appropriate [8] - 10:3, 41:3, 63:14, 98:19, 150:7, 157:3, 157:9, 157:20 appropriately [1] - 67:6 approvable [5] - 76:10, 81:7, 83:3, 85:24, 91:10 approval [13] - 13:2, 14:11, 29:20, 65:13, 68:16, 68:17, 83:10, 83:19, 87:7, 87:8, 113:18, 114:7, 129:21 approve [1] - 11:21 approved [7] - 12:21, 12:22, 83:17, 106:23, 113:25, 132:25, 170:3 April [2] - 15:22, 33:2 archived [1] - 34:21 ARE [1] - 180:6 area [2] - 109:10, 114:10 argue [2] - 155:12, 157:1 argued [2] - 48:17, 58:19 arguing [2] - 153:3, 174:14 argument [10] - 142:5, 151:15, 153:13, 154:1, 157:10, 158:10, 166:23, 169:9, 177:11 arguments [5] - 138:14, 143:20, 150:1, 159:7, 169:15 arise [1] - 173:25 arm [4] - 31:9, 31:16, 103:12 arms [2] - 32:22, 103:14 **ASCO** [12] - 9:6, 50:23, 79:13, 79:18, 80:1, 81:25, 87:15, 88:10, 88:13, 88:22, 132:18, 132:19 aside [1] - 78:9 asserted [1] - 22:17 assessment [1] - 79:8 asset [2] - 71:12, 83:7 assets [3] - 13:22, 72:17, 72:18 assist [2] - 42:1, 100:24 assistance [1] - 115:13 associate [1] - 29:7 associated [3] - 18:22, 78:11, 94:16 assume [8] - 17:20, 20:6,

32:22, 55:16, 122:14, 176:13, 179:15, 179:16 assumed [1] - 119:22 assuming [1] - 68:17 assumption [1] - 74:21 assumptions [2] - 39:19, **41**·**4** astray [1] - 99:3 attached [2] - 38:25, 46:22 attaches [1] - 171:9 attachment [8] - 18:19, 29:24, 30:1, 30:3, 30:7, 38:1, 44:7, 171:9 attachments [1] - 44:4 attend [1] - 132:19 attorney [5] - 63:24, 65:15, 134:15, 138:14 attorneys [5] - 9:3, 16:4, 35:24, 36:3, 138:9 atypical [1] - 77:21 audience [1] - 129:12 Auerbach [48] - 4:15, 4:19, 4:20, 5:2, 6:25, 9:5, 9:22, 17:25, 19:3, 19:15, 19:17, 27:10, 33:1, 42:6, 42:19, 43:22, 44:6, 51:11, 58:24, 61:21, 70:12, 70:24, 71:17, 72:6, 76:3, 85:6, 85:14, 91:12, 92:4, 92:8, 92:11, 92:18, 93:6, 93:13, 93:16, 93:17, 93:22, 93:25, 94:10, 118:24, 119:17, 119:25, 123:18. 155:8. 156:15. 157:1, 160:19, 175:18 Auerbach's [4] - 7:2, 12:15, 91:22, 92:25 August [1] - 39:12 authenticity [1] - 98:11 author [1] - 46:1 authorities [1] - 160:23 authority [4] - 155:19, 165:9, 166:9, 167:19 authorize [1] - 22:9 authorized [1] - 4:15 available [18] - 33:19, 34:8, 36:19, 48:1, 54:24, 65:19, 86:20, 86:23, 87:19, 106:21, 114:17, 118:20, 125:17, 134:2, 134:4, 135:11, 135:24, 136:15 Avendi [1] - 160:6 average [2] - 76:23, 104:8 avoid [1] - 112:9 awaits [1] - 100:6 aware [23] - 14:5, 25:22, 61:5, 61:8, 84:2, 84:7, 91:12, 91:14, 92:11, 92:14, 93:6. 116:13. 122:9. 123:3. 123:17, 126:3, 126:16, 127:5, 127:13, 128:19,

131:16, 131:24, 166:6 **axis** [2] - 30:21

В background [2] - 27:25, 101:10 backing [1] - 83:22 backups [1] - 25:9 **BAIRD** [2] - 1:22, 180:10 Baird [2] - 131:21, 180:9 BAJI [1] - 158:23 bar [1] - 109:2 base [1] - 171:21 based [41] - 34:13, 36:18, 58:9, 66:25, 67:1, 69:16, 69:17, 73:12, 74:17, 82:14, 86:17, 86:19, 86:22, 87:25, 88:4, 88:6, 89:9, 90:19, 91:7, 91:9, 92:24, 98:16, 98:22, 99:18, 113:17, 116:12, 117:5, 117:25, 121:19, 124:19, 126:7, 126:11, 128:18, 130:18, 130:19, 132:1, 151:14, 151:15, 151:20, 158:4, 170:17 basic [1] - 171:25 Basic [1] - 140:13 basics [1] - 59:20 **basis** [6] - 8:14, 66:21, 67:10, 89:25, 132:5, 161:17 Bates [1] - 149:2 bear [1] - 168:21 bears [1] - 168:9 beat [1] - 142:12 beaten [1] - 74:4 Bebchuk [4] - 3:6, 27:2, 27:5, 27:17 BEBCHUK [1] - 27:6 became [2] - 70:20, 92:11 become [3] - 29:3, 106:21, 108:10 becoming [1] - 108:13 beforehand [1] - 80:9 begin [3] - 138:12, 138:15, 145:20 beginning [4] - 50:21, 51:10, 154:1. 173:24 begins [2] - 162:13, 168:17 **BEHALF** [2] - 2:3, 2:11 behalf [2] - 4:16, 27:9 belief [2] - 80:2, 171:8 believes [2] - 103:5, 142:25 below [2] - 89:13, 89:17 bench [1] - 138:25 benefit [26] - 106:20, 120:14, 121:5, 122:13, 122:15, 122:16, 122:19, 122:24,

122:25, 123:1, 125:21, 126:4, 126:17, 126:25, 127:5, 127:7, 127:15, 127:21, 130:19, 130:25, 131:2, 131:16, 131:24, 132:6, 132:13, 139:20 benefited [2] - 124:18, 142:2 benefitina [1] - 130:23 benefits [1] - 121:15 benefitted [1] - 127:14 Berkeley [1] - 101:12 best [13] - 42:9, 67:16, 70:5, 72:2, 72:3, 72:5, 74:9, 75:9, 75:16, 76:3, 79:5, 84:13, 85:13 better [6] - 19:15, 68:21, 90:14, 130:5, 130:16, 131:3 betting [5] - 142:8, 142:10, 142:14, 142:21 between [18] - 10:16, 11:3, 31:21, 32:3, 40:8, 49:23, 59:19, 80:10, 80:16, 86:7, 89:23, 103:21, 104:8, 104:12, 113:14, 115:4, 148:6, 164:11 beyond [9] - 33:6, 33:9, 34:17, 36:19, 37:7, 43:1, 43:12, 80:23, 149:2 **big** [8] - 23:4, 72:15, 77:11, 77:19, 106:24, 109:6, 111:15, 151:18 bigger [1] - 122:25 billions [1] - 13:22 **Bin** [4] - 40:8, 46:6, 49:5, 53.6 binder [2] - 11:25, 20:9 **biology** [1] - 101:12 biopharmaceutical [5] -10:9, 10:13, 66:23, 76:15, 78:13 biostatistician [1] - 69:3 biostatistics [6] - 27:18, 27:23, 28:4, 28:5, 29:5, 29.8 biotech [5] - 66:24, 74:25, 75:6, 75:17, 75:21 BIOTECHNOLOGY [2] -1:10. 2:12 Biotechnology [7] - 27:18, 27:23, 82:6, 91:3, 119:16, 119:18, 119:20 biotechnology [2] - 67:23, 71:9 birthday [1] - 70:16 **bit** [17] - 23:11, 27:24, 28:10, 30:9, 30:15, 40:6, 80:4, 102:6, 113:6, 113:10, 114:5, 121:2, 132:3, 147:6, 152:21, 153:25, 161:24

blank [2] - 151:1, 169:20 blind [1] - 80:13 blind-sided [1] - 80:13 blips [1] - 32:11 block [1] - 109:7 blood [1] - 54:20 blow [4] - 30:9, 30:24, 40:5, 40:11 blue [2] - 108:5, 108:9 BLUFF [1] - 2:15 blurred [1] - 38:9 board [11] - 11:21, 12:12, 12:18, 12:22, 13:1, 14:12, 15:2, 15:4, 15:5, 17:3, 102:13 bodies [1] - 110:5 **bolts** [1] - 67:9 book [2] - 54:1, 61:9 books [2] - 53:22, 56:8 **boom** [1] - 161:22 Bora [2] - 17:11, 17:12 **borrow** [1] - 10:12 boss [2] - 42:6, 42:8 Boston [2] - 28:6, 137:10 bottom [7] - 23:24, 30:22, 31:23, 40:10, 40:11, 50:2, 75:5 Bowl [2] - 142:12, 142:15 boy [2] - 54:24, 148:1 Bradley [5] - 3:12, 20:14, 20:24, 24:4, 24:5 break [11] - 44:15, 44:17, 65:4, 96:14, 96:18, 138:22, 145:11, 145:13, 145:16, 166:12, 178:6 breakdown [1] - 63:1 breakout [2] - 172:24, 173:4 Breast [1] - 132:24 breast [19] - 101:24, 103:21, 105:13, 105:18, 105:25, 106:3, 106:14, 106:24, 111:15, 113:2, 113:4, 113:11, 118:2, 119:14, 120:22, 124:9, 124:22, 125:4 brief [5] - 22:3, 111:22, 153:22, 158:20, 172:12 briefly [5] - 39:13, 42:12, 101:11, 102:1, 154:24 briefs [1] - 152:13 bring [6] - 21:16, 62:14, 62:21, 64:24, 96:20, 100:2 brings [1] - 166:20 BROADWAY [1] - 2:6 brought [3] - 57:25, 99:9, 103:15 Bruce [1] - 100:14 building [1] - 134:23 bullet [4] - 73:18, 74:25, 75:8.83:5

burden [9] - 112:18, 140:14, 141:5, 141:6, 168:9, 168:17, 168:18, 168:21, 168:25 bury [1] - 98:23 business [4] - 28:2, 49:10, 75:14, 167:7 **busy** [1] - 169:14 butcher [1] - 99:25 button [1] - 97:15 buy [10] - 69:13, 75:1, 79:24, 81:11, 87:4, 89:8, 90:15, 90:16, 90:19, 93:19 buying [8] - 67:22, 79:11, 82:10, 82:12, 83:23, 91:5, 91:7, 141:24 **BY** [51] - 4:9, 5:11, 5:16, 5:23, 6:5, 6:14, 7:7, 8:2, 8:22, 9:2, 9:17, 10:8, 11:5, 12:10, 12:25, 14:22, 16:15, 16:20, 18:11, 18:17, 19:2, 19:23, 27:13, 28:21, 30:11, 30:25, 33:14, 34:4, 34:12, 35:10, 36:4, 36:11, 37:6, 38:3, 38:10, 38:23, 40:7, 40:12, 41:14, 66:18, 100:17, 101:8, 105:16, 108:18, 118:12, 119:8, 121:3, 126:2, 131:13, 131:23, 132:16

С

CA [2] - 2:7, 2:15 cabinet [2] - 49:15 CACI [1] - 158:23 Cal [1] - 115:7 calculate [1] - 31:5 California [1] - 28:16 **CALIFORNIA** [4] - 1:2, 1:18, 1:23, 4:1 Canada [1] - 28:3 Cancer [1] - 132:24 cancer [38] - 78:15, 101:22, 101:23, 101:25, 103:21, 105:13, 105:18, 105:20, 105:25, 106:4, 106:7, 106:14, 106:25, 107:22, 108:16, 108:17, 109:4, 109:13, 109:16, 109:25, 111:15, 112:7, 112:9, 113:2, 113:4, 113:11, 113:15, 117:11, 117:15, 117:21, 118:2, 119:14, 120:22, 124:9, 124:22, 125:4, 126:9 cancers [4] - 106:8, 106:9, 124:12 cannot [4] - 51:4, 67:13, 76:16, 164:3

capital [6] - 73:20, 73:23, 74:12, 74:16, 74:19, 74:20 Capital [5] - 66:19, 67:24, 84:3. 84:8. 84:17 capture [1] - 97:15 car [2] - 74:2, 74:4 carcinogenicity [1] - 11:16 care [9] - 102:3, 105:12, 106:1, 107:5, 110:10, 118:5, 119:14, 170:9, 179:6 career [1] - 109:22 careful [1] - 132:9 carefully [2] - 148:7, 158:25 Carolina [1] - 28:4 Carpenter [2] - 66:14, 95:5 carry [1] - 9:12 cascade [1] - 108:6 case [66] - 4:18, 4:21, 15:1, 18:8, 18:12, 18:18, 21:12, 53:1, 58:5, 60:11, 61:6, 62:8, 67:16, 95:25, 105:10, 118:18, 118:23, 119:9, 119:10, 119:13, 119:20, 119:21, 119:24, 120:8, 123:18, 123:21, 134:4, 134:21, 135:3, 136:11, 137:24, 138:6, 138:8, 139:9, 140:13, 144:4, 147:20, 150:5, 150:9, 152:24, 152:25, 155:8, 155:20, 156:3, 156:22, 156:23, 156:24, 157:16, 157:17, 159:14, 159:20, 159:21, 160:3, 160:4, 160:6, 160:17, 161:8, 161:16, 162:20, 163:23, 165:8, 165:21, 165:23, 166:13, 166:14 case-in-chief [4] - 134:4, 135:3, 136:11, 137:24 cases [17] - 59:19, 78:1, 104:22, 104:24, 156:22, 158:6, 158:8, 160:8, 160:9, 160:14, 161:3, 161:9, 165:6, 165:10, 165:12, 165:19, 165:21 cash [1] - 74:17 cast [1] - 58:25 catch [2] - 8:20, 63:20 causation [7] - 166:20, 166:24, 167:4, 167:8, 167:16, 167:17, 167:23 caused [2] - 168:10, 168:14 CCRA [1] - 1:22 cell [12] - 101:12, 107:22, 107:24, 108:2, 108:4, 108:7, 108:12, 108:13, 109:4, 109:6, 109:7, 109:25

cells [3] - 108:17, 109:13, 109.16center [2] - 104:14, 110:1 centers [2] - 110:3, 110:7 **CENTRAL**[1] - 1:2 central [2] - 110:9, 110:17 centrally [3] - 80:11, 80:16 CEO [4] - 9:11, 73:19, 75:22, 80:9 certain [5] - 4:19, 9:4, 60:15, 83:16, 125:20 certainly [22] - 33:11, 59:1, 62:5. 69:21. 101:11. 114:13. 124:8. 128:10. 137:8. 143:25. 144:25. 157:18, 157:23, 164:1, 164:3, 165:7, 165:10, 165:17, 168:19, 171:17, 172:1, 173:2 certainty [1] - 178:23 **CERTIFICATE**[1] - 180:1 **CERTIFY** [1] - 180:2 cetera [3] - 53:15, 60:16, 73:16 chain [2] - 40:8, 40:11 chair [1] - 103:1 challenged [1] - 139:11 challenges [2] - 76:5, 76:14 chance [3] - 6:24, 7:12, 166:12 change [7] - 19:7, 52:12, 118:5, 150:9, 155:24, 157:23, 158:4 changed [1] - 179:13 changes [4] - 22:10, 124:15, 136:3, 163:10 changing [1] - 135:1 Chapel [1] - 28:5 CHARGED [1] - 180:5 charged [1] - 47:19 chart [5] - 48:2, 52:1, 52:2, 54:4, 55:1 check [1] - 153:6 chemotherapy [3] - 106:14, 106:15, 130:1 cherry [1] - 132:12 cherry-picking [1] - 132:12 chided [1] - 23:11 chief [4] - 134:4, 135:3, 136:11, 137:24 chipping [1] - 112:18 choice [1] - 118:20 chose [2] - 57:18, 57:19 chronology [1] - 17:7 CIRCUIT [1] - 180:5 Circuit [12] - 155:19, 156:3, 156:19, 156:20, 157:14, 158:3, 158:11, 159:13, 160:16, 161:9, 161:18, 166:9

Circuit's [1] - 155:19 circumstantial [2] - 58:17, 59:1 citations [1] - 161:4 cite [3] - 156:5, 166:9, 166.13 cited [3] - 159:20, 161:8, 166:8 claim [1] - 151:17 claiming [1] - 135:10 claims [1] - 160:3 Claire [1] - 29:10 clarification [3] - 148:22, 152:15, 154:10 clarified [1] - 25:1 clarity [1] - 82:23 class [14] - 35:2, 36:24, 98:15, 98:25, 99:6, 143:10, 143:11, 160:8, 163:7, 163:14, 163:15, 163:25, 164:4, 165:11 clean [2] - 75:24, 76:8 clear [10] - 19:24, 24:7, 63:22, 68:23, 99:12, 131:3, 152:3, 163:11, 169:23, 172.4 cleared [1] - 137:22 clearer [1] - 66:11 clearly [4] - 22:25, 59:17, 152:23, 152:24 **CLERK** [6] - 4:3, 27:3, 64:25, 100:3, 100:12, 138:19 clerk [1] - 146:3 client [2] - 39:6, 84:2 clients [1] - 69:24 clinic [5] - 101:24, 102:5, 102:16, 104:9, 104:10 clinical [23] - 28:9, 28:15, 28:18, 28:20, 68:16, 69:2, 74:1, 74:7, 76:12, 78:2, 87:1, 100:21, 102:5, 102:6, 102:10, 102:21, 103:18, 103:23, 105:7, 110:8, 110:10, 110:15 clinicians [1] - 111:23 clips [1] - 25:24 clock [1] - 59:15 close [4] - 19:5, 19:6, 104:15, 151:4 closed [8] - 52:5, 52:6, 52:9, 52:10, 52:11, 52:14, 56:17, 150.5closer [1] - 27:19 closing [5] - 169:15, 176:18, 176:24, 177:11, 178:8 Clubok [5] - 58:2, 65:6, 139:24, 141:10, 172:17 CLUBOK [90] - 2:12, 20:11, 20:18, 20:20, 20:23, 22:6, 23:7, 24:2, 24:24, 55:3,

55:10, 55:14, 55:23, 57:8, 57:11, 57:16, 57:19, 58:5, 58:13, 58:17, 62:15, 62:18, 63:5, 63:14, 63:18, 63:22, 64:17, 64:22, 65:8, 95:13, 95:20, 95:23, 96:5, 96:12, 96:16, 133:6, 133:8, 133:19, 133:23, 134:1, 134:9, 134:18, 134:22, 135:16, 136:12, 136:23, 140:5, 140:9, 141:11, 141:15, 142:18, 142:22, 143:17, 143:24, 144:14, 144:17, 144:22, 145:10, 145:18, 150:2, 150:4, 150:17, 163:21, 164:7, 165:5, 165:16, 165:20, 166:12. 167:25. 169:3. 169:7, 170:13, 171:5, 171:10, 173:1, 175:4, 176:20, 177:1, 177:8, 177:11, 177:18, 177:23, 178:9, 178:13, 178:21, 178:24, 179:3, 179:5, 179:7, 179:15 co [5] - 103:1, 103:24, 110:24, 115:13, 115:16 co-chair [1] - 103:1 co-investigator [2] - 103:24, 110:24 co-pay [2] - 115:13, 115:16 coast [1] - 134:14 code [13] - 47:2, 47:5, 47:6, 49:16, 49:19, 51:7, 51:24, 52:8, 52:13, 59:6, 59:23, 59:25, 61:15 codes [4] - 47:3, 47:7, 48:11 collaborate [1] - 102:7 colleagues [2] - 129:4, 129:7 collected [2] - 36:17, 49:21 **collectively** [1] - 170:18 COLLEEN [1] - 2:11 College [1] - 101:13 color [1] - 80:9 column [1] - 149:5 columns [1] - 147:16 **combination** [1] - 79:7 coming [5] - 22:19, 112:9, 143:23, 144:1, 148:14 commenting [2] - 98:10, 98:11 comments [3] - 40:19, 83:6, 140:3 commit [1] - 157:6 committee [4] - 102:10, 103:1, 158:22, 158:25 common [2] - 10:16, 40:24 communicate [1] - 71:1 communication [1] - 77:1 communications [3] - 84:5,

84:14, 84:21 community [1] - 80:10 companies [16] - 10:9, 10:13, 11:2, 66:24, 66:25, 67:16, 67:17, 67:18, 71:13, 72:19, 75:1, 75:3, 75:9, 76:12, 78:14, 115:12 company [27] - 9:11, 10:11, 13:7, 13:12, 13:13, 14:11, 49:6, 52:6, 55:6, 67:21, 68:4, 68:6, 68:7, 68:12, 68:17, 70:22, 74:11, 74:15, 74:20, 76:15, 78:12, 85:10, 85:14, 86:3, 87:19, 175:15 company's [3] - 4:15, 52:17, 69:9 compare [2] - 6:15, 6:25 compared [5] - 5:1, 5:12, 5:18, 5:24, 143:10 comparison [1] - 8:14 compelling [1] - 82:16 competent [1] - 58:7 competition [1] - 67:22 competitor [1] - 71:11 competitors [1] - 74:7 compiled [1] - 84:24 complete [5] - 39:21, 41:9, 130:2, 131:20, 141:12 completed [2] - 125:13, 176:2 complex [2] - 47:8, 53:15 complicated [3] - 109:20, 114:5, 130:9 complies [1] - 30:8 component [2] - 159:24, 167:5 computer [3] - 47:5, 47:24, 54:2 concern [5] - 49:4, 52:9, 71:10, 83:9, 173:16 concerned [2] - 148:12, 155:1 concerning [2] - 112:13, 141:14 concerns [5] - 9:5, 38:5, 38:11, 38:18, 154:13 conclude [5] - 96:3, 138:14, 153:1, 160:18, 170:3 concluded [4] - 93:24, 94:10, 94:24, 138:9 concludes [1] - 169:12 concluding [1] - 145:14 conclusion [5] - 94:2, 94:13, 96:20, 139:9, 152:9 conduct [1] - 102:19 conducted [3] - 13:25, 14:3, 128:3 confer [8] - 49:2, 61:11, 171:5, 171:18, 173:16, 175:5, 175:6, 175:22

CONFERENCE [1] - 180:7 conference [20] - 4:13, 4:22, 5:13, 5:19, 5:25, 6:16, 7:13, 10:18, 11:4, 32:17, 71:10, 73:16, 77:4, 77:8, 79:13, 82:1, 118:24, 119:25, 120:4, 174:24 conferring [1] - 144:14 confident [1] - 152:6 **confirmation** [1] - 110:17 confirmed [2] - 80:11, 90:7 conform [1] - 170:17 **CONFORMANCE** [1] - 180:6 confused [3] - 25:11, 99:10, 160:18 confusing [2] - 147:6, 148:4 confusion [1] - 98:1 confusions [1] - 146:6 **CONN** [21] - 2:4, 96:23, 97:1, 97:5, 97:11, 97:18, 99:7, 99:12, 99:16, 99:22, 118:11, 118:12, 119:7, 119:8, 121:3, 126:2, 131:13, 131:23, 132:5, 132:16, 133:1 conscious [2] - 157:14, 157:21 consider [5] - 69:25, 87:18, 87:22, 177:22, 178:2 consideration [2] - 139:3, 141.17 considered [1] - 114:14 considers [1] - 75:23 consistent [3] - 114:24, 151:9, 160:16 consistently [1] - 161:10 constant [2] - 113:6, 129:19 constantly [3] - 111:14, 114:10, 160:11 consultants [1] - 69:3 contained [2] - 49:14, 122:23 contains [2] - 25:17, 55:23 contents [2] - 44:3, 44:6 context [8] - 10:4, 71:7, 71:8, 71:15, 110:8, 110:9, 126:22, 174:19 continue [6] - 13:14, 26:13, 36:9, 55:12, 116:24, 177:21 continues [1] - 103:17 continuing [1] - 33:7 continuous [1] - 78:1 contract [1] - 37:22 contrary [1] - 141:18 control [3] - 73:3, 80:20, 86:14 controls [1] - 108:4 controversy [4] - 52:2, 83:12, 83:13, 83:14 convenience [2] - 66:1,

171:11 conversation [1] - 76:6 conviction [1] - 178:22 convinced [1] - 152:25 COOK [1] - 2:13 coordinator [2] - 67:3, 67:4 copies [4] - 26:20, 107:24, 109:24, 147:9 core [3] - 23:1, 58:3, 60:24 corporate [2] - 154:13, 158.15 correct [71] - 14:6, 15:6, 15:13. 15:24. 40:21. 41:3. 45:3, 47:14, 50:9, 50:12, 50:13. 64:6. 66:19. 66:20. 69:1, 70:22, 72:22, 75:21, 77:5, 81:7, 81:8, 81:22, 82:11, 83:23, 83:24, 84:16, 85:20, 86:5, 88:2, 90:12, 92:19, 93:18, 94:23, 95:4, 96:8, 99:1, 116:7, 116:8, 118:16, 119:21, 120:12, 120:15, 120:18, 121:5, 122:8, 122:11, 123:5, 124:16, 125:19, 126:5, 126:10, 126:12, 126:15, 126:17, 126:20, 126:21, 127:6, 127:16, 127:18, 127:23, 127:24, 128:4, 128:20, 129:3, 130:19, 131:14, 131:25, 132:23, 154:7, 172:6, 172:8 CORRECT [1] - 180:2 corrected [3] - 50:11, 98:1, 142:1 corrective [1] - 167:22 correctly [3] - 69:8, 110:6, 120:23 cost [5] - 114:21, 114:23, 115:8, 115:14, 115:22 costs [3] - 115:10, 115:11 Cougar [3] - 70:20, 72:17, 74.3 coughlin [1] - 44:24 Coughlin [2] - 51:12, 51:16 COUGHLIN [32] - 2:4, 20:25, 21:2, 21:6, 21:9, 21:17, 21:20, 21:22, 45:4, 45:6, 45:8, 45:14, 45:24, 46:5, 46:11, 47:1, 47:3, 47:5, 47:10, 47:15, 50:1, 51:23, 57:13, 57:15, 57:22, 61:13, 62:11, 138:24, 139:8, 176:1, 177:14, 179:11 Coughlin's [1] - 49:4 could've [1] - 137:25 Council [4] - 84:2, 84:6, 84:9, 84:18 counsel [6] - 36:13, 36:17, 48:8, 63:9, 118:22, 119:4

counsel's [1] - 36:15 County [4] - 84:2, 84:6, 84:9, 84:18 couple [3] - 44:25, 116:22, 137.3 course [12] - 49:9, 82:18, 92:2, 108:14, 112:16, 113:21, 114:1, 116:23, 127:10, 131:11, 170:12, 179:15 court [14] - 4:4, 27:20, 44:21, 63:20, 65:1, 65:17, 100:4, 104:20, 134:21, 134:22, 138:20, 161:20 **Court** [11] - 22:1, 25:22, 65:13, 65:18, 156:9, 159:23, 161:11, 161:14, 161:22, 166:21, 171:21 COURT [303] - 1:1, 1:22, 4:5, 5:5, 5:15, 5:22, 6:3, 6:7, 6:13, 7:6, 7:22, 8:6, 8:16, 8:19, 8:25, 9:15, 10:7, 10:22, 12:5, 12:7, 14:19, 16:12, 16:14, 16:19, 18:13, 18:16, 18:24, 20:3, 20:10, 20:16, 20:19, 20:22, 21:1, 21:4. 21:7. 21:14. 21:19. 21:24, 22:2, 22:11, 22:18, 23:10, 23:18, 24:10, 24:14, 24:17, 24:22, 25:3, 25:6, 25:13, 25:21, 26:1, 26:4, 26:8, 26:10, 26:13, 26:15, 26:22, 26:25, 27:7, 27:11, 28:10, 33:13, 33:24, 34:6, 35:4, 35:15, 35:21, 35:25, 36:2, 36:6, 36:8, 37:1, 38:8, 38:14, 38:17, 40:2, 41:12, 44:10, 44:12, 44:15, 44:22, 45:2, 45:5, 45:7, 45:11, 45:15, 46:3, 46:9, 46:24, 47:2, 47:4, 47:6, 47:11, 47:16, 47:23, 48:20, 48:24, 50:4, 50:8, 50:12, 50:15, 51:13, 51:19, 51:21, 51:24, 52:21, 53:3, 53:9, 53:12, 54:13, 55:7, 55:11, 55:21, 57:7, 57:10, 57:12, 57:14, 58:1, 58:11, 58:14, 59:9, 59:13, 59:15, 60:14, 60:21, 61:8, 61:12, 62:9, 62:13, 62:17, 63:4, 63:11, 63:19, 64:6, 64:10, 64:15, 64:21, 64:23, 65:2, 66:7, 95:1, 95:5, 95:7, 95:10, 95:12, 95:18, 95:22, 96:1, 96:3, 96:9, 96:11, 96:13, 96:17, 96:25, 97:3, 97:6, 97:9, 97:14, 98:4, 98:7, 98:10, 98:23, 99:2, 99:8, 99:15, 99:21, 99:23, 100:5,

118:8, 119:2, 119:5, 120:25, 125:25, 131:19, 132:4, 132:14, 133:2, 133:5, 133:7, 133:9, 133:13, 133:17, 133:21, 133:25, 134:6, 134:11, 134:19, 135:13, 135:17, 136:21, 137:11, 138:4, 138:8, 138:21, 139:4, 139:23, 140:8, 140:11, 141:3, 141:8, 141:12, 142:4, 142:19, 143:15, 143:23, 144:2, 144:6, 144:10, 144:13, 144:16, 144:20, 145:7, 145:11, 145:20, 145:22, 148:23, 149:4, 149:8, 149:13, 149:16. 149:20. 150:3. 150:7. 150:18. 152:18. 152:20, 153:7, 153:9, 153:16, 154:8, 154:25, 155:6, 155:10, 155:15, 155:21, 156:5, 156:7, 156:10, 157:10, 157:16, 158:10, 159:8, 159:11, 160:10, 160:25, 161:4, 161:11, 161:19, 162:1, 162:21, 162:25, 163:2, 163:17, 163:19, 164:5, 164:9, 165:14, 165:18, 166:1, 166:16, 167:1, 167:9, 167:12, 167:15, 168:1, 168:16, 169:5, 169:8, 169:11, 169:19, 170:19, 171:9, 172:3, 172:11, 173:10, 173:22, 174:7, 174:13, 174:17, 174:21, 175:1, 175:6, 175:21, 176:2, 176:5, 176:11, 176:21, 177:2, 177:10, 177:12, 177:17, 177:19, 177:24, 178:11, 178:14, 178:18, 178:22, 179:1, 179:4, 179:6, 179:8, 179:17 Court's [9] - 60:2, 150:22, 150:24, 151:1, 159:5, 164:6, 164:15, 167:3, 169:19 Courts [2] - 156:21, 160:15 cover [1] - 68:1 covered [1] - 115:8 covering [4] - 67:23, 85:5, 85:9, 85:14 crazy [1] - 174:13 create [7] - 30:3, 37:4, 43:14, 50:2, 54:25, 62:3, 81:13 created [9] - 43:11, 49:5, 50:5, 50:8, 51:6, 52:20, 52:23, 54:25, 62:6

creates [2] - 32:6, 62:3 creating [2] - 56:5 creation [1] - 160:12 criteria [2] - 77:5, 126:11 critical [2] - 156:17, 156:25 critically [2] - 157:4, 160:17 criticism [1] - 49:24 cross [8] - 41:12, 49:25, 54:6, 57:20, 100:1, 118:9, 136:14, 137:22 **CROSS** [6] - 3:5, 3:7, 3:8, 14:21, 41:13, 118:10 cross-examination [6] -49:25, 54:6, 100:1, 118:9, 136:14. 137:22 CROSS-EXAMINATION [6] -3:5, 3:7, 3:8, 14:21, 41:13, 118:10 cross-examine [1] - 57:20 crushed [1] - 71:15 CSR [1] - 1:22 Cuban [1] - 156:22 cueing [1] - 95:23 cure [1] - 112:11 curing [1] - 112:17 current [2] - 107:7, 175:17 **curve** [11] - 30:18, 31:16, 31:19, 31:21, 32:8, 32:10, 32:24, 59:4, 61:20, 62:3 curves [24] - 30:16, 31:5, 32:9, 32:12, 32:22, 33:6, 33:7, 33:23, 36:18, 37:5, 42:25, 43:11, 48:16, 49:22, 50:19, 51:5, 51:8, 58:9, 58:21, 58:23, 61:4, 62:2 custodian [1] - 51:8 cut [3] - 33:2, 69:7, 134:6 cutoff [2] - 33:6, 59:8 D damages [8] - 167:4, 167:5, 167:6, 167:8, 168:1, 168:2, 168:6, 168:14 dangerous [2] - 140:12, 178:19 **Darcy** [3] - 3:16, 95:14, 96:2 data [112] - 4:20, 5:2, 6:1, 6:17, 6:22, 7:1, 7:3, 9:21, 9:23, 10:1, 10:2, 10:5,

11:3, 11:4, 11:14, 11:17,

33:9, 33:19, 34:8, 34:14,

35:5, 35:6, 35:7, 35:8,

37:4, 37:9, 39:7, 41:3,

43:4, 43:7, 48:10, 48:15,

48:16, 48:18, 49:14, 49:17,

50:21, 50:23, 50:24, 52:10,

29:17, 31:13, 31:25, 33:2,

34:15, 34:17, 34:24, 35:2,

35:17, 35:23, 36:1, 36:18,

52:13, 52:16, 52:17, 53:2, 55:4, 55:5, 55:15, 55:23, 55:24, 56:3, 56:5, 56:10, 56:12, 56:15, 56:16, 57:8, 58:6, 58:7, 58:10, 58:22, 59:5, 59:6, 61:16, 67:14, 67:15, 67:19, 69:6, 71:11, 73:2, 73:11, 74:7, 75:23, 76:8, 76:16, 76:17, 76:18, 77:1, 78:4, 79:12, 79:18, 80:17, 80:22, 82:24, 83:18, 85:22, 85:23, 85:25, 86:1, 86:2, 86:9, 86:13, 87:1, 92:9, 98:16, 99:18, 124:14, 130:22 database [2] - 49:18, 56:2 date [8] - 5:13, 59:7, 71:7, 128:15, 130:6, 146:1, 149:5 DATE [1] - 180:10 dated [7] - 12:12, 29:22, 37:15, 40:17, 79:23, 81:23, 155:24 Daubert [1] - 48:17 daughters [1] - 14:16 DAY [1] - 1:17 day-to-day [1] - 67:10 day-to-day-basis [1] - 66:21 days [8] - 25:23, 43:1, 102:4, 116:22, 134:3, 134:25, 142:2, 143:8 DCIS [1] - 89:13 DDEM50 [2] - 101:4, 101:7 DDEM54 [2] - 112:22, 120:17 DDEM55 [1] - 124:2 DDEM80 [1] - 97:11 deal [4] - 45:13, 59:18, 77:19, 146:10 dealt [1] - 82:21 death [1] - 108:17 debate [1] - 170:8 debt [1] - 10:12 December [10] - 11:11, 35:17, 35:19, 50:6, 50:9, 50:11, 55:18, 106:24, 111:15, 129:24 decide [4] - 118:19, 154:15, 161:19, 171:1 decided [4] - 71:13, 72:19, 116:24, 172:21 decision [10] - 67:14, 87:13, 91:18, 92:1, 157:9, 158:11, 164:22, 166:10, 166:15, 167:20 decisions [3] - 59:25, 60:1, 68:10 declaration [1] - 46:23 decline [2] - 91:8, 115:21 declined [1] - 137:20 declines [1] - 168:9

decrease [1] - 31:23 decreases [1] - 31:10 decreasing [1] - 31:14 deeply [1] - 152:22 defendant [2] - 95:18, 105:2 **DEFENDANT**[1] - 2:11 defendant's [19] - 41:20, 97:1, 119:10, 149:25, 153:18, 153:19, 153:24, 154:14, 158:16, 158:18, 158:20, 162:5, 162:6, 165:1, 166:22, 167:17, 168:4, 172:21, 172:24 Defendant's [6] - 3:3, 3:6, 3:7, 4:7, 27:2, 100:11 defendants [25] - 1:12, 15:1, 20:15, 20:17, 23:6, 24:8, 25:18, 25:20, 60:3, 64:14, 65:24, 66:5, 95:16, 100:9, 104:23, 132:9, 157:25, 162:14, 167:2, 167:3, 167:7, 171:22, 173:18, 174:8, 175:13 defendants' [1] - 141:5 defense [39] - 4:6, 16:16, 20:5, 25:14, 26:5, 26:23, 44:13, 47:13, 47:20, 59:9, 65:9, 95:12, 96:18, 100:7, 119:2, 133:3, 133:5, 133:8, 135:19, 136:5, 137:19, 138:4, 139:6, 139:14, 150:9, 159:3, 159:14, 160:21, 161:21, 163:20, 164:18, 165:4, 167:1, 169:25, 170:7, 170:24, 175:3, 176:3 defer [1] - 118:21 defined [1] - 157:18 definitely [3] - 111:14, 124:25, 177:1 definition [2] - 73:6, 122:19 definitions [3] - 39:19, 41:3, 158:1 defrauded [3] - 72:10, 93:17, 93:22 degree [4] - 28:1, 28:2, 78:14, 101:13 delayed [2] - 11:13, 11:20 delegation [1] - 165:2 deliberate [4] - 155:18, 156:1, 157:12, 157:22 deliberations [1] - 138:16 deliverable [2] - 39:5, 39:6 delta [1] - 83:21 demonstrably [1] - 55:16 demonstrate [1] - 58:23 demonstrates [1] - 143:6 demonstrative [4] - 97:4, 97:9, 99:23, 120:16 demonstratives [3] - 97:2,

147:17, 148:14 denominator [1] - 126:23 dented [1] - 74:5 deny [2] - 150:1, 150:8 departments [1] - 110:6 depict [1] - 108:11 depicts [1] - 30:20 depo [1] - 21:3 deponent [1] - 66:10 DEPOSIT [1] - 180:6 deposition [39] - 3:12, 3:13, 3:14, 3:16, 6:19, 15:12, 15:22, 15:24, 20:11, 20:24, 24:3, 24:5, 25:17, 25:23, 25:25, 26:14, 26:20, 62:19, 65:10, 66:6, 66:8, 66:16, 73:7, 94:24, 95:14, 95:21, 95:24, 96:2, 118:16, 125:19, 126:1, 129:8, 129:9, 133:16, 134:5, 134:10, 135:22, 136:9, 137:17 deposition) [1] - 22:1 derisking [2] - 85:21, 85:22 describe [2] - 32:24, 45:2 described [4] - 75:9, 120:18, 149:21, 149:22 description [7] - 149:1, 149:3, 149:8, 149:9, 149:11, 149:14, 149:22 descriptions [2] - 148:8, 148:9 designated [2] - 60:22, 63:17 designating [1] - 60:15 designation [8] - 60:15, 60:18, 60:19, 60:24, 60:25, 61:7, 135:15, 135:22 designations [4] - 24:7, 25:17, 62:25, 137:23 despite [1] - 74:19 destined [2] - 112:14, 112:15 details [1] - 77:7 determination [1] - 45:17 determinations [1] - 118:22 determine [3] - 63:12, 69:15, 70:6 develop [1] - 75:15 developing [2] - 68:11, 101:16 development [3] - 13:8, 13:11, 74:20 **DFS** [21] - 9:19, 73:3, 73:4, 86:14, 87:6, 87:7, 88:13, 88:23, 89:13, 89:24, 120:14, 121:5, 121:6, 122:13, 131:16, 131:24, 172:14, 172:16, 172:23 diagnosed [3] - 105:25, 113:4, 124:9 diarrhea [36] - 9:19, 38:4,

38:7, 38:12, 38:15, 38:16, 38:19, 38:22, 77:10, 77:13, 77:22, 77:24, 78:6, 78:10, 78:24, 79:4, 82:21, 111:7, 115:25, 116:14, 116:17, 116:21, 116:24, 117:7, 117:9, 121:21, 121:23, 122:6, 123:4, 174:3, 174:7, 174:12, 174:21, 175:2, 175:7, 175:8 diarrheal [1] - 121:25 dictate [1] - 111:9 die [1] - 78:17 **Diego** [7] - 100:22, 101:17, 102:2, 102:25, 104:4, 110:1, 115:1 DIEGO [2] - 2:7, 2:15 difference [13] - 23:4, 49:23, 50:14, 50:15, 50:18, 51:1, 59:19, 80:16, 88:17, 89:23, 115:15, 137:21, 173:25 differences [2] - 78:2, 172:9 different [24] - 25:3, 50:21, 52:19, 56:1, 57:24, 62:24, 66:2, 67:12, 88:17, 88:21, 90:5, 102:7, 103:10, 103:16, 103:21, 109:23, 111:22, 114:25, 121:4, 131:8, 131:9, 138:1, 144:24, 144:25 differently [2] - 142:21, 150:8 difficult [4] - 9:11, 63:11, 63:12, 146:10 dig [1] - 72:14 dimerization [1] - 108:2 dinner [2] - 18:1, 19:9 dip [1] - 31:17 **DIRECT** [6] - 3:4, 3:6, 3:8, 4:8, 27:12, 100:16 direct [5] - 32:20, 51:10, 59:2, 162:20, 162:22 directed [3] - 46:14, 116:25, 149:25 directly [3] - 61:10, 162:16, 166:10 director [8] - 7:1, 7:9, 7:14, 9:8, 27:17, 27:23, 29:5, 29:7 directors [5] - 11:21, 12:12, 12:18, 15:5 directors' [1] - 13:2 disaggregation [1] - 167:5 disappointed [2] - 55:8, 80.25 disclose [2] - 92:8, 105:7 disclosed [10] - 11:9, 54:11, 54:12, 54:14, 54:16, 77:3, 87:2, 123:11, 123:16, 167:22

disclosure [7] - 51:18, 60:9, 60:10, 60:11, 60:12, 60:14, 60:17 disclosures [1] - 60:22 discontinuation [2] - 122:13, 123:1 discontinued [1] - 123:4 discovery [5] - 50:7, 56:2, 56:24, 57:3, 57:4 discrepancies [1] - 40:25 discretionary [1] - 165:9 discuss [2] - 138:22, 140:2 discussed [3] - 80:21, 133:21. 145:2 discussing [2] - 65:4, 141:7 discussion [4] - 12:20, 74:24, 89:5, 167:3 discussions [1] - 99:6 disease [17] - 30:19, 30:20, 31:11, 73:8, 78:19, 87:9, 87:12, 102:8, 107:11, 112:18, 113:2, 113:5, 114:16, 172:16, 172:23, 173:24, 174:1 disease-free [8] - 30:19, 30:20, 73:8, 87:9, 172:16, 172:23, 173:24, 174:1 dismantled [1] - 52:7 display [3] - 58:6, 59:6, 106:2 displayed [1] - 109:2 displays [1] - 40:19 displease [1] - 42:8 dispositive [1] - 140:4 dispute [7] - 48:4, 52:12, 94:2, 94:14, 94:17, 157:19, 168:21 disregard [1] - 32:11 distinction [1] - 101:15 distinctive [1] - 163:14 district [1] - 159:12 **DISTRICT** [3] - 1:1, 1:2, 1:22 District [3] - 161:11, 161:14, 166:11 disturbances [1] - 117:17 divest [1] - 72:19 divide [1] - 108:12 division [1] - 81:21 divisions [1] - 108:15 DM1 [1] - 129:24 DNA [1] - 108:3 doctor [4] - 54:19, 67:20, 130:4, 131:4 Doctor [2] - 125:1, 132:17 doctorate [1] - 28:5 doctors [2] - 77:18, 102:15 document [16] - 30:4, 46:6, 62:6, 145:23, 145:24, 145:25, 147:19, 147:21, 149:1, 149:3, 149:13,

154:2, 156:9, 170:12, 174:8, 175:10 documents [9] - 45:18, 45:25, 46:8, 46:13, 93:12, 146:7, 148:2, 148:5, 149:12 dollars [1] - 13:22 done [11] - 35:19, 42:23, 43:7, 64:7, 69:7, 77:18, 110:1, 127:22, 137:7, 137:9, 137:25 door [2] - 7:20, 160:3 dose [3] - 116:19, 116:23, 117:2 dots [2] - 108:5, 108:9 double [1] - 130:25 doubt [1] - 58:25 **DOWD** [1] - 2:5 down [22] - 20:4, 28:10, 31:17, 44:12, 52:21, 59:20, 66:2, 79:25, 82:9, 82:17, 89:6, 90:5, 91:4, 121:23, 124:17, 127:1, 146:2, 146:9, 148:13, 158:21, 175:24 dozen [5] - 25:8, 103:16, 146:10, 146:12, 147:24 **Dr** [19] - 27:14, 35:11, 41:15, 57:18, 57:20, 98:18, 98:21, 99:13, 99:19, 100:10, 100:18, 104:18, 105:9, 114:21, 117:10, 118:7, 118:13, 119:9, 126:3 draft [1] - 30:4 dramatically [1] - 106:15 drawn [1] - 166:10 dream [1] - 72:14 drift [1] - 145:9 drive [1] - 52:16 DRIVE [1] - 2:15 drives [1] - 74:2 dropped [1] - 6:10 drove [1] - 74:4 drug [43] - 11:12, 11:18, 11:19, 68:18, 68:25, 74:8, 75:15, 78:11, 79:4, 79:9, 81:7, 82:15, 83:4, 83:17, 85:24, 86:10, 86:23, 91:10, 97:13, 97:20, 97:23, 98:16, 103:8, 113:24, 114:8, 115:12, 115:14, 115:16, 116:19, 117:1, 118:1, 120:21, 121:19, 122:11, 122:17, 122:20, 122:22, 123:4, 125:9, 125:12, 127:19, 132:11, 132:13 drugs [9] - 68:11, 78:14, 78:16, 103:10, 103:15, 110:21, 112:16, 114:23, 115:19

 $\begin{array}{l} \textbf{Drynan} \, [14] - 3:14, \, 62:19, \\ 64:18, \, 65:9, \, 65:10, \, 65:14, \\ 65:19, \, 65:20, \, 66:12, \, 66:13, \\ 66:15, \, 66:16, \, 94:24, \\ 139:16 \\ \textbf{due} \, [3] - 32:12, \, 122:11, \\ 123:4 \\ \textbf{duly} \, [2] - 12:20, \, 164:20 \\ \textbf{duration} \, [1] - 78:24 \\ \textbf{during} \, [9] - 9:4, \, 29:5, \, 35:7, \\ 71:2, \, 84:11, \, 85:5, \, 114:6, \\ 134:3, \, 134:21 \\ \textbf{duties} \, [1] - 7:9 \end{array}$

E

e-mail [18] - 29:22, 37:14, 37:17, 38:12, 38:24, 38:25, 40:8, 40:10, 40:11, 40:13, 40:17, 40:23, 43:18, 43:20, 43:22, 43:25, 179:2, 179:4 e-mailed [1] - 176:3 e-mails [1] - 51:5 early [4] - 5:5, 110:20, 117:16, 128:24 ears [2] - 53:14, 59:22 easier [1] - 64:1 east [1] - 134:14 easy [1] - 70:16 education [1] - 101:18 educational [2] - 27:25, 101:9 effect [6] - 32:8, 77:13, 77:21, 79:8, 116:1, 123:4 effective [1] - 118:1 effectively [1] - 56:6 effects [13] - 78:15, 78:18, 111:6, 114:18, 115:25, 116:3, 116:10, 116:15, 117:10, 117:13, 117:18, 117:23, 122:11 efficacy [19] - 29:10, 29:13, 29:14, 29:23, 29:24, 42:13, 42:19, 42:24, 42:25, 73:2, 73:11, 86:13, 87:1, 92:12, 111:5, 111:18, 111:20, 111:23, 114:19 efficiency [2] - 144:3, 144:7 efficient [4] - 28:11, 139:10, 139:19, 141:4 efficiently [1] - 143:7 effort [2] - 39:11, 40:25 Einstein [1] - 101:13 either [6] - 10:11, 24:25, 31:10, 109:22, 153:10, 176:17 either/or [1] - 163:5 elaborate [2] - 80:4, 80:6 electronic [1] - 56:6 element [1] - 152:4

elements [2] - 141:2, 151:17 eligibility [1] - 126:11 eligible [2] - 114:14, 128:1 eliminating [1] - 126:25 embargoed [1] - 80:23 employed [1] - 67:24 employee [1] - 29:3 employees [1] - 40:9 encourage [1] - 176:22 end [27] - 4:12, 8:6, 17:5, 30:19, 31:11, 31:17, 31:19, 32:10, 32:11, 35:5, 38:8, 39:22, 40:24, 79:10, 79:17, 81:9, 81:10, 89:13, 90:22, 94:8, 113:9, 113:10, 113:23, 117:15, 130:13, 148:14, 177:16 ended [2] - 72:18, 77:22 enhance [1] - 131:1 enjoy [1] - 28:15 enormous [1] - 121:11 enroll [1] - 103:25 enrolled [2] - 110:25, 128:19 enrolling [1] - 102:21 ensure [2] - 7:9, 67:5 entails [1] - 39:14 entire [7] - 56:8, 79:4, 109:22, 161:9, 168:20, 171:7, 174:20 entirely [1] - 165:22 entitled [4] - 98:20, 172:3, 172:11, 172:18 environmental [1] - 70:1 enzymatic [1] - 109:7 epidemiologists [1] - 102:8 equal [1] - 179:15 equivalent [2] - 49:17, 56:7 ER [4] - 126:20, 126:22, 127:1, 130:22 ER-positive [1] - 127:1 erased [1] - 147:10 error [1] - 128:10 essentially [2] - 33:3, 59:6 establish [1] - 160:20 established [5] - 22:11, 139:19, 139:21, 151:25, 152:4 establishing [1] - 167:23 esteem [2] - 161:20, 162:2 estimate [11] - 50:3, 73:3, 86:14, 86:22, 88:18, 88:19, 124:11, 126:19, 128:5, 128:22, 138:13 estimated [1] - 89:24 estimates [3] - 69:16, 73:12, 90:3 estrogen [1] - 126:17 estrogen-receptor [1] -126:17

et [3] - 53:15, 60:16, 73:16 ET [2] - 1:10, 2:12 ethical [2] - 70:5, 70:7 evaluate [1] - 48:11 evening [2] - 137:14, 176:4 event [4] - 23:16, 32:7, 32:8, 139:11 events [1] - 103:3 eventually [1] - 72:24 evidence [25] - 12:2, 12:4, 18:13, 29:22, 32:16, 37:14, 39:24, 54:24, 55:4, 58:18, 59:1. 59:2. 60:5. 94:18. 95:3, 96:6, 96:9, 96:15, 98:20. 130:18. 132:3. 139:13, 140:6, 141:13, 141:15 evolved [1] - 114:6 evolving [1] - 114:10 exact [5] - 18:1, 19:9, 55:17, 59:5, 125:15 exactly [13] - 36:5, 36:12, 50:20, 53:8, 73:22, 112:2, 112:4, 112:6, 121:24, 123:15, 131:2, 156:18, 175:4 exaggerated [1] - 128:9 exam [2] - 31:12, 32:5 **EXAMINATION** [14] - 3:4, 3:5. 3:5. 3:6. 3:7. 3:8. 3:8. 4.8 14.21 19.22 27.12 41:13, 100:16, 118:10 examination [8] - 49:25, 51:10, 54:6, 60:16, 100:1, 118:9, 136:14, 137:22 examine [1] - 57:20 examined [1] - 136:13 example [9] - 67:13, 73:24, 91:21, 141:24, 146:18, 147:4, 149:16, 171:15, 174:1 examples [1] - 142:3 exceedingly [1] - 132:9 Excel [2] - 56:20, 56:22 except [3] - 50:20, 141:21, 168.7 exceptions [1] - 108:24 excerpts [1] - 135:22 Exchange [1] - 151:13 exchanging [1] - 137:14 excited [2] - 28:20, 29:18 exclude [1] - 157:3 excluded [1] - 60:9 exclusion [1] - 134:23 excuse [1] - 97:14 executive [1] - 37:20 executives [1] - 30:5 exercised [1] - 19:24 exhibit [10] - 12:1, 12:3, 13:1, 18:9, 45:6, 45:8,

47:12, 54:1, 121:1, 147:24 Exhibit [41] - 3:12, 3:14, 3:17, 11:24, 12:9, 12:11, 12:23, 12:24, 18:19, 24:9, 24:15, 29:21, 32:15, 37:14, 39:23, 40:3, 42:12, 43:17, 45:5. 45:7. 51:11. 53:25. 72:12. 74:24. 79:21. 81:18. 88:11, 88:20, 89:1, 89:21, 90:25, 96:6, 96:10, 101:4, 101:7, 105:15, 107:20, 112:22, 120:17, 124:2, 146:18 Exhibits [3] - 3:15, 95:2, 95:11 exhibits [6] - 3:11, 25:12, 26:19, 44:25, 145:25, 148.20 exist [6] - 54:3, 54:4, 61:17, 112:3, 169:1 existed [3] - 35:12, 55:5, 56:16 exists [3] - 52:16, 52:17, 52.18 expand [1] - 143:19 expect [12] - 98:19, 99:20, 108:22, 112:6, 114:4, 114:19, 115:20, 116:13, 117:6, 117:22, 118:4, 141:12 expectation [7] - 77:2, 89:14, 98:17, 98:21, 124:6, 124:18, 127:25 **expectations** [4] - 89:17, 90:11, 99:13, 99:15 expected [6] - 53:23, 60:5, 60:7, 63:19, 113:24, 125:7 expensive [1] - 113:20 experience [12] - 76:11, 78:13, 98:16, 104:5, 114:24, 114:25, 115:12, 116:5, 116:12, 117:3, 117:5, 128:13 experienced [1] - 143:11 experiment [1] - 75:18 expert [26] - 10:20, 45:19, 46:15, 46:16, 47:18, 48:3, 49:13, 53:12, 53:20, 54:6, 54:7, 54:17, 54:19, 54:22, 55:2, 59:13, 59:17, 59:19, 60:8, 60:15, 60:16, 60:18, 104:18, 110:12, 118:21 expert's [1] - 62:7 experts [9] - 48:14, 48:15, 48:18, 48:22, 49:12, 50:2, 59:18, 60:22 explain [12] - 30:15, 31:1, 31:18, 37:19, 39:13, 40:23, 49:23, 64:19, 64:21, 105:17, 111:19, 145:24

explained [1] - 120:2 explaining [1] - 46:14 explains [2] - 22:15, 33:1 explanation [2] - 33:11, 109.17 exponential [1] - 108:16 expose [1] - 127:19 exposure [1] - 67:6 expressed [2] - 9:5, 139:16 expresses [1] - 105:19 expressing [1] - 122:3 ExteNET [67] - 4:20, 5:2, 5:13, 5:25, 6:16, 6:22, 7:1, 7:2, 9:19, 13:9, 17:8, 29:11, 29:15, 30:19, 37:11, 40:15, 41:16, 90:6, 90:10, 91:22, 92:9, 92:12, 93:4, 93:7, 93:14, 94:1, 94:12, 98:22, 99:18, 105:21, 105:22, 106:1, 106:3, 107:5, 107:12, 111:12, 111:17, 111:18, 111:19, 112:2, 112:5, 112:19, 112:24, 113:17, 114:14, 117:25, 118:4, 120:13, 120:18, 121:9, 121:20, 121:25, 122:2, 122:5, 123:7, 124:7, 124:19, 125:20, 126:4, 126:11, 126:16, 127:5, 127:13, 128:19, 129:20, 129:22, 131:5 extent [5] - 35:18, 93:24, 94:9, 94:13, 171:15 extra [2] - 101:14, 109:24 extrapolating [2] - 122:1, 123.7 eyes [3] - 53:14, 59:22, 103:6

F

face [2] - 115:11, 159:1 faced [1] - 74:7 facing [1] - 114:16 fact [13] - 16:9, 23:2, 46:21, 49:5, 61:2, 61:3, 74:19, 122:21, 137:3, 144:4, 149:21, 168:15, 176:21 factor [3] - 69:9, 88:7, 88:8 factors [3] - 168:10, 168:23 facts [6] - 60:6, 75:17, 85:21, 87:4, 92:25, 167:22 faculty [2] - 101:19, 103:20 failed [2] - 92:8, 139:14 failure [1] - 135:14 fair [11] - 16:8, 17:22, 17:23, 19:15, 23:10, 77:4, 78:5, 102:6, 171:18, 172:1, 178:24 fairly [2] - 11:16, 64:23

faith [9] - 159:9, 159:10, 159:12, 159:14, 159:22, 160:2, 160:15, 160:21, 161:17 fallen [1] - 89:10 fallible [1] - 128:10 false [7] - 42:20, 55:16, 91:23, 91:24, 174:5, 175:11, 175:20 falsity [1] - 140:24 familiar [3] - 32:17, 70:20, 105:21 familiarity [2] - 33:11, 33:12 far [5] - 21:6, 31:6, 31:15, 105:5, 151:7 fashioned [1] - 62:21 favor [1] - 62:9 **FDA** [15] - 11:13, 11:15, 11:17, 13:16, 18:19, 18:21, 68:16, 83:6, 83:10, 103:4, 113:18, 113:20, 113:21, 113:25, 129:21 FDA-mandated [1] - 103:4 featured [1] - 165:7 federal [4] - 91:13, 92:4, 93:25, 94:11 federally [1] - 102:9 FEE [1] - 180:5 FEES [1] - 180:5 feet [2] - 52:22, 142:5 Feinstein [1] - 143:3 Feinstein's [1] - 139:12 fellow [1] - 102:17 few [11] - 58:15, 65:5, 107:16, 111:21, 121:22, 129:8, 129:9, 142:2, 150:24, 158:6, 176:14 fewer [3] - 110:3, 120:21, 127:21 field [6] - 28:16, 110:12, 112:10, 112:16, 114:5, 129:19 Fifth [1] - 156:19 fifty [1] - 126:21 figure [2] - 56:7, 67:15 figures [3] - 30:3, 39:5, 41:1 file [2] - 49:15 files [2] - 40:20, 56:20 filing [3] - 11:10, 22:9, 49:16 filter [2] - 55:24, 58:20 final [5] - 32:5, 73:1, 148:8, 150:21, 161:23 finalize [1] - 150:20 finally [2] - 135:2, 147:7 fine [10] - 22:17, 23:6, 43:3, 63:22, 154:18, 162:12, 166:25, 167:2, 167:3, 168:7 finish [4] - 35:21, 96:14, 108:20, 143:16

finished [3] - 103:14, 107:13, 125.18 fire [1] - 79:24 first [49] - 6:18, 9:18, 10:22, 28:17, 29:13, 37:16, 48:5, 48:8, 52:25, 61:13, 73:4, 75:8, 81:16, 82:5, 85:20, 86:7, 88:22, 92:11, 100:13, 101:6, 103:13, 106:3, 106:4, 106:18, 110:18, 110:21, 110:22, 111:12, 124:21, 126:8, 129:13, 129:14, 131:7, 133:23, 134:1, 135:6, 136:14, 145:22, 147:19, 149:23, 151:3, 151:8, 155:16, 155:25, 168:2, 170:23, 174:9, 174:10, 177:15 firsthand [1] - 43:10 fit [1] - 121:16 five [18] - 14:15, 38:1, 44:17, 56:14, 65:2, 90:1, 96:14, 96:17, 126:14, 128:6, 128:7, 146:24, 146:25, 153:18, 153:20, 153:23, 154:12, 158:14 five-minute [2] - 44:17, 96:17 fix [1] - 154:20 flipped [1] - 174:15 flown [1] - 137:9 flows [1] - 74:18 flux [1] - 129:19 focus [1] - 159:16 focused [1] - 159:17 focusing [2] - 130:24, 130:25 folks [9] - 4:5, 22:19, 62:13, 63:20, 100:5, 140:15, 148:6, 169:14, 176:5 follow [7] - 19:21, 31:13, 31:25, 64:2, 83:6, 83:16, 116:9 follow-up [5] - 19:21, 31:13, 31:25, 83:6, 83:16 followed [1] - 33:4 following [2] - 12:20, 86:7 follows [2] - 3:15, 66:17 FOR [1] - 180:5 **FOREGOING** [1] - 180:2 foreman [1] - 170:4 foreperson [1] - 170:4 FORGE [50] - 2:3, 5:3, 5:14, 5:20, 6:2, 7:4, 7:16, 8:5, 8:10, 9:14, 10:6, 10:19, 12:6, 14:22, 16:15, 16:20, 18:10, 18:11, 18:14, 18:17, 19:2, 19:19, 20:8, 21:21, 22:14, 23:5, 23:9, 23:15, 24:12, 24:15, 24:21, 25:1, 25:5, 25:11, 26:3, 26:7,

26:9, 26:12, 63:9, 64:7, 64:13, 95:4, 96:8, 133:11, 133:15, 133:18, 134:13, 135:21, 137:12, 138:6 Forge [2] - 14:20, 136:16 forget [1] - 130:20 form [2] - 38:6, 170:16 forth [4] - 12:22, 47:13, 63:6, 136:3 forthright [1] - 76:5 forward [4] - 13:9, 21:11, 21:16, 29:19 foundation [5] - 33:10, 36:23, 49:25, 51:17, 98:10 four [9] - 40:20, 89:14, 97:21, 98:3, 102:4, 132:2, 141:2, 152:11, 152:15 fourth [4] - 152:4, 153:23, 154:12, 158:15 FOURTH [1] - 1:23 frame [6] - 29:6, 76:11, 76:14, 76:20, 76:25, 83:15 framed [1] - 90:24 frankly [1] - 141:17 fraud [12] - 120:3, 141:14, 142:20, 144:22, 145:1, 151:21, 151:22, 151:25, 152:3, 157:7, 162:5, 162.23 fraud-on-the-market [3] -141:14, 142:20, 162:5 free [10] - 8:9, 30:19, 30:20, 73:8, 87:9, 106:7, 172:16, 172:23, 173:24, 174:1 frequent [1] - 38:2 FRIDAY [2] - 1:19, 4:1 front [3] - 7:21, 48:9, 119:5 full [19] - 10:17, 27:3, 75:16, 76:12, 76:17, 77:2, 79:12, 101:20, 102:4, 116:23, 165:9, 171:22, 172:3, 172:6, 172:11, 174:11, 174:19, 174:23 Fund [1] - 162:15 fund [2] - 13:14, 67:7 funded [1] - 115:2 funds [3] - 13:21, 14:9, 71:13 furtherance [1] - 119:21 future [2] - 34:22, 75:17 G gain [2] - 82:23, 117:16 gather [2] - 140:8, 140:9 gears [1] - 37:9

109:15, 111:23, 140:3, 156:20, 162:12, 170:22 generally [6] - 19:13, 19:14, 78:1, 79:11, 112:11, 140:5 generate [1] - 36:18 gentleman [1] - 54:14 gentlemen [1] - 138:8 girlfriend [1] - 19:11 girls [1] - 14:14 given [30] - 31:4, 72:14, 76:25, 88:1, 97:18, 99:14, 104:12, 106:19, 112:14, 112:24, 114:18, 117:2, 130:1, 137:13, 139:25, 146:7, 152:7, 155:22, 159:23, 160:2, 160:5, 160:15, 161:2, 162:18, 165:8, 169:20, 169:21, 169:25, 171:6 goal [4] - 13:16, 39:15, 72:23, 112:17 goals [1] - 69:20 Goldman [1] - 156:23 Gompers [3] - 139:11, 139:13, 143:13 Gompers' [1] - 143:18 good-faith [4] - 159:14, 159:22, 160:15, 161:17 gosh [5] - 45:15, 46:3, 134:6, 134:19, 135:17 governance [1] - 70:1 grad [1] - 28:8 grade [8] - 38:6, 38:12, 38:16, 38:21, 121:23, 122:6, 172:14, 172:16 grade-one [1] - 121:23 grade-three [6] - 38:6, 38:12, 38:16, 38:21, 122:6, 172:16 gradually [1] - 101:19 graduate [1] - 101:18 graduated [3] - 101:15, 103:14, 111:4 grant [2] - 17:3, 17:5 granted [1] - 166:8 graph [2] - 30:17, 52:24 grasp [2] - 167:9, 167:15 graveyard [1] - 72:15 great [2] - 64:17, 165:16 greater [3] - 82:23, 130:19, 143:11 GRONBORG [57] - 2:3, 33:10, 33:21, 35:1, 35:14, 35:18, 36:22, 40:4, 41:14, 44:9. 140:22. 141:4. 143:14. 144:3. 144:9. 144:11. 145:17. 145:21. 148:21, 148:24, 149:7, 149:10, 149:15, 149:18, 154:18, 155:3, 155:7,

GELLER [1] - 2:5

Geller [1] - 63:24

gene [1] - 109:25

general [12] - 35:8, 73:14,

76:7, 78:19, 78:20, 108:22,

157:11, 157:17, 161:7, 161:13, 162:12, 162:22, 163:1, 163:3, 163:18, 166:3, 166:25, 168:7, 168:19, 169:10, 169:18, 171:4, 172:8, 173:14, 174:1, 174:10, 174:15, 174:18, 174:23, 175:8, 176:4, 176:7, 176:19, 176:25, 177:7, 178:17 ground [4] - 52:22, 133:24, 134:1, 166:13 grounds [1] - 133:20 group [5] - 76:24, 104:11, 115:11, 122:10, 130:24 Group [2] - 84:8, 84:17 groups [2] - 31:8, 123:10 grow [1] - 108:12 growth [2] - 108:4, 108:16 guarantee [1] - 75:19 guess [6] - 16:23, 59:24, 124:1, 135:4, 135:9, 176:8 guidance [1] - 170:14 guidelines [3] - 109:21, 113:7, 124:15 GUILFORD [1] - 1:3 Guilford [1] - 160:13 Gurley's [1] - 142:11 н hair [1] - 117:11 half [10] - 25:8, 49:1, 56:13, 59:7, 124:24, 146:10, 146:11, 147:24, 177:16, 179:12 hand [6] - 31:6, 79:21, 139:2, 145:23, 176:18, 176:23 handed [4] - 72:12, 74:23, 81:18, 162:9 handful [1] - 165:21 handle [1] - 22:2 hands [1] - 179:10 handwritten [1] - 148:5 happily [1] - 136:12 happy [8] - 22:7, 35:3, 36:25, 57:23, 117:3, 134:16, 154:21, 160:24 hard [6] - 24:18, 78:19, 81:12, 111:13, 131:2, 131:8 harder [2] - 124:14, 127:9 harm [1] - 108:17 harmless [4] - 60:10, 60:12, 61:1, 61:7 Harvard [1] - 28:6 hat [2] - 7:2, 7:14 hate [3] - 80:8, 80:12, 80:13 head [1] - 144:18 heading [2] - 30:23, 75:5

Health [1] - 28:6 hear [10] - 8:6, 10:24, 27:20, 46:4, 58:15, 129:17, 131:9, 132:22, 159:17, 169:9 heard [22] - 18:18, 23:21, 27:22, 30:15, 45:18, 51:13, 55:11, 58:11, 59:11, 98:8, 99:16, 114:21, 129:12, 129:13, 129:14, 129:15, 132:24, 135:8, 136:17, 154:23, 174:20, 179:12 hearing [6] - 24:10, 111:14, 131:7, 145:3, 145:6, 175:21 hearsay [4] - 22:4, 46:16, 134:10, 135:11 heart [4] - 54:21, 62:7, 165:23, 166:14 held [1] - 161:10 help [6] - 15:12, 45:23, 99:10, 102:25, 121:2, 175:5 helped [1] - 43:4 helper [1] - 106:12 helpful [6] - 60:21, 106:15, 170:14, 171:20, 173:9, 173:13 helps [1] - 172:19 HER2 [16] - 105:19, 106:18, 107:9, 107:23, 107:25, 108:7, 108:10, 109:5, 109:8, 109:12, 109:20, 109:21, 109:24, 109:25, 110:3, 114:8 HER2-negative [1] - 108:23 HER2-positive [22] - 105:12, 105:17, 105:18, 105:25, 106:9, 106:14, 106:16, 107:14, 108:19, 109:13, 109:19, 113:5, 113:11, 118:2, 118:5, 124:12, 124:13, 124:23, 125:3, 126:20, 126:21, 130:23 Herceptin [14] - 106:18, 106:21, 106:23, 107:4, 107:6, 107:8, 107:13, 125:2, 125:4, 125:7, 125:13, 125:16, 125:18, 129:25 HEREBY [1] - 180:2 hereto [1] - 12:23 Hicks [11] - 22:16, 133:16, 134:2, 135:2, 135:5, 135:8, 136:8, 136:13, 136:15, 136:24, 137:5 Hicks' [1] - 135:23 hicks's [1] - 136:9 high [8] - 78:6, 79:10, 79:17, 106:7, 107:11, 113:10, 117:12, 158:21

HIGH [1] - 2:15 high-end [2] - 79:10, 79:17 high-risk [1] - 107:11 higher [6] - 78:14, 86:1, 90:7, 90:22, 154:10, 161:20 highest [3] - 113:13, 127:20, 162:2 highest-risk [2] - 113:13, 127:20 Hill [1] - 28:5 hint [1] - 140:21 hinting [1] - 140:20 hired [4] - 29:7, 29:10, 37:22 historically [1] - 106:2 history [1] - 45:9 hit [3] - 23:17, 113:23, 114:1 hold [19] - 6:7, 18:13, 20:16, 25:6, 28:10, 36:6, 38:14, 46:24, 47:11, 71:5, 90:4, 97:14, 131:19, 145:7, 155:6, 155:21, 160:10, 161:20, 162:1 holes [1] - 53:25 home [5] - 104:17, 135:5, 135:9, 136:17, 136:24 honest [3] - 18:6, 115:13, 123:21 honeymoon [2] - 17:11, 17:21 Honor [140] - 5:3, 5:21, 7:4, 7:16, 8:5, 8:10, 9:14, 10:6, 10:19, 12:1, 12:6, 16:11, 16:18, 18:9, 18:14, 18:23, 19:20, 20:2, 20:11, 20:25, 21:17, 22:14, 23:5, 23:9, 23:15, 24:6, 24:12, 24:24, 25:1, 25:5, 25:15, 26:7, 26:18, 26:23, 27:8, 33:10, 35:1, 35:18, 36:10, 36:22, 39:25, 44:11, 44:24, 45:4, 47:17, 48:19, 49:14, 50:1, 54:11, 55:3, 62:11, 62:12, 62:16, 62:18, 62:20, 63:6, 63:7, 63:9, 63:15, 63:22, 64:3, 64:7, 64:19, 65:8, 94:25, 95:4, 95:13, 96:5, 96:8, 96:12, 96:23, 97:5, 97:11, 99:7, 99:22, 100:8, 101:3, 118:11, 119:7, 125:23, 131:12, 131:18, 132:1, 132:10, 133:6, 133:11, 133:15, 133:18, 133:19, 134:13, 135:16, 135:21, 136:4, 136:12, 137:12, 137:16, 138:6, 138:24, 139:7, 139:9, 141:15, 143:14, 144:17, 144:22, 144:23, 145:17, 145:21, 148:21, 152:14, 153:8, 153:15, 154:7,

154:18, 154:23, 155:14, 155:25, 156:6, 159:19, 159:20, 160:7, 160:23, 161:7, 161:25, 166:12, 167:25, 168:8, 169:3, 170:13, 171:19, 173:1, 176:19, 176:20, 176:25, 177:7, 178:17, 178:21, 178:25, 179:3, 179:11 Honor's [2] - 57:4, 139:3 HONORABLE [1] - 1:3 hope [4] - 79:20, 146:11, 148:18, 162:8 hoped [2] - 13:15, 14:11 horrible [1] - 116:21 hospitalized [3] - 117:6, 117:8, 117:22 hotel [3] - 18:2, 19:10, 134:24 hour [7] - 134:20, 145:12, 177:14, 177:16, 178:4, 179:12 hours [13] - 15:11, 15:21, 15:25, 16:1, 16:2, 16:6, 23:20, 68:3, 137:4, 177:18, 177:19, 178:4, 179:12 hours' [1] - 136:24 house [1] - 79:24 Howard [1] - 32:21 HSINGCHING [2] - 1:4, 2:3 HSU [2] - 1:4, 2:3 hundred [1] - 110:4 hundreds [2] - 13:21, 59:24 husband [1] - 29:2 hybrid [1] - 54:18 hypothesis [1] - 139:10 hypothetical [5] - 94:9, 94:12, 121:18, 124:6, 124:17 L I-SPY [10] - 102:23, 103:8, 103:9, 110:20, 111:8, 116:6, 116:20, 128:22, 128:23, 128:25 idea [4] - 17:13, 46:21, 111:11, 144:1 ideas [1] - 67:5 identical [1] - 50:20 identification 151 - 51:2. 101:4, 135:7, 148:25, 149:2 identifications [2] - 147:20, 147.23 identified [11] - 36:16, 144:25, 146:1, 146:20, 147:1, 147:18, 147:22, 147:23, 148:10, 149:5, 171:23

identify [4] - 69:20, 147:19, 168:13, 172:21 III [1] - 113:19 image [3] - 30:22, 31:16, 121:2 images [1] - 30:12 imaginary [1] - 112:4 imagine [2] - 32:7, 65:21 immaterial [1] - 51:1 Imodium [5] - 77:20, 79:7, 82:22, 82:25, 111:9 impact [2] - 9:7, 139:14 impeachment [1] - 125:24 implications [3] - 18:21, 68:16, 68:24 imply [1] - 130:12 important [10] - 54:7, 65:5, 87:7, 87:8, 87:10, 122:12, 122:21, 157:4, 159:17, 160:17 importantly [1] - 160:1 impressed [1] - 159:16 impression [8] - 70:24, 72:20, 72:23, 73:25, 76:7, 76:24, 92:23, 92:24 impressive [1] - 112:19 improper [2] - 125:24, 125:25 **IN** [4] - 2:3, 2:11, 180:3, 180.6inaccurate [1] - 55:20 inactive [1] - 109:10 inadequate [1] - 60:23 inclined [4] - 135:18, 153:12, 165:3, 167:23 include [15] - 41:5, 50:25, 66:24. 90:9. 121:9. 121:13. 128:22, 149:11, 151:3, 151:14, 151:15, 153:1, 166:18, 169:20, 169:21 included [11] - 24:7, 34:15, 41:7, 50:18, 50:23, 87:5, 89:18, 89:19, 122:13, 153:4, 167:6 includes [1] - 123:1 including [11] - 36:19, 37:7, 42:10, 48:9, 59:16, 128:15, 135:6, 155:19, 158:16, 164:19, 172:5 incorporate [3] - 68:19, 142:25, 143:9 incorporated [1] - 142:23 incorporating [2] - 40:19, 143:8 incorrectly [2] - 42:23, 69:7 increasing [1] - 132:6 incurable [1] - 106:24 indeed [1] - 133:5 independent [3] - 74:12, 74:17, 141:20

independently [1] - 39:16 INDEX [1] - 3:1 indication [2] - 46:19, 143:6 indicative [1] - 97:19 individual [6] - 15:3, 15:4, 78:3, 163:13, 163:15, 173:5 individually [1] - 15:7 industry [1] - 78:7 inefficient [1] - 141:22 infection [1] - 117:12 inform [1] - 136:22 information [31] - 22:20, 22:21, 42:19, 45:22, 45:24, 46:19, 47:21, 47:23, 48:21, 49:10, 49:21, 60:12, 78:5, 84:25, 85:2, 85:7, 85:11, 85:15, 86:8, 86:19, 86:23, 87:3, 87:6, 87:15, 87:19, 90:2, 132:11, 142:23, 143:1, 160:19 informing [1] - 157:8 infusion [1] - 104:14 infusions [1] - 104:14 inherently [1] - 31:19 initial [1] - 82:24 injections [1] - 104:13 innovations [1] - 67:17 inputs [1] - 67:19 inside [2] - 79:16, 109:4 insist [1] - 171:7 instead [4] - 56:8, 109:11, 122:2, 143:8 institutional [3] - 13:18, 13:20, 166:5 institutions [1] - 13:24 instructed [2] - 159:15, 160:22 Instruction [3] - 150:22, 151:1, 169:20 instruction [50] - 22:8, 22:13, 22:15, 22:22, 151:11, 152:11, 152:15, 152:16, 152:17, 153:2, 153:11, 153:12, 153:17, 153:18, 153:20, 153:23, 153:24, 154:12, 154:14, 154:24, 155:23, 156:12, 156:14, 158:14, 158:16, 158:19, 158:22, 159:22, 159:23, 159:24, 160:1, 160:15, 161:17, 161:22, 162:4, 162:11, 164:6, 164:15, 165:1, 166:7, 167:4, 167:5, 168:3, 168:4, 168:5, 170:1, 173:5, 173:8, 173:9 instructions [38] - 138:10, 138:13, 138:23, 140:1, 145:8, 150:13, 150:19,

150:21, 151:2, 151:3, 151:5, 151:13, 152:1, 152:2, 152:8, 155:24, 156:2, 156:20, 157:12, 158:4, 158:9, 158:21, 160:12, 161:1, 161:2, 162:6, 163:11, 165:3, 169:12, 169:24, 170:10, 170:15, 170:17, 171:6, 171:11, 176:18, 178:2 insurance [4] - 115:1, 115:3, 115:6. 115:9 integrity [2] - 139:15, 163:6 intent [2] - 61:22, 159:15 intent-to-treat [1] - 61:22 intentional [1] - 157:21 interacts [1] - 159:22 interested [1] - 67:21 interesting [2] - 66:11, 134:20 interestingly [1] - 109:12 internal [2] - 56:21, 102:13 internally [4] - 39:4, 39:7, 39:15, 56:2 International [1] - 84:3 interpret [2] - 32:10, 147:2 interpretation [1] - 75:13 interrupt [3] - 8:8, 8:9, 17:21 interrupting [1] - 55:8 introduce [2] - 27:16, 100:20 introduced [3] - 146:25, 147:2, 147:17 invasive[1] - 113:11 invented [1] - 106:5 inventing [1] - 112:16 invest [5] - 68:4, 68:6, 68:12, 70:4.75:2 invested [2] - 13:8, 81:15 investigate [1] - 92:21 investigated [6] - 92:3, 92:7, 92:20, 93:3, 93:5, 93:23 investigation [4] - 92:24, 93:21, 93:24, 94:10 investigator [4] - 103:24, 104:2, 110:24, 111:10 investing [7] - 66:23, 68:2, 69:25, 71:2, 71:4, 71:6, 71:25 investment [12] - 73:18, 80:9, 85:18, 87:5, 87:13, 91:18, 91:25, 165:2, 165:7, 165:9, 165:22, 166:14 investments [1] - 14:12 investor [1] - 120:2 investors [13] - 13:18, 13:19, 13:20, 13:23, 72:1, 72:4, 92:18, 92:25, 93:3, 93:8, 93:22, 118:25, 120:1 involve [1] - 69:3 involved [3] - 30:2, 94:15,

103:18 involvement [1] - 42:15 involves [2] - 53:15, 158:7 involving [1] - 160:3 IRB [2] - 102:9, 102:12 IS [1] - 180:2 issue [28] - 44:25, 47:20, 48:6, 48:8, 48:19, 60:3, 60:24, 61:1, 65:3, 77:11, 78:10, 92:4, 92:7, 96:23, 96:25, 97:10, 117:4, 134:20, 145:3, 151:18, 152:21, 152:23, 155:8, 155:20, 157:25, 163:3, 168:21, 170:25 issued [1] - 11:18 issues [15] - 44:23, 45:2, 45:12, 47:18, 47:23, 53:17, 55:2, 65:5, 70:1, 70:3, 140:22, 164:13, 170:8 items [1] - 74:24 itself [4] - 31:16, 47:1, 80:7, 163:6 IV [2] - 126:9, 126:13

J

Janine [1] - 46:1 **JANUARY** [2] - 1:19, 4:1 January [17] - 11:6, 11:21, 12:8, 12:12, 13:2, 13:6, 13:14, 13:25, 14:3, 24:20, 39:22, 40:17, 50:10, 51:2, 51:20, 51:22, 61:18 **JASON**[1] - 2:3 JDS [2] - 156:24, 160:5 jeopardize [1] - 77:7 jerk [1] - 80:19 **job** [6] - 9:11, 28:8, 28:17, 28:19, 29:2, 42:9 **Johnson** [8] - 47:16, 58:2, 97:7, 99:10, 99:16, 100:7, 153:3. 154:3 **JOHNSON** [52] - 2:12, 47:17, 48:4, 48:21, 49:1, 50:6, 50:10, 50:13, 50:17, 51:15, 51:20, 52:4, 53:2, 53:5, 53:10, 54:11, 57:17, 59:12, 59:14, 60:2, 60:19, 60:25, 61:10, 62:12, 63:16, 97:8, 98:5, 98:9, 98:14, 99:1, 99:17, 100:8, 100:17, 101:3, 101:8, 105:14, 105:16, 107:19, 108:18, 118:7, 119:4, 125:23, 131:12, 131:18, 132:1, 132:8, 133:4, 139:7, 153:6, 153:8, 153:14, 154:7 joined [1] - 17:3 joining [1] - 14:12

joint [4] - 117:12, 144:11, 151:11, 154:2 jointly [1] - 24:9 joke [1] - 10:14 JORDAN [1] - 2:13 judge [2] - 161:14, 161:20 JUDGE [1] - 1:3 Judge [7] - 140:18, 160:2, 160:12, 161:12, 161:13, 162:1 judgment [5] - 46:23, 139:1, 140:17, 150:11, 161:23 JUDICIAL [1] - 180:7 judicial [1] - 140:16 Judith [2] - 27:5, 27:17 JUDITH [1] - 27:5 Judy [3] - 3:6, 26:24, 27:2 July [41] - 4:13, 4:22, 5:12, 5:18, 5:25, 6:16, 7:13, 17:8, 17:12, 17:14, 17:22, 29:16, 29:22, 29:25, 32:16, 33:19, 34:8, 34:14, 35:11, 35:12, 36:12, 36:19, 37:12, 37:15, 41:6, 42:18, 43:12, 50:25, 53:2, 55:6, 58:21, 86:3, 92:15, 93:8, 118:24, 119:25, 123:12, 123:18, 173:23, 175:19 jumped [1] - 141:24 jumping [2] - 66:2, 83:5 June [5] - 81:23, 82:1, 82:9, 90:25.91:4 junior [1] - 129:6 jury [69] - 4:4, 7:5, 18:8, 18:12, 18:18, 20:12, 22:15, 27:16, 27:24, 30:15, 44:21, 45:13, 48:9, 54:5, 55:14, 62:14, 63:12, 64:1, 64:20, 64:24, 65:1, 96:24, 97:19, 100:2, 100:4, 100:20, 101:9, 105:17, 111:19, 114:21, 118:18, 133:22, 138:10, 138:12, 138:20, 140:1, 140:18, 141:1, 145:8, 146:5, 148:20, 150:5, 150:13, 150:18, 150:20, 150:21, 150:22, 151:4, 153:11, 155:24, 156:11, 156:17, 156:20, 157:4, 157:8, 157:18, 158:22, 159:14, 160:12, 160:18, 160:22, 161:1, 161:2, 165:24, 166:15, 168:12, 170:10, 174:20, 176:17 JURY [1] - 1:17 jury's [1] - 176:22 justifiably [4] - 151:19, 151:20, 151:23, 162:16 justified [1] - 60:10

Κ

Kadcyla [1] - 129:25 Kaiser [1] - 28:17 Kaplan [11] - 30:18, 31:5, 31:19, 36:18, 37:4, 42:25, 43:11, 48:16, 58:9, 58:23, 172:14 Kaplan-Meier [11] - 30:18, 31:5, 31:19, 36:18, 37:4, 42:25, 43:11, 48:16, 58:9, 58:23, 172:14 keep [6] - 108:15, 112:16, 112:17, 129:6, 146:15, 146:23 keeps [1] - 22:19 kept [2] - 135:1, 147:12 key [2] - 49:16, 49:18 kill [2] - 74:11, 171:24 killer [2] - 85:21, 87:4 killing [1] - 109:16 kind [7] - 53:22, 54:23, 56:1, 99:3, 140:20, 147:11, 178:11 kinds [2] - 47:3, 114:3 knee [1] - 80:19 knee-jerk [1] - 80:19 knowing [2] - 22:20, 22:21 knowingly [9] - 155:4, 155:7, 155:9, 158:2, 159:22, 159:24, 173:4, 173:6, 173:8 knowledge [16] - 43:10, 43:15, 70:5, 72:2, 72:3, 72:5, 75:16, 75:19, 76:4, 84:13, 85:13, 117:25, 127:4, 132:6, 154:13, 158:15 known [8] - 51:9, 70:13, 70:18, 78:6, 78:8, 78:10, 114:8, 137:8 knows [4] - 75:22, 136:4, 137:16, 160:7 Kopcho [5] - 3:16, 95:14, 96:2, 136:5, 139:16 KRISTIN [1] - 2:14 Kristin [1] - 27:8 L lab [5] - 110:2, 110:8, 110:12, 110:13, 110:14 labeled [1] - 108:3 laboring [1] - 154:21 lack [4] - 60:9, 60:10, 61:7, 62:5 lacks [1] - 36:22 ladies [1] - 138:8

language [6] - 155:17,

155:23, 156:19, 157:3,

173:19, 175:14 large [4] - 13:20, 82:15, 102:22, 110:1 larger [3] - 82:24, 104:2, 104:11 last [39] - 8:7, 8:20, 15:12, 15:22, 26:1, 31:12, 31:22, 32:2, 32:5, 33:3, 34:6, 75:5, 80:1, 86:12, 95:24, 100:5, 100:9, 100:13, 103:15, 109:22, 129:24, 133:17, 135:6, 137:8, 137:14, 148:1, 148:5, 148:12, 148:25, 149:12, 150:16, 152:16, 162:13, 162:21, 166:4, 168:8, 168:22, 176:7 late [3] - 68:2, 134:20, 137:14 Latham [10] - 15:6, 15:7, 15:16, 15:21, 16:4, 27:9, 41:21, 63:4, 63:5, 63:24 LATHAM [1] - 2:14 launch [1] - 74:16 Lavin [2] - 57:18, 57:20 law [13] - 60:11, 61:6, 94:11, 139:1, 150:12, 157:23, 158:4, 158:5, 160:16, 163:12, 166:9, 171:8, 171:25 laws [3] - 91:13, 92:5, 93:25 lawsuit [1] - 84:4 lawyer [3] - 66:3, 80:14, 136:1 lawyers [9] - 14:25, 15:21, 16:17, 41:20, 42:1, 65:23, 65:25, 66:2 lay [1] - 51:17 lead [12] - 40:15, 71:12, 83:7, 98:23, 108:1, 117:16, 134:7, 141:16, 152:8, 166:5, 166:10 leadership [5] - 7:10, 7:15, 8:1, 8:23, 9:8 leads [2] - 151:24, 153:1 leaning [1] - 161:21 learn [3] - 110:18, 111:12, 132:18 learned [9] - 9:18, 110:22, 112:25, 113:17, 124:6, 124:19, 130:19, 131:5, 132:17 least [6] - 38:9, 46:20, 59:2, 102:17, 103:24, 132:23 leave [1] - 138:24 leaves [1] - 98:12 leaving [1] - 138:21 lectures [1] - 102:18 led [3] - 47:14, 99:3, 164:24 Leerink [1] - 32:21

left [7] - 17:12, 31:6, 52:6, 96:18, 96:19, 107:21, 137:4 left-hand [1] - 31:6 legal [2] - 118:21, 165:24 legally [1] - 157:19 length [1] - 175:16 less [2] - 130:11, 133:13 LESS [1] - 180:5 level [3] - 52:22, 78:3, 103:1 Levinson [1] - 140:13 Liang [1] - 32:21 librarian [1] - 56:7 library [1] - 56:9 lied [5] - 72:4, 72:6, 72:7, 92:18, 93:3 life [3] - 78:19, 78:21, 114:16 life-threatening [2] - 78:19, 114:16 light [2] - 81:2, 120:25 likely [2] - 108:20, 148:9 limine [6] - 97:21, 98:2, 98:11, 99:3, 99:5, 132:2 limit [1] - 177:2 limited [2] - 71:12, 172:15 limiting [5] - 22:7, 22:12, 22:14, 22:21, 79:9 line [8] - 13:16, 31:17, 33:25, 90:11, 90:14, 123:9, 155:2, 155:4 link [1] - 56:23 list [37] - 12:3, 13:23, 24:19, 24:22, 24:24, 25:2, 25:3, 25:4, 25:7, 25:8, 26:5, 26:6, 26:7, 26:11, 51:10, 102:3, 135:1, 135:13, 145.25 146.3 146.7 146:8, 146:13, 146:16, 146:17, 146:18, 146:19, 148:8, 149:22, 150:15, 161:4, 164:12 listed [2] - 38:4, 158:6 listen [5] - 4:22, 4:24, 17:21, 120:4, 148:7 listening [1] - 146:11 listing [2] - 87:4, 137:13 listings [1] - 41:2 lists [9] - 24:18, 40:20, 75:1, 136:4, 146:10, 146:12, 146:14, 147:18, 147:25 litany [2] - 158:7, 161:9 literally [1] - 21:12 live [9] - 28:24, 28:25, 56:23, 87:11, 95:25, 134:17, 136:13, 137:21, 164:1 LLP [2] - 2:5, 2:14 local [3] - 103:4, 110:2, 110:11 localized [1] - 125:3 locally [2] - 80:11, 90:7

lodge [1] - 26:20 logistics [1] - 175:24 long-term [3] - 116:1, 116:3. 116.10 longhand [2] - 157:16, 157.17look [35] - 21:10, 21:15, 21:25, 22:3, 31:15, 32:12, 33:6, 33:25, 37:13, 37:25, 50:19, 54:18, 56:12, 69:2, 72:13, 78:17, 82:5, 83:1, 88:10, 88:12, 88:20, 89:21, 90:13, 111:23, 112:1, 119:2, 124:2, 132:20, 146:17, 150:14, 152:7, 159:21, 161:23, 167:19 looked [9] - 6:22, 77:20, 85:18, 90:5, 90:6, 90:8, 92:3, 123:20, 159:20 looking [10] - 29:19, 85:20, 89:12, 99:4, 149:1, 150:15, 154:1, 162:8, 168:19, 170:20 looks [12] - 23:11, 33:7, 74:24. 81:20. 109:23. 109:24, 147:1, 147:4, 148:1, 148:2, 155:22, 158:25 loperamide [1] - 175:14 Los [2] - 70:15 lose [5] - 67:18, 151:22, 152:5, 162:23, 162:24 loss [2] - 117:11, 151:25 low [1] - 113:9 lower [4] - 90:3, 90:12, 117:2, 127:8 Lu [2] - 46:1, 49:8 lunch [3] - 145:12, 177:20, 177.21 lymph [2] - 127:14, 131:1 М

IV

macros [4] - 56:18, 56:19, 56:21, 56:22 magic [3] - 75:10, 75:13, 137.7 mail [19] - 29:22, 37:14, 37:17, 38:12, 38:24, 38:25, 40:8, 40:10, 40:11, 40:13, 40:17, 40:18, 40:23, 43:18, 43:20, 43:22, 43:25, 179:2, 179:4 mailed [1] - 176:3 mails [1] - 51:5 main [2] - 78:10, 106:13 maintain [1] - 110:4 maintaining [1] - 32:13 maintains [1] - 34:19 major [3] - 77:4, 101:12,

156:21 majority [2] - 13:19, 13:24 maker [1] - 75:13 makers [1] - 75:10 Malley [2] - 12:16, 12:17 manage [1] - 13:21 managed [1] - 132:22 management [10] - 68:7, 68:13, 70:4, 70:6, 70:9, 73:2, 73:10, 75:9, 75:13, 86:13 manager [3] - 71:20, 84:9, 84:15 managers [2] - 84:17, 84:21 mandated [2] - 102:9, 103:4 Manitoba [1] - 28:3 March [2] - 28:23, 70:16 Mark [1] - 156:22 marked [9] - 11:24, 72:12, 74:23, 79:21, 81:18, 88:10, 97:11, 101:3, 101:4 marker [1] - 83:2 market [38] - 68:24, 90:17, 90:19, 125:2, 125:7, 139:10, 139:15, 139:20, 139:21, 139:22, 141:5, 141:14, 141:17, 141:19, 141:21, 141:22, 141:25, 142:1, 142:7, 142:10, 142:14. 142:15. 142:20. 142:23. 142:25. 143:7. 144:3. 144:6. 144:22. 145:1, 151:21, 151:22, 151:25, 152:3, 162:5, 162:23, 163:7, 163:9 married [2] - 17:16, 17:20 massive[1] - 56:8 master's [1] - 28:4 matched [1] - 39:18 material [4] - 85:6, 85:10, 123:21, 173:21 materiality [6] - 140:24, 140:25, 145:1, 152:4, 152:12, 152:20 materially [1] - 93:7 materials [4] - 36:16, 100:24, 120:8, 123:17 math [3] - 28:2, 113:8, 127:22 mathematical [1] - 128:10 mathematics [1] - 28:1 MATTER [1] - 180:4 matter [7] - 5:17, 22:17, 60:4, 105:1, 139:1, 150:12, 150:23 matters [1] - 151:8 mean [31] - 25:4, 25:7, 25:8,

35:7, 48:1, 52:2, 69:17,

70:4, 72:16, 73:21, 74:14,

75:12, 76:9, 79:3, 80:12,

80:14, 82:14, 85:22, 89:25, 94:19, 99:3, 99:9, 106:11, 123:20, 130:12, 142:15, 146:21, 147:2, 147:8, 172:5, 177:21 meaning [1] - 75:22 means [10] - 21:24, 22:22, 30:17, 73:5, 103:24, 104:3, 105:17, 145:7, 146:20, 177:2 meant [4] - 39:2, 75:25, 152:16, 175:9 measure [1] - 143:9 mechanics [1] - 76:25 Medi [1] - 115:7 Medi-Cal [1] - 115:7 Medicaid [1] - 115:2 medical [18] - 10:18, 11:4, 67:20, 76:18, 76:22, 77:4, 77:6, 77:8, 77:17, 79:10, 79:17, 80:18, 100:22, 101:13, 101:18, 101:21, 101:22, 103:2 Medicare [1] - 115:5 medication [2] - 103:11, 113:16 medications [6] - 101:16, 101:22, 103:14, 103:16, 104:16, 131:8 medicine [4] - 100:22, 108:23, 112:10, 130:8 Medicine [1] - 101:14 meet [17] - 14:11, 15:20, 16:2, 49:2, 61:11, 66:25, 67:7, 79:16, 113:20, 164:11, 171:5, 171:18, 173:13, 173:16, 175:4, 175:6, 175:21 meet-and-confer [1] - 49:2 meeting [14] - 6:9, 12:11, 15:15, 76:18, 76:21, 76:22, 77:6, 77:17, 80:18, 80:24, 106:25, 107:1, 107:2, 111:16 meetings [1] - 67:20 Meier [11] - 30:18, 31:5, 31:19, 36:18, 37:4, 42:25, 43:11, 48:16, 58:9, 58:23, 172:14 Melissa [1] - 145:23 member [2] - 15:2, 15:4 members [3] - 12:17, 12:21, 38:6 memory [4] - 17:25, 19:15, 19.17 menopause [1] - 117:16 mention [1] - 54:14 mentioned [3] - 17:11, 44:25, 115:24 mere [1] - 160:18

merely [2] - 156:16, 158:22 mess [2] - 147:11, 154:9 met [5] - 15:11, 15:16, 15:24, 16:4.70:14 metastatic [4] - 106:23, 125:4, 125:16, 128:7 MICHELE [1] - 2:12 Michelle [1] - 66:14 microphone [1] - 27:19 mid [2] - 29:16, 37:12 mid-July [2] - 29:16, 37:12 middle [1] - 115:11 might [15] - 34:10, 60:23, 77:3, 98:24, 115:21, 117:21, 128:23, 130:14, 136:17, 137:1, 140:12, 145:7, 157:16, 159:23, 175:5 million [1] - 55:11 millions [1] - 108:16 mind [6] - 7:14, 22:24, 153:21, 156:18, 157:6, 168:20 mine [1] - 155:4 minimal [1] - 115:10 Minneapolis [1] - 28:25 Minnesota [3] - 28:9, 28:25, 29:2 minute [4] - 44:17, 96:17, 177:25, 178:3 minutes [28] - 12:11, 18:19, 18:22, 19:5, 19:7, 20:13, 20:14, 20:15, 20:18, 20:20, 25:19, 25:20, 45:16, 46:3, 65:2, 95:16, 95:17, 95:20, 96:14, 96:18, 133:13, 133:14, 138:13, 177:15, 178:4, 178:5, 179:1 MIRIAM [2] - 1:22, 180:10 Miriam [1] - 180:9 mischaracterizing [1] -119:4 miscommunication [1] -141.9misguided [2] - 72:1, 92:18 misleading [5] - 97:22, 97:25, 174:5, 175:11, 175:20 misled [2] - 72:8, 72:9 mispricing [1] - 141:19 misrepresentation [2] -168:24, 173:21 misrepresentations [5] -52:1, 162:16, 163:8, 168:11. 168:15 misrepresented [1] - 55:14 miss [1] - 146:3 missed [1] - 148:13 missing [1] - 174:9 misstatements [1] - 153:4

mistake [1] - 156:16 mistaken [2] - 55:17, 55:19 misunderstood [1] - 141:25 mix [2] - 13:18, 166:22 mixed [1] - 65:25 mixes [1] - 162:5 model [9] - 68:24, 69:13, 155:23, 156:2, 156:12, 158:3, 158:8, 158:20, 165:3 modeling [1] - 69:17 models [1] - 68:15 modification [1] - 168:3 modifier [1] - 156:17 modify [1] - 157:22 molecular [2] - 101:12, 101:15 molecule [1] - 109:4 molecules [2] - 109:9, 109:10 moment [17] - 8:7, 21:4, 24:14, 25:6, 27:1, 35:4, 36:6, 46:24, 62:16, 62:17, 97:14, 153:1, 154:25, 155:21, 156:7, 169:5, 175:1 moments [1] - 107:17 Monday [18] - 145:8, 148:7, 148:16, 150:20, 161:22, 163:4, 164:11, 164:14, 166:19, 170:7, 173:13, 175:22, 176:12, 176:14, 177:3, 178:13, 178:16, 179:17 money [11] - 10:10, 10:12, 10:13, 10:14, 10:16, 11:3, 13:5, 14:5, 14:7, 69:24, 115:15 moneymaker [1] - 73:20 moneys [1] - 13:7 monitor [1] - 103:2 month [4] - 31:21, 31:22, 32:2. 129:24 months [2] - 6:20, 32:4 moot [1] - 163:4 morning [11] - 4:10, 4:11, 14:23, 14:24, 27:8, 27:14, 41:15, 102:17, 137:7, 137:10, 179:2 mosaic [1] - 67:15 Moshayedi [1] - 160:4 most [6] - 38:2, 78:1, 102:15, 102:20, 155:19, 174:4 motion [18] - 12:20, 97:21, 98:2. 98:11. 99:3. 99:5. 132:1, 139:1, 140:19, 143:23. 144:24. 145:5. 149:25, 150:6, 150:11, 152:7, 169:4, 178:20 motions [3] - 48:18, 57:4,

145:3 mouse [1] - 11:16 move [12] - 12:3, 24:9, 40:1, 52:21, 54:22, 63:21, 95:2, 96:6, 131:4, 170:11, 171:6, 171.19 moved [6] - 26:19, 28:16, 56:17, 60:14, 70:14, 70:15 moves [1] - 167:16 moving [1] - 113:6 Moyes [1] - 12:17 MR [227] - 5:3, 5:14, 5:20, 6:2, 7:4, 7:16, 8:5, 8:10, 9:14, 10:6, 10:19, 12:6, 14:22, 16:15, 16:20, 18:10, 18:11, 18:14, 18:17, 19:2, 19:19, 20:8, 20:11, 20:18, 20:20, 20:23, 20:25, 21:2, 21:6, 21:9, 21:17, 21:20, 21:21, 21:22, 22:6, 22:14, 23:5, 23:7, 23:9, 23:15, 24:2, 24:12, 24:15, 24:21, 24:24, 25:1, 25:5, 25:11, 26:3, 26:7, 26:9, 26:12, 33:10, 33:21, 35:1, 35:14, 35:18, 36:22, 40:4, 41:14, 44:9, 44:24, 45:4, 45:6, 45:8, 45:14, 45:24, 46:5, 46:11, 47:1, 47:3, 47:5, 47:10, 47:15, 50:1, 51:23, 55:3, 55:10, 55:14, 55:23, 57:8, 57:11, 57:13, 57:15, 57:16, 57:19, 57:22, 58:5, 58:13, 58:17, 61:13, 62:11, 62:15, 62:18, 63:5, 63:9, 63:14, 63:18, 63:22, 64:7, 64:13, 64:17, 64:22, 65:8, 95:4, 95:13, 95:20, 95:23, 96:5, 96:8, 96:12, 96:16, 133:6, 133:8, 133:11, 133:15, 133:18, 133:19, 133:23, 134:1, 134:9, 134:13, 134:18, 134:22, 135:16, 135:21, 136:12, 136:23, 137:12, 138:6, 138:24, 139:8, 140:5, 140:9, 140:22, 141:4, 141:11, 141:15, 142:18, 142:22, 143:14, 143:17, 143:24, 144:3, 144:9, 144:11, 144:14, 144:17, 144:22, 145:10, 145:17, 145:18, 145:21, 148:21, 148:24, 149:7, 149:10, 149:15, 149:18, 150:2, 150:4, 150:17, 153:8, 154:18, 155:3, 155:7, 157:11, 157:17, 161:7, 161:13, 162:12, 162:22, 163:1, 163:3, 163:18,

163:21, 164:7, 165:5, 165:16, 165:20, 166:3, 166:12, 166:25, 167:25, 168:7, 168:19, 169:3, 169:7, 169:10, 169:18, 170:13, 171:4, 171:5, 171:10, 172:8, 173:1, 173:14, 174:1, 174:10, 174:15, 174:18, 174:23, 175:4, 175:8, 176:1, 176:4, 176:7, 176:19, 176:20, 176:25, 177:1, 177:7, 177:8, 177:11, 177:14, 177:18, 177:23, 178:9, 178:13, 178:17, 178:21, 178:24, 179:3, 179:5, 179:7, 179:11, 179:15 **MS** [157] - 4:9, 5:7, 5:11, 5:16, 5:23, 6:5, 6:12, 6:14, 7:7, 8:2, 8:22, 9:2, 9:17, 10:8, 11:5, 12:1, 12:10, 12:24, 12:25, 14:18, 16:11, 16:13, 16:18, 18:9, 18:23, 19:21, 19:23, 20:2, 24:6, 25:15, 25:22, 26:18, 26:23, 27:8, 27:13, 28:21, 30:9, 30:11, 30:22, 30:25, 33:14, 34:4, 34:12, 35:3, 35:9, 35:10, 36:4, 36:7, 36:10, 36:11, 36:25, 37:6, 37:25, 38:3, 38:10, 38:16, 38:23, 39:24, 40:5, 40:7, 40:10, 40:12, 41:11, 44:11, 47:17, 48:4, 48:21, 49:1, 50:6, 50:10, 50:13, 50:17, 51:15, 51:20, 52:4, 53:2, 53:5, 53:10, 54:11, 57:17, 59:12, 59:14, 60:2, 60:19, 60:25, 61:10, 62:12, 63:16, 66:13, 66:18, 94:25, 95:2, 95:6, 95:9, 96:23, 97:1, 97:5, 97:8, 97:11, 97:18, 98:5, 98:9, 98:14, 99:1, 99:7, 99:12, 99:16, 99:17, 99:22, 100:8, 100:17, 101:3, 101:8, 105:14, 105:16, 107:19, 108:18, 118:7, 118:11, 118:12, 119:4, 119:7, 119:8, 121:3, 125:23, 126:2, 131:12, 131:13, 131:18, 131:23, 132:1, 132:5, 132:8, 132:16, 133:1, 133:4, 139:7, 152:14, 152:19, 153:6, 153:14, 154:7, 154:23, 155:14, 155:16, 155:25, 156:6, 156:11, 159:5, 159:10, 159:19, 160:14, 161:1, 161:25, 167:2, 167:11, 167:14 multiple [2] - 13:10, 67:15

multiples [2] - 123:8 multiply [1] - 124:22 multiplying [1] - 122:2 MURPHY [38] - 2:14, 27:8, 27:13, 28:21, 30:9, 30:11, 30:22, 30:25, 33:14, 34:4, 34:12, 35:3, 35:9, 35:10, 36:4, 36:7, 36:10, 36:11, 36:25, 37:6, 37:25, 38:3, 38:10, 38:16, 38:23, 39:24, 40:5, 40:7, 40:10, 40:12, 41:11, 44:11, 66:13, 66:18, 94:25, 95:2, 95:6, 95:9 Murphy [2] - 27:9, 27:11 must [3] - 122:19, 157:6, 162:15 MVB11893@aol.com [1] -1:24 mysterious [1] - 56:11 Ν name [8] - 12:13, 12:15, 26:2, 27:3, 27:17, 100:13, 100:21 named [1] - 165:22 names [1] - 13:21 narrative [2] - 8:9, 9:15 national [3] - 102:22, 103:1, 110:5 nationwide [1] - 129:10 nature [2] - 77:25, 134:7 NDA [3] - 11:10, 11:19, 14:11 near [1] - 94:8 nearby [1] - 134:24 necessarily [1] - 146:2 necessary [5] - 157:13, 157:24, 159:2, 161:18, 162:18 need [34] - 21:12, 28:11, 48:11, 74:11, 74:15, 74:20, 79:10, 79:17, 83:15, 83:18, 107:3, 115:19, 127:19, 140:8, 146:2, 146:17, 147:22, 148:6, 150:14, 150:20, 151:1, 151:3, 151:5, 151:9, 151:19, 157:22, 158:24, 159:4, 164:23, 170:4, 170:5, 171:17, 172:21, 175:21 needed [6] - 34:23, 34:24, 35:6, 127:3, 131:19, 158:17 needs [1] - 149:22 negative [9] - 18:20, 53:24, 92:8, 126:17, 126:20, 126:22, 127:6, 127:14, 127:16 negligently [2] - 156:15, 157.9

neoadjuvant [2] - 114:9, 129.21 neratinib [67] - 11:10, 13:10, 13:15, 14:10, 31:8, 73:3, 77:14, 78:6, 79:16, 81:6, 83:7, 83:11, 85:24, 86:9, 86:15, 88:14, 88:23, 89:24, 91:10, 98:20, 107:16, 107:17, 108:20, 108:22, 108:25, 109:1, 109:3, 109:17, 110:19, 110:21, 111:1, 111:2, 111:4, 112:21, 114:4, 114:12, 115:21, 116:3, 116:6, 116:10, 116:13, 116:14, 117:5, 117:12, 117:17, 117:22, 118:1, 118:20, 121:16, 124:19, 125:7, 125:14, 126:4, 126:8, 127:14, 128:1, 128:13, 128:14, 129:1, 129:5, 129:11, 129:15, 129:18, 130:7, 132:7, 132:17, 132:18 never [5] - 57:16, 134:15, 135:25, 142:20, 147:4 new [19] - 11:12, 11:19, 45:22, 45:24, 46:6, 52:15, 55:13, 56:5, 56:24, 57:25, 62:6, 67:16, 101:16, 103:15, 112:16, 114:7, 158:4, 166:12 New [1] - 166:11 newly [1] - 54:25 next [26] - 20:5, 24:20, 25:14, 25:16, 26:16, 34:3, 44:13, 44:18, 45:1, 62:19, 65:7, 76:22, 77:3, 95:12, 97:2, 100:7, 105:14, 107:19, 131:4, 132:15, 151:12, 151:17, 153:17, 156:10, 160:3, 167:13 nice [2] - 138:17, 178:15 **night** [5] - 80:1, 135:6, 137:8, 137:14, 176:7 nine [2] - 6:20, 103:15 Ninth [11] - 155:19, 156:3, 157:14, 158:3, 158:11, 159:13, 160:16, 161:9, 161:18, 166:9 nobody [2] - 15:14, 139:11 node [4] - 127:6, 127:14, 127:16. 131:1 node-negative [2] - 127:6, 127:16 **non** [2] - 80:16, 115:16 non-centrally [1] - 80:16 none [7] - 24:11, 44:11, 123:11, 148:2, 148:4, 148:12, 166:25

nonexistent [1] - 60:23 nonpublic [4] - 84:25, 85:3, 85:6, 85:10 noon [3] - 138:15, 178:5 Norfolk [10] - 84:2, 84:6, 84:9, 84:18, 139:15, 139:21, 141:16, 142:2, 162:15, 163:6 Norfolk's [1] - 141:18 normal [2] - 65:17, 66:8 normally [1] - 110:7 North [1] - 28:4 nose [2] - 53:14, 59:22 notation [1] - 75:1 notations [1] - 148:10 note [1] - 26:19 noted [4] - 142:5, 161:8, 161:14, 164:20 notes [2] - 82:8, 159:11 nothing [7] - 19:20, 20:8, 23:15, 109:11, 130:8, 136:17, 137:25 notice [6] - 46:16, 53:23, 60:13, 62:6, 136:19, 136:24 noticed [1] - 140:15 notion [1] - 172:12 November [2] - 35:16, 35:19 nucleus [3] - 108:2, 108:8, 108:11 number [49] - 30:24, 31:3, 31:7, 45:6, 50:14, 50:17, 50:20, 53:17, 77:10, 80:8, 88:19, 89:19, 90:9, 97:21, 98:3, 113:5, 121:11, 124:16, 124:22, 124:24, 126:23, 127:2, 127:3, 129:13, 129:14, 129:15, 132:2, 132:10, 151:11, 152:11, 152:15, 152:17, 153:23, 153:24, 154:12, 154:14, 158:14, 158:16, 162:6, 165:2, 166:2, 166:22, 166:23, 168:4, 170:24, 172:15, 174:18, 174.22 numbers [18] - 30:23, 31:1, 31:6, 31:14, 31:22, 33:4, 39:17, 47:25, 50:19, 54:24, 112:24, 113:1, 121:8, 124:21, 124:23, 139:12, 149:2, 170:1 numerous [1] - 21:4 nuts [1] - 67:9 Ο o'clock [1] - 150:20 oar [1] - 154:22

oath [3] - 18:4, 18:5, 41:16

object [10] - 5:3, 10:19, 24:25, 51:24, 97:1, 119:3, 132:1, 133:19, 136:22, 165:25 objected [1] - 47:9 objecting [2] - 10:23, 33:25 objection [41] - 5:14, 5:20, 6:2, 6:13, 7:4, 7:16, 8:5, 10:6, 11:1, 12:5, 16:11, 16:18, 18:23, 21:18, 22:3, 23:7, 23:24, 24:10, 24:15, 24:23, 33:10, 34:2, 35:1, 35:18, 35:22, 36:22, 37:1, 40:2, 40:4, 49:3, 51:12, 51:17, 96:7, 98:8, 119:3, 125:23, 131:18, 132:14, 134:7, 138:4 objections [19] - 7:17, 10:24, 10:25, 12:2, 21:2, 21:10, 23:10, 23:12, 39:25, 46:16, 47:14, 53:19, 95:3, 135:14, 137:16, 164:5, 164:7, 164:19 objects [1] - 67:7 observations [2] - 140:11, 159:1 observe [1] - 144:20 observed [1] - 111:6 obviously [14] - 67:13, 82:19, 107:22, 107:24, 108:23, 112:3, 130:15, 143:21, 145:12, 154:20, 166:8, 170:3, 170:23, 173:23 occasions [1] - 104:21 October [4] - 14:5, 33:3, 53:23, 54:1 odds [1] - 142:12 **OF** [7] - 1:2, 1:16, 2:3, 2:11, 180:3, 180:7 offer [2] - 59:9, 94:18 offered [6] - 22:6, 22:8, 22:16, 23:1, 23:2, 46:13 offering [11] - 11:6, 11:8, 11:22, 13:3, 13:6, 13:14, 13:17, 14:8, 14:10, 22:22, 22:23 offerings [2] - 13:25, 14:3 **OFFICIAL** [2] - 1:22, 180:10 official [1] - 18:21 often [6] - 22:21, 33:24, 55:9, 71:1, 102:18, 160:8 old [2] - 10:14, 62:21 old-fashioned [1] - 62:21 omission [2] - 168:24, 173:21 omissions [7] - 151:14, 151:15, 162:17, 163:8, 168:11, 168:14, 170:23 omissions-based [2] -

151:14, 151:15 Omnicare [2] - 152:21, 152:22 once [5] - 40:25, 52:10, 102:18. 177:19 oncologist [2] - 100:23, 101.21 oncologists [1] - 101:22 oncology [2] - 77:22, 102:17 one [84] - 7:8, 9:12, 19:21, 21:10, 23:13, 30:12, 32:7, 32:8, 47:19, 47:23, 49:8, 55:20, 62:16, 62:17, 62:20, 66:3, 66:8, 69:20, 71:14, 74:10, 76:14, 80:8, 81:15, 94:4, 94:6, 94:22, 95:25, 96:23, 97:1, 97:14, 102:17, 107:7, 108:13, 109:23, 109:24, 110:21, 111:16, 116:20, 121:23, 124:2, 125:4, 132:12, 133:20, 133:25, 135:23, 142:3, 142:4, 142:23, 143:15, 145:1, 146:6, 146:8, 146:15, 146:17, 148:6, 148:21, 149:5, 150:2, 151:12, 152:14, 155:21, 160:2, 162:13, 163:1, 163:23, 165:8, 166:7, 167:13, 168:12, 170:1, 171:6, 171:12, 171:13, 172:22, 172:23, 173:1, 173:4, 173:8, 174:3, 174:18, 174:22, 177:8, 178:4, 178:18 ones [3] - 23:16, 130:23, 145.2ongoing [2] - 108:14, 175:17 open [3] - 113:19, 135:17, 173:7 **Open** [5] - 4:4, 44:21, 65:1, 100:4, 138:20 opened [4] - 28:19, 103:10, 103:13, 110:20 opening [5] - 98:12, 102:11, 102:21, 111:8, 167:20 openly [1] - 78:12 opens [1] - 60:15 operating [3] - 54:20, 56:25, 143.7 opinion [5] - 85:25, 152:21, 152:23, 153:2, 153:12 opinions [7] - 60:6, 119:10, 119:13, 119:20, 128:18, 132:6 opportunities [1] - 75:15 opportunity [7] - 79:12, 82:10, 82:13, 91:5, 91:7, 111:1, 141:25 opposed [4] - 65:10, 157:5,

167:8, 174:25 opposition [2] - 48:17, 178:20 option [1] - 19:25 options [6] - 16:25, 17:1, 17:2, 17:4, 17:6, 107:14 oral [1] - 136:21 order [19] - 21:9, 35:23, 35:25, 36:13, 83:16, 97:20, 98:2, 136:20, 136:21, 144:5, 144:8, 144:12, 170:2, 170:6, 170:9, 172:13, 173:18, 175:12 ordinary [1] - 49:9 organization [2] - 37:22, 77:6 original [3] - 12:3, 145:25, 149:22 originally [5] - 56:16, 64:11, 65:23, 65:24, 167:7 ostentatious [1] - 74:5 otherwise [1] - 176:3 outcome [1] - 60:1 outside [4] - 52:14, 109:6, 117:1, 133:21 overall [1] - 128:24 overrule [2] - 10:24, 158:24 overruled [13] - 5:6, 7:22, 8:8, 11:1, 18:24, 21:18, 34:2, 35:15, 35:22, 36:8, 37:2, 158:23 overshot [1] - 128:7 overspend [1] - 73:25 overvalued [2] - 69:13, 69:15 own [8] - 30:17, 39:4, 57:23, 61:16, 72:18, 103:12, 141:20, 147:14 Ρ p.m [5] - 96:22, 145:19, 179.19 package [5] - 146:4, 148:19, 166:19, 169:16, 169:22 page [26] - 22:3, 30:6, 32:20, 74:10, 77:10, 81:3, 85:17, 88:12, 89:22, 112:22,

147:7, 147:11, 148:1,

148:5, 148:12, 148:25,

149:12, 154:1, 155:2,

156:8, 167:21, 170:23,

174:8, 177:25, 178:3

pages [13] - 46:22, 46:25,

47:7, 51:23, 51:25, 101:4,

146:24, 146:25, 151:13,

151:17, 152:12, 153:21,

paid [2] - 42:1, 105:3

pain [1] - 117:12

PAGE [1] - 3:2

166.20

paper [2] - 99:25, 146:15 papers [2] - 139:6, 161:8 parade [1] - 117:11 paragraph [9] - 12:19, 153:23, 154:13, 154:14, 154:20, 156:13, 158:15, 158:16, 178:1 paragraphs [1] - 21:13 parallels [1] - 152:25 pare [1] - 146:9 part [16] - 49:2, 74:24, 81:3, 89:9, 91:7, 91:9, 102:5, 102:22, 115:16, 123:22, 124:17, 163:16, 174:3, 174:12, 174:25, 177:15 participate [3] - 13:24, 105:8, 110:23 participated [1] - 13:17 particular [12] - 11:15, 31:25, 57:17, 68:25, 76:2, 76:6, 76:21, 78:25, 79:8, 83:2, 101:23 particularly [8] - 19:18, 59:18, 99:14, 106:8, 112:1, 115:5, 152:3, 156:25 particulars [1] - 73:17 parties [13] - 20:13, 25:18, 26:20, 62:24, 65:12, 65:18, 136:20, 136:23, 144:11, 147:6, 149:10, 170:15, 171:17 parts [3] - 31:20, 174:2, 174:3 party [1] - 60:13 past [4] - 6:23, 16:5, 134:13, 136:19 patent [1] - 59:18 pathology [1] - 110:6 patience [1] - 138:17 patient [23] - 33:3, 78:3, 78:22, 79:9, 87:11, 103:5, 105:24, 106:6, 108:14, 108:19, 108:23, 109:3, 109:18, 112:1, 112:2, 112:4, 112:15, 114:13, 114:15, 116:20, 117:8, 126.8 patients [106] - 33:5, 50:17, 50:18. 50:20. 50:22. 50:24. 50:25. 52:18. 80:10. 83:1. 88:14, 97:23, 97:24, 98:6, 98:19, 101:24, 102:4, 102:21, 102:25, 103:6, 103:22, 103:25, 104:6, 104:8, 104:11, 104:13, 104:16, 106:16, 106:21, 106:24, 107:3, 107:6, 107:9, 107:12, 110:2, 110:4, 110:25, 112:2, 112:8, 112:11, 112:14,

112:20, 113:10, 113:11, 113:14, 114:3, 114:9, 114:11, 114:19, 114:22, 115:2, 115:3, 115:4, 115:5, 115:7, 115:9, 115:19, 115:20, 116:6, 116:8, 116:11, 116:12, 116:13, 117:6, 117:20, 118:5, 120:14, 120:20, 121:18, 121:19, 122:10, 122:16, 122:22, 125:3, 125:12, 125:17, 126:17, 126:21, 126:23, 127:2, 127:3, 127:6, 127:14, 127:18, 127:20, 127:21, 127:23, 127:25, 128:6, 128:14, 128:18, 128:19, 128:22, 128:24, 129:2, 129:4, 129:7. 129:17. 130:1. 130:13, 130:14, 130:15, 130:23 PATRICK [1] - 2:4 pattern [1] - 156:20 Pause [1] - 44:14 pay [3] - 16:21, 115:13, 115:16 payment [1] - 115:17 peak [1] - 107:10 Pension [1] - 162:15 pension [1] - 13:20 people [17] - 9:12, 13:12, 19:18, 22:20, 33:24, 36:15, 55:9, 68:10, 73:19, 80:13, 80:25, 82:23, 95:24, 142:9, 142:10, 142:14, 142:21 per [4] - 124:8, 124:22, 177:25, 178:3 percent [36] - 38:5, 61:22, 64:13, 64:14, 66:4, 66:5, 73:3, 79:25, 82:9, 86:14, 88:14, 88:15, 89:10, 89:13, 89:14, 90:1, 90:10, 91:4, 112:7, 113:4, 113:12, 114:23, 120:13, 121:5, 122:15, 124:11, 126:14, 126:19, 126:21, 127:17, 128:6, 128:7, 130:17, 131:3, 131:25 percentage [6] - 63:1, 63:2, 64:8, 64:11, 64:12, 124:23 percentages [3] - 98:18, 122:3, 123:10 percipient [7] - 45:19, 46:12, 48:3, 54:16, 54:21, 59:20, 59:21 perfectly [2] - 10:22, 63:8 perhaps [4] - 143:25, 147:10, 164:13, 164:14 period [22] - 35:2, 36:23, 36:24, 43:12, 52:24, 52:25,

71:2, 83:16, 84:3, 98:14, 98:15, 98:21, 98:25, 99:6, 114:6, 128:15, 132:10, 143:2, 143:4, 143:10, 143:11, 144:7 periods [1] - 35:7 perjeta [1] - 114:8 Permanente [1] - 28:17 person [8] - 7:19, 49:6, 62:21, 63:23, 65:13, 74:2, 74:5, 118:15 personally [1] - 93:19 pertuzumab [3] - 114:8, 129:21, 129:22 pharma [1] - 66:24 pharma's [1] - 72:15 pharmaceutical [1] - 72:19 pharmacology [1] - 101:16 phase [2] - 113:19, 144:24 phrased [1] - 16:19 physical [1] - 31:12 physician [2] - 103:4, 109:18 PI [1] - 104:2 pick [3] - 130:14, 159:3, 170:4 picked [1] - 140:21 picking [1] - 132:12 picture [2] - 64:18, 65:19 piece [1] - 99:25 pieces [1] - 132:11 pills [1] - 104:16 Pina [1] - 40:13 place [11] - 7:10, 7:15, 7:19, 8:1, 8:24, 9:9, 18:2, 19:9, 52:19, 81:16, 82:1 placebo [3] - 31:9, 88:14, 89.24 places [1] - 19:18 plaintiff [41] - 4:18, 47:21, 48:22, 48:25, 49:11, 54:10, 60:13, 62:10, 96:19, 132:11, 133:10, 137:11, 138:5, 140:6, 140:17, 141:16, 142:25, 151:10, 151:21, 156:14, 161:6, 162:10, 163:13, 163:15, 163:22, 163:23, 163:24, 164:1, 165:8, 165:22, 166:2, 166:5, 166:10, 166:17, 166:24, 168:5, 168:8, 170:24, 170:25, 177:13 Plaintiff [1] - 1:6 **PLAINTIFF** [1] - 2:3 plaintiffs [37] - 20:21, 24:8, 25:18. 25:20. 48:5. 48:17. 49:3. 51:11. 52:8. 52:20. 55:15, 55:18, 58:25, 61:1, 64:13, 65:25, 66:6, 95:17, 95:19, 95:20, 97:1, 104:22,

104:25, 136:25, 152:5, 152:6, 154:21, 155:22, 157:1, 162:15, 162:19, 162:22, 166:25, 168:20, 169:18, 171:2, 172:2 plaintiffs' [27] - 9:3, 15:14, 26:5. 26:10. 48:8. 97:21. 134:2. 134:21. 145:5. 150:11, 151:25, 152:10, 152:15, 152:16, 153:16, 153:17, 153:22, 154:12, 158:14, 162:5, 162:6, 166:22, 168:3, 171:16, 173:3, 175:10 planning [1] - 136:4 platform [1] - 103:9 play [13] - 20:12, 20:23, 23:17, 24:1, 24:3, 66:10, 66:14, 133:15, 134:16, 136:8, 136:10, 137:18, 142:11 playbacks [1] - 66:8 played [7] - 3:13, 3:13, 3:16, 24:5, 25:25, 96:2, 136:7 playing [2] - 23:6, 137:24 Playing [1] - 26:14 plays [1] - 26:1 pleadings [2] - 57:6, 145:5 pleased [2] - 53:21, 100:9 plenty [1] - 53:22 plural [2] - 151:9, 154:20 pluralization [1] - 158:17 **plus** [4] - 46:16, 172:16, 178:4 pluses [1] - 107:23 point [33] - 22:11, 30:19, 31:11, 33:9, 51:15, 55:13, 59:14, 65:22, 67:14, 71:25, 72:13, 73:18, 74:25, 75:9, 78:9, 83:5, 85:5, 89:13, 113:23, 121:12, 122:12, 123:25, 125:4, 128:5, 135:24, 152:14, 154:19, 156:10, 160:11, 162:18, 163:4, 171:21, 178:24 points [8] - 55:13, 67:15, 82:24, 121:12, 121:22, 131:11, 157:11, 168:12 poke [1] - 53:25 poor [2] - 160:12 population [10] - 61:22, 112:11, 115:4, 125:16, 126:20, 127:1, 127:9, 127:16, 127:17, 131:1 populations [1] - 102:9 portfolio [5] - 67:3, 67:4, 67:6, 84:17, 84:21 portion [3] - 8:20, 153:12, 156:11 portions [1] - 137:17

posed [1] - 58:1 position [2] - 119:10, 138:1 positive [6] - 29:18, 74:7, 111:4, 127:1, 130:22, 131.1 possession [1] - 160:19 possible [6] - 36:18, 37:3, 58:19, 162:2, 164:16, 164:22 possibly [3] - 43:7, 137:1, 137:3 post [3] - 35:2, 99:6, 101:18 post-class [1] - 35:2 post-graduate [1] - 101:18 potential [4] - 85:24, 113:18, 125:9, 125:11 potentially [5] - 71:11, 71:12, 78:2, 126:24, 127:19 powered [1] - 113:24 powers [1] - 154:10 practice [5] - 40:24, 71:1, 110:15, 114:3, 115:18 practiced [1] - 16:16 practicing [1] - 109:18 pre [1] - 153:4 pre-advance [1] - 153:4 precise [3] - 71:7, 73:6, 83.20 preclinical [1] - 11:13 precluded [1] - 132:2 prefer [5] - 63:7, 64:3, 64:19, 177:18 prejudice [1] - 98:1 prejudiced [2] - 61:12, 61:18 prejudicial [1] - 62:8 preliminaries [1] - 169:21 preliminary [3] - 151:2, 172:12, 173:12 preparation [2] - 6:19, 120:7 prepare [9] - 15:12, 15:24, 16:2, 16:6, 45:25, 46:7, 46:12, 53:22, 177:4 prepared [10] - 10:2, 41:23, 45:18, 46:6, 46:14, 54:1, 100:24, 119:19, 139:8, 145:8 preparing [2] - 46:8, 123:21 prescribed [3] - 129:1, 129:5, 132:23 prescription [1] - 114:23 prescriptions [3] - 115:8, 129:10, 130:7 presence [1] - 133:22 present [14] - 4:4, 44:21, 60:5, 65:1, 76:18, 77:8, 97:13, 97:20, 97:23, 100:4, 109:2, 138:20, 140:14, 164:16 present-day [2] - 97:13, 97:20

presentation [2] - 81:2, 111.16 presentations [1] - 111:16 presented [7] - 10:2, 10:3, 77:17, 80:18, 129:20, 131:24, 174:24 presenting [1] - 77:7 presently [1] - 7:18 preserved [1] - 55:6 **PRESIDING** [1] - 1:3 press [5] - 11:18, 73:16, 76:15, 76:17, 80:22 pressure [1] - 71:9 presume [1] - 94:19 presumption [10] - 139:2, 142:22, 142:24, 162:14, 163:5, 163:12, 163:13, 163:16, 163:22, 164:2 presumptions [1] - 163:24 presuppose [1] - 168:22 presupposing [1] - 169:1 pretrial [9] - 144:4, 144:8, 144:12, 144:24, 145:2, 145:5, 145:6, 173:18, 175:12 pretty [6] - 53:15, 122:21, 123:14, 162:4, 169:23, 179:8 preventative [1] - 111:9 prevented [1] - 112:20 preview [1] - 143:20 previous [10] - 47:9, 47:12, 53:4, 53:16, 54:23, 89:20, 104:20, 104:24, 107:7, 128:21 previously [11] - 3:3, 4:7, 41:15, 85:15, 88:10, 89:18, 116:10, 152:22, 162:19, 167:22, 169:20 price [21] - 69:9, 69:15, 81:9, 86:7, 87:22, 88:4, 90:17, 90:20, 90:21, 90:22, 91:8, 139:16, 139:17, 139:22, 141:17, 141:21, 142:7, 143:8, 163:7, 163:9, 168:9 prices [1] - 88:1 primarily [2] - 70:12, 128:6 primary [4] - 30:19, 31:11, 77:13. 113:23 principal [1] - 104:2 principle [1] - 171:25 print [2] - 55:24, 56:14 printed [1] - 155:3 probability [2] - 30:20, 68:19 problem [3] - 57:3, 57:5, 169.4problematic [2] - 168:15, 174.4 problems [1] - 115:18 procedure [1] - 65:17

procedures [1] - 39:4 proceed [2] - 21:7, 27:7 proceeding [1] - 65:6 proceedings [1] - 44:14 Proceedings [1] - 179:19 **PROCEEDINGS** [2] - 1:16, 180.3 proceeds [1] - 13:13 process [8] - 39:14, 39:21, 49:2, 54:25, 64:19, 64:21, 67:8, 108:15 processes [1] - 47:24 produce [3] - 48:2, 53:16, 56:22 produced [7] - 48:13, 48:22, 48:24, 49:1, 51:1, 56:4, 60:1 produces [2] - 53:7, 54:4 producing [3] - 52:1, 52:24, 53:13 product [2] - 71:14, 74:16 production [1] - 47:14 Professor [3] - 143:3, 143:13, 143:18 professor [2] - 100:22, 101:20 profile [1] - 79:5 program [51] - 39:15, 46:20, 46:22, 46:24, 47:1, 47:24, 48:4, 48:10, 48:12, 49:18, 49:22, 50:2, 50:5, 50:8, 51:19, 51:21, 51:25, 52:11, 52:13, 52:15, 52:19, 52:23, 53:3, 53:4, 53:6, 53:13, 53:16, 54:2, 54:25, 55:24, 56:3, 56:10, 57:9, 57:10, 57:11, 57:12, 57:16, 57:17, 57:21, 57:23, 57:25, 58:6, 58:8, 58:10, 58:22, 59:22, 61:3, 61:17, 62:3, 62:7, 95:16 programmed [1] - 32:9 programmers [1] - 49:9 programming [5] - 30:2, 30:13, 46:1, 46:7, 52:9 programs [3] - 49:11, 51:6 progress [2] - 145:15, 170:16 progressing [1] - 87:12 projects [1] - 49:7 prominently [1] - 165:7 promoted [1] - 101:20 proper [1] - 169:2 prophylactic [2] - 77:20, 121:25 prophylaxis [2] - 38:19, 38:21 proposal [4] - 164:20, 166:21, 166:24, 168:2 propose [2] - 156:12, 176:6

proposed [7] - 156:13, 159:22, 160:2, 162:11, 164:6, 167:7, 170:6 protein [5] - 105:19, 107:14, 108:20, 109:8, 109:24 proteins [1] - 109:6 protocol [2] - 111:8 provable [1] - 53:18 prove [11] - 22:22, 22:23, 23:1, 23:2, 52:3, 52:23, 53:1, 142:9, 142:19, 142:20, 162:15 proven [2] - 73:20, 151:22 proves [4] - 52:4, 58:14, 58:16, 58:17 provide [18] - 36:13, 45:9, 52:8, 56:8, 60:4, 80:1, 80:9, 85:6, 85:10, 85:14, 115:15, 119:9, 119:13, 135:14, 135:21, 141:13, 160:24. 172:15 provided [23] - 26:16, 36:17, 39:18, 47:21, 48:5, 49:3, 49:15, 50:6, 52:15, 52:20, 54:5, 55:15, 55:17, 55:18, 55:20, 85:2, 86:9, 87:1, 88:18, 93:12, 139:13, 141:15. 165:13 provides [2] - 60:8, 60:11 providing [2] - 103:5, 115:13 Public [1] - 28:6 public [3] - 9:11, 85:15, 87:3 publication [1] - 11:4 publicly [5] - 11:9, 86:20, 86:23, 87:2, 87:18 pulled [2] - 136:5, 138:1 **PUMA**^[2] - 1:10, 2:12 Puma [86] - 4:19, 5:17, 7:9, 7:15, 8:1, 8:23, 9:8, 11:8, 11:11, 11:18, 13:1, 13:5, 13:25, 14:3, 14:5, 14:7, 14:9, 14:13, 14:17, 15:5, 16:22, 16:25, 17:13, 18:22, 19:25, 27:9, 27:18, 27:23, 28:7, 28:19, 28:22, 29:1, 29:3, 33:9, 33:18, 33:19, 34:7, 34:8, 34:19, 36:19, 39:8, 40:20, 41:16, 42:4, 52:19, 71:2, 71:4, 71:5, 71:6, 71:22, 71:25, 72:1, 72:17, 72:21, 72:24, 74:3, 74:6, 79:11, 81:15, 82:6, 82:12, 83:18, 83:23, 84:22, 84:25, 85:5, 85:9, 85:17, 85:21, 87:4, 87:16, 90:16, 91:3, 91:12, 91:19, 92:18, 93:12, 93:19, 93:22, 105:2, 119:16, 119:18, 119:20, 144:3, 144:7 Puma's [15] - 4:12, 11:6,

11:21, 12:12, 32:16, 41:20, 70:9, 72:4, 73:1, 73:10, 79:25, 81:9, 86:9, 86:12, 87.1 purchase [2] - 69:10, 91:11 purchased [3] - 14:14. 140:6. 141:16 purchases [1] - 139:17 purple [5] - 21:18, 21:20, 21:22, 23:14, 23:15 purport [1] - 164:14 purposes [2] - 55:1, 167:22 **push** [3] - 13:9, 57:19, 57:21 pushed [1] - 49:21 pushing [1] - 50:2 put [26] - 56:13, 56:14, 64:18, 65:19, 78:17, 97:6, 97:8, 101:6, 102:5, 132:10, 134:16, 146:4, 146:24, 150:24, 151:1, 151:22, 154:16, 162:4, 166:21, 169:22, 170:1, 170:5, 170:6, 176:11, 176:15, 178:7 putting [10] - 7:1, 7:13, 23:23, 42:15, 47:13, 99:17, 99:24, 119:5, 132:2, 154:22

Q

QOLCSR [1] - 40:18 qualifies [1] - 68:1 quality [1] - 78:21 quarter [1] - 122:21 questioning [1] - 33:25 questions [20] - 9:4, 14:18, 16:16, 33:23, 41:11, 44:9, 62:22, 63:6, 63:13, 63:23, 65:15, 65:16, 65:21, 65:23, 65:24, 66:3, 66:4, 66:5, 164:12, 176:13 quick [9] - 37:16, 37:25, 72:13, 96:14, 138:22, 138:25, 140:11, 143:22, 170:21 quickly [7] - 54:22, 102:12, 108:12, 143:7, 153:3, 170:20 quite [7] - 59:16, 60:1, 83:2, 83:3, 90:23, 131:2, 132:3 quote [4] - 19:4, 19:6, 35:5 quotes [2] - 19:5, 19:6

R

raise [11] - 10:9, 10:12, 10:13, 10:14, 10:16, 11:3, 65:22, 74:11, 74:16, 148:16, 170:7

raised [10] - 13:5, 13:8, 14:5, 14:7, 14:10, 47:13, 48:9, 49:3, 51:11, 164:13 ran [2] - 52:15, 61:3 random [1] - 148:2 randomized [1] - 34:16 range [2] - 113:8, 128:8 ranges [1] - 90:8 rapid [1] - 109:16 rare [2] - 108:24, 113:2 rate [17] - 38:4, 38:6, 38:14, 77:23, 78:6, 79:6, 89:24, 90:7, 122:13, 123:2, 132:17, 172:23, 174:8, 174:21, 175:2, 175:7, 175:8 rates [12] - 9:19, 38:12, 38:21, 83:2, 87:6, 88:13, 88:23, 172:16, 172:23, 173:24, 174:2 rather [2] - 58:15, 156:1 raw [2] - 47:23, 48:10 re [1] - 158:15 reach [3] - 109:10, 127:8, 127:15 reached [1] - 94:13 reaction [3] - 10:5, 29:17, 80:19 reactions [1] - 143:22 read [24] - 3:15, 4:24, 5:7, 6:18, 8:4, 8:12, 8:17, 9:1, 21:4, 21:5, 62:23, 62:25, 66:16, 120:23, 123:13, 123:20, 139:3, 147:5, 151:2, 151:4, 156:7, 176:16, 178:23, 178:25 reading [4] - 63:4, 138:12, 156:9, 158:11 Reading [1] - 94:24 reads [3] - 38:1, 156:14, 168:8 ready [8] - 10:1, 23:18, 24:1, 44:18, 133:3, 145:20, 178:11 Real [4] - 97:12, 97:18, 124:3, 124:5 real [9] - 98:6, 98:14, 98:24, 99:17, 107:24, 112:23, 113:1, 123:2, 124:10 real-world [3] - 112:23, 113:1, 123:2 reality [1] - 80:14 realize [1] - 46:5 reallocate [1] - 71:13 really [21] - 28:15, 31:3, 46:15, 47:11, 54:14, 60:21, 78:20, 99:9, 104:3, 112:3, 115:3. 115:9. 115:13. 115:18, 123:22, 166:22, 169:4, 170:15, 174:6,

177:2, 177:12 rearrange [2] - 62:15, 170:2 reason [8] - 22:8, 94:1, 94:14, 94:17, 130:21, 146:8, 146:9, 158:24 reasonable [1] - 81:2 reasonably [1] - 69:21 reasons [6] - 59:10, 80:2, 80:5, 81:15, 89:6, 170:1 rebut [10] - 98:20, 141:6, 142:24, 144:25, 162:14, 163:5, 163:7, 163:12, 163:13, 163:14 rebuttal [9] - 20:6, 60:16, 133:9, 136:5, 137:1, 137:19, 138:7, 163:3, 164:2 rebutted [3] - 139:10, 163:22, 163:24 rebutting [1] - 139:2 receive [2] - 17:5, 29:14 received [19] - 3:12, 3:14, 3:15, 3:17, 12:9, 17:3, 29:16, 34:14, 37:10, 37:12, 37:21, 38:11, 40:3, 41:6, 43:18, 95:11, 96:10, 101:13, 178:20 receiving [3] - 88:9, 88:12, 104:13 recent [1] - 155:20 recently [1] - 106:17 receptor [4] - 107:23, 108:7, 108:10. 126:17 receptors [1] - 107:25 Recess [3] - 44:20, 96:22, 145:19 recharacterization [1] -167:21 reckless [2] - 157:18, 158:2 recklessness [7] - 155:17, 155:18, 156:1, 156:2, 157:15, 157:20, 157:24 recognize [2] - 30:1, 37:17 recognizing [1] - 163:3 recollection [6] - 19:5, 19:6, 73:14, 74:9, 78:3, 79:6 recommend [2] - 83:22, 114:4 recommendation [6] - 68:4, 69:10, 75:2, 89:8, 90:19, 91:16 recommendations [4] -87:22, 87:25, 88:4, 88:5 recommended [2] - 83:23, 91:11 recommending [2] - 90:15, 90:16 record [25] - 3:15, 18:10, 18:15, 24:6, 26:19, 27:4, 27:22, 28:11, 44:22, 55:4,

55:7, 61:25, 62:1, 63:23, 66:17, 70:22, 81:25, 100:12, 120:17, 146:19, 149:25, 154:2, 163:11, 179:5, 179:6 **RECORDED** [1] - 180:3 recreate [4] - 53:16, 59:5, 61:14 rectified [1] - 41:1 **recur** [2] - 112:14, 112:15 **recurrence** [6] - 31:11, 106:8, 106:16, 113:15, 120:21, 127:2 recurrences [1] - 106:20 recurring [3] - 112:7, 112:20, 112:21 red [6] - 21:17, 21:25, 23:16, 31:16, 108:11 redefine [1] - 158:1 redid [1] - 152:2 **REDIRECT** [2] - 3:5, 19:22 redirect [1] - 44:10 redoes [1] - 150:24 reduce [3] - 38:21, 116:18, 127:3 reduced [1] - 106:15 reducing [2] - 77:22, 106:20 **REDUCTION** [1] - 180:6 reduction [6] - 111:25, 112:7, 112:12, 112:13, 121:6, 126:22 redundant [2] - 167:18, 168:12 refer [2] - 120:10, 155:17 reference [7] - 6:10, 35:8, 110:8, 110:10, 110:13, 110:14, 150:23 referring [3] - 86:2, 148:24, 148:25 refers [5] - 36:23, 89:5, 97:12, 98:14, 136:8 refine [1] - 127:20 refined [1] - 127:1 reflect [3] - 13:1, 75:2, 132:12 reflected [1] - 67:6 reflects [1] - 54:2 refuse [1] - 116:20 regard [7] - 128:11, 140:23, 140:24, 141:21, 152:10, 152:25, 159:15 regarding [15] - 4:19, 7:2, 11:9, 33:23, 38:12, 38:18, 41:16, 78:4, 78:5, 83:6, 83:7, 91:22, 92:25, 93:13, 155.17 regularly [1] - 49:10 **REGULATIONS**[1] - 180:7 regulatory [2] - 29:20, 83:19 rehearsed [1] - 16:9

rejected [3] - 159:12, 161:15, 161.16 relapse [1] - 107:9 related [1] - 23:9 relates [2] - 55:21, 98:7 relationship [2] - 84:8, 84:14 relative [2] - 112:12, 112:13 release [10] - 10:16, 10:17, 11:3, 11:18, 73:16, 76:12, 76:16, 76:17, 80:22, 86:8 released [4] - 11:11, 79:18, 86:3, 90:2 releasing [1] - 79:12 relevance [4] - 7:18, 35:1, 59:12, 147:9 relevancy [1] - 58:3 relevant [14] - 35:7, 52:2, 52:24, 52:25, 53:1, 54:3, 54:4, 58:4, 59:10, 87:13, 87:16, 91:18, 91:25, 159:25 reliable [1] - 55:1 reliance [10] - 139:2, 139:20, 140:23, 141:16, 162:3, 162:4, 162:11, 162:14, 162:20, 162:23 relied [6] - 139:17, 139:21, 151:19, 151:20, 162:16, 165:22 rely [2] - 139:15, 163:6 relying [3] - 153:10, 162:19, 162:22 remain [2] - 74:12, 164:12 remained [1] - 74:16 remaining [4] - 23:13, 95:25, 164:21, 170:8 remember [31] - 17:25, 19:8, 70:14, 70:17, 71:7, 71:15, 73:6, 73:15, 73:17, 76:6, 78:23, 80:25, 81:1, 83:12, 83:15, 83:20, 83:21, 84:1, 86:11, 86:18, 88:19, 111:13, 111:15, 118:15, 124:3, 131:8, 132:19, 132:21, 143:4, 169:19 reminds [1] - 158:20 remote [1] - 29:3 removed [5] - 32:4, 174:11, 174:16, 174:19 renew [2] - 150:4, 150:6 repeat [8] - 5:9, 27:21, 38:8, 94:3, 130:5, 131:22, 143:21, 144:6 repeatedly [1] - 137:2 repeating [3] - 45:22, 51:14, 55:12 rephrase [2] - 35:9, 119:7 replace [3] - 153:23, 154:12, 158:14 replicate [3] - 46:2, 46:18,

57:24 reply [2] - 43:20, 43:22 report [8] - 74:6, 84:24, 85:2, 88:18, 89:3, 89:5, 89:18, 89.23 reporter [2] - 27:20, 63:20 **REPORTER** [2] - 1:22, 180.10**REPORTER'S** [1] - 1:16 reports [1] - 89:20 reprepared [1] - 54:2 represent [3] - 31:1, 81:25, 107:23 representative [1] - 84:6 represented [4] - 14:25, 15:5, 15:7, 41:20 representing [1] - 15:1 reproduced [1] - 82:24 request [2] - 32:21, 134:2 requested [5] - 11:13, 165:13, 165:15, 165:19, 166:8 requests [1] - 56:3 require [4] - 116:22, 121:24, 164:11, 171:14 required [4] - 77:5, 156:18, 157:5, 168:13 requires [2] - 105:7, 113:21 reread [1] - 6:21 rereviewing [1] - 103:4 rerun [2] - 40:19, 41:1 rerunning [1] - 41:5 research [15] - 13:8, 13:11, 37:22, 67:2, 67:4, 67:13, 77:19, 101:14, 101:15, 101:16, 102:6, 102:19, 102:20, 102:21, 141:18 researching [2] - 68:3, 78:13 **reserve**[1] - 137:19 resolution [1] - 12:22 **resolved** [5] - 21:3, 23:12, 45:16, 45:17, 65:5 resources [1] - 68:11 respect [6] - 111:2, 141:4, 155:5, 155:7, 158:1, 159:6 respectively^[1] - 88:15 respond [5] - 24:18, 35:3, 36:25, 55:3, 59:14 responds [1] - 33:1 response [12] - 22:5, 22:6, 22:12, 61:11, 65:21, 99:2, 130:2, 132:4, 134:12, 140:17, 144:2, 162:10 responses [2] - 99:19, 109:16 responsibilities [4] - 29:9, 66:22, 67:2, 102:1 responsibility [1] - 104:3 responsible [4] - 66:23, 114:22, 169:16, 169:17

responsive [1] - 49:4 rest [5] - 138:6, 143:11, 163:25, 164:3, 172:20 rested [2] - 135:3, 136:25 rests [2] - 133:5, 133:8 result [6] - 67:17, 75:20, 79:7, 110:11, 122:6, 123:2 results [45] - 10:17, 17:8, 29:14, 29:19, 29:23, 51:21, 53:7, 53:13, 68:16, 69:2, 76:12, 76:13, 77:3, 92:12, 92:14, 93:4, 93:7, 94:12, 106:25, 111:2, 111:4, 111:18, 111:24, 112:24, 114:15, 118:4, 120:18, 121:10, 121:11, 121:13, 121:20, 122:1, 122:5, 123:7, 123:11, 123:18, 124:7, 124:19, 126:7, 127:13, 129:22, 157:2, 157:6 resumed [1] - 26:14 **RESUMED** [2] - 3:4, 4:8 retail [1] - 13:19 retained [8] - 104:22, 104:24, 105:1. 119:9. 119:13. 119:16, 119:18, 119:24 retire [1] - 170:4 retrieve [1] - 20:9 retrieved [2] - 35:17, 36:14 return [2] - 23:19, 135:25 returned [1] - 134:15 revealed [3] - 53:14, 59:21, 59:23 review [13] - 6:24, 7:12, 102:13, 105:9, 110:9, 120:7, 123:17, 123:23, 145:5, 145:6, 160:23, 160:25 reviewed [2] - 33:15, 33:22 reviewing [3] - 22:1, 30:4, 151:8 reviews [1] - 102:10 revised [8] - 52:19, 146:10, 146:12, 146:16, 146:17, 147:2, 156:3 revision [1] - 169:8 revisit [3] - 164:18, 164:24, 166:19 Rho [7] - 37:21, 39:4, 39:16, 39:18, 40:9, 40:16, 40:18 Richard [5] - 3:7, 100:10, 100:11, 100:21, 150:17 richard [1] - 100:14 RICHARD [1] - 100:14 rise [5] - 4:3, 64:25, 100:3, 138:19, 175:25 risk [21] - 30:24, 31:3, 31:10, 31:22, 32:4, 74:7, 86:1, 106:7, 106:16, 107:9,

107:11, 111:25, 112:7, 112:12, 112:13, 112:20, 113:13, 117:12, 121:6, 127:8, 127:20 road [1] - 74:10 ROBBINS [1] - 2:5 Robbins [1] - 63:24 robust [2] - 124:24, 124:25 Roche [2] - 71:11, 74:8 role [8] - 29:9, 30:12, 33:18, 34:7, 66:10, 103:4, 103:23, 104:2 roll [1] - 23:18 **room** [4] - 18:2, 19:10, 54:20, 147:13 rooted [1] - 152:22 rotating [1] - 102:16 roughly [3] - 70:18, 70:19, 130:7 rounded [1] - 90:1 rounding [1] - 90:9 rows [2] - 30:23, 31:22 RUDMAN[1] - 2:5 Rule [1] - 46:17 rule [3] - 60:8, 134:23, 135:7 rules [5] - 60:16, 76:20, 80:21, 81:2, 116:17 ruling [14] - 57:4, 60:2, 62:9, 139:25, 140:16, 150:10, 153:14, 154:5, 154:6, 154:16, 154:17, 158:13, 159:5, 164:8 run [23] - 41:2, 48:12, 48:14, 48:16, 49:11, 49:21, 50:18, 52:4, 52:5, 52:11, 52:13, 52:16, 52:20, 57:18, 57:19, 57:21, 58:8, 58:20, 58:23, 75:17, 102:25, 109:23 running [1] - 129:6 S

S-c-h-w-a-b [1] - 100:15 S-e-g-a-l [1] - 27:6 Sachs [1] - 156:23 SACV15-0865-AG [1] - 1:8 safe [1] - 118:1 safely [1] - 103:7 safety [18] - 29:12, 37:9, 37:10, 37:21, 37:23, 39:16, 40:18, 41:7, 41:8, 43:17, 78:4, 78:10, 78:21, 92:12, 103:1, 113:25, 115:24, 121:13 sake [3] - 66:1, 171:11, 176:22 samples [1] - 110:7 SAN [2] - 2:7, 2:15 San [10] - 100:22, 101:17, 102:1, 102:25, 104:4,

110:1, 115:1, 131:5, 131:24, 132:24 SANTA [3] - 1:18, 1:23, 4:1 SARAH [1] - 2:13 SAS [1] - 47:3 satisfied [1] - 163:16 save [1] - 130:14 saved [2] - 113:15, 127:2 saw [7] - 10:5, 22:16, 54:20, 54:21, 59:3, 119:2 scenario [1] - 72:14 schedule [2] - 135:23, 176:9 schedules [1] - 135:18 School [1] - 28:6 school [1] - 28:8 Schultz [1] - 161:16 Schwab [12] - 3:7, 100:10, 100:11, 100:14, 100:18, 100:21, 104:18, 118:7, 118:13, 119:9, 126:3, 150:17 Schwab's [2] - 98:21, 99:13 scienter [5] - 156:19, 157:1, 157:5, 160:20, 160:21 scientists [2] - 102:7, 102:8 screen [4] - 30:10, 64:18, 97:6, 97:8 scrutiny [1] - 51:5 SEC [3] - 158:7, 160:4, 160:5 second [17] - 48:6, 72:13, 73:18, 75:8, 81:3, 83:5, 89:12, 90:4, 103:6, 116:21, 138:25, 151:11, 154:19, 155:21, 156:13, 157:25 seconded [1] - 12:21 secondhand [1] - 135:25 seconds [4] - 95:16, 95:17, 95:18.95:21 section [1] - 168:20 sector [4] - 67:23, 68:1, 71:9, 71:14 sectors [1] - 67:6 securities [9] - 91:13, 92:5, 93:25, 94:11, 156:22, 157:6, 160:8, 161:3, 165:11 Securities [1] - 151:12 see [70] - 4:6, 9:23, 10:3, 11:15, 11:17, 12:13, 12:15, 24:25, 29:24, 31:6, 31:13, 31:21, 33:4, 38:4, 43:15, 45:18, 54:20, 65:15, 77:18, 77:21, 78:2, 89:6, 89:15, 91:3, 91:5, 97:3, 99:24, 101:24. 102:4. 104:6. 104:8. 106:17. 107:21. 108:2. 109:1. 109:9. 109:15, 113:8, 113:14, 114:25, 121:1, 123:1, 138:11, 142:4, 145:25,

146:21, 147:5, 147:11, 147:12, 147:13, 147:15, 147:16, 148:4, 148:16, 149:17, 150:8, 151:7, 153:10, 153:11, 153:24, 159:21, 170:21, 171:1, 171:18, 172:18, 173:10, 174:7, 175:13, 175:16, 178:15 seeing [3] - 26:4, 111:16, 132:19 seem [2] - 33:24, 55:7 segal [1] - 41:15 Segal [7] - 3:6, 26:24, 27:2, 27:5, 27:14, 27:17, 35:11 selecting [1] - 151:4 sell [3] - 10:11, 69:10, 72:24 selling [4] - 80:8, 80:12, 80:13, 115:16 Selna [5] - 160:2, 160:12, 161:12, 161:13, 162:1 send [3] - 110:7, 110:12, 135.5sending [4] - 30:4, 37:20, 39:6, 136:17 senior [1] - 68:13 sense [5] - 32:23, 64:5, 82:22, 152:1, 163:18 sent [3] - 40:18, 53:23, 136:24 sentence [11] - 73:1, 75:8, 86:12, 94:4, 156:13, 158:2, 162:13, 162:21, 168:8, 168:17, 168:22 sentences [1] - 168:2 separate [6] - 32:14, 33:7, 140:22, 141:6, 168:25, 173.5 separately [1] - 155:3 separating [2] - 62:3, 168:9 separation [2] - 32:23, 32:25 September [2] - 39:12, 86:8 sequential [1] - 109:21 serially [1] - 103:10 served [1] - 104:18 server [3] - 36:14, 52:17, 56:23 set [22] - 12:22, 31:3, 31:10, 31:22, 32:4, 48:10, 50:21, 50:23, 50:24, 52:18, 55:15, 55:23, 56:5, 56:10, 57:8, 58:7, 59:5, 59:25, 79:12, 103:6, 124:21, 173:16 setting [6] - 78:9, 102:20, 106:22, 114:9, 125:17, 129:21 settings [1] - 82:24 seven [1] - 166:23 Seventh [1] - 156:20 several [15] - 6:21, 6:22, 9:3,

14:2, 15:11, 15:21, 15:25, 16:1, 16:6, 19:3, 134:3, 134:25, 143:8, 144:24, 144.25 severe [1] - 103:3 shakes [2] - 144:18, 144:20 shape [1] - 67:19 **share** [10] - 16:23, 68:25, 80:15, 115:8, 115:10, 115:11, 168:9, 169:25, 170:7 shareholders [3] - 9:5, 71:23, 81:13 shares [3] - 14:14, 14:16, 16:21 sheet [1] - 147:25 shelf [1] - 56:8 Sherman [3] - 29:10, 50:24, 52:6 Shipsey [2] - 159:13, 161:8 shooter [2] - 75:23, 76:3 short [2] - 134:6, 179:13 short-changed [1] - 179:13 shorthand [1] - 157:13 shorts [1] - 83:5 shoulders [1] - 9:13 shovel [1] - 72:14 show [19] - 22:8, 29:21, 32:15, 39:23, 58:6, 108:9, 108:13, 125:20, 126:4, 126:16, 127:5, 127:7, 127:9, 127:13, 139:14, 140:6, 142:24, 156:15, 161:22 showed [8] - 8:11, 9:21, 53:2, 59:4, 111:20, 120:13, 139:20, 141:18 shown [1] - 61:20 shows [1] - 46:20 shrewd [1] - 73:19 shy [2] - 10:25, 44:19 sic [1] - 47:13 side [24] - 15:14, 31:6, 64:8, 77:13, 77:21, 78:15, 78:18, 79:8, 111:6, 114:18, 115:25, 116:1, 116:3, 116:10, 116:15, 117:10, 117:13, 117:17, 122:11, 123:4, 145:23, 166:13, 176:17, 176:23 sided [1] - 80:13 sides [3] - 164:11, 172:4, 172:5 signal [6] - 78:4, 78:21, 108:7, 109:12, 109:14, 113:25 signaling [3] - 108:1, 108:6, 109.9significance [4] - 127:8, 127:9, 127:15, 165:24

significant [4] - 60:1, 106:20, 139:24, 158:12 significantly [3] - 130:11, 141.19 141.23 similar [2] - 161:17, 172:10 simple [5] - 50:1, 60:14, 116:16, 164:21, 179:8 simplest [1] - 111:25 simplification [1] - 108:6 simplified [2] - 107:22, 107:25 simplify [1] - 94:3 simply [13] - 55:24, 59:5, 121:4. 148:25. 150:25. 157:1, 157:13, 157:25, 164:16, 167:21, 168:22, 173:19, 176:11 single [6] - 19:24, 20:6, 67:14, 156:13, 166:13, 178.1 singular [1] - 155:2 sit [3] - 62:22, 102:9, 146:2 site [1] - 104:2 sites [1] - 103:3 sitting [2] - 18:2, 19:10 situation [5] - 107:3, 114:16, 165:6, 166:3, 166:7 six [11] - 18:1, 19:9, 25:20, 75:1, 75:3, 75:9, 101:18, 153:24, 154:14, 158:16, 162:6 size [1] - 71:12 Skye [9] - 3:14, 62:19, 65:9, 65:14, 65:20, 66:15, 66:16, 94:24, 139:16 sleep [1] - 117:17 slice [1] - 56:15 slide [18] - 38:1, 97:12, 97:23, 99:13, 101:6, 105:14, 106:17, 107:19, 108:21, 120:17, 121:9, 121:13, 121:15, 123:7, 124:2, 124:3, 125:6 slides [4] - 101:1, 109:1, 132:20 slight [2] - 50:13, 50:15 slip [1] - 154:8 slow [1] - 28:10 slower [1] - 155:6 small [2] - 109:3, 143:9 smaller [1] - 110:3 smarter [1] - 142:7 SMITH [23] - 2:11, 24:6, 25:15, 25:22, 26:18, 26:23, 152:14, 152:19, 154:23, 155:14, 155:16, 155:25, 156:6, 156:11, 159:5, 159:10, 159:19, 160:14, 161:1, 161:25, 167:2, 167:11, 167:14

smoother [1] - 176:8 snaps [1] - 142:11 snapshot [11] - 34:14, 34:18, 34:19, 34:22, 35:12, 36:12, 55:5, 55:17, 56:3, 56:17, 58:22 sneak [1] - 143:19 **so..** [1] - 119:6 social [1] - 70:1 SolarCity [2] - 155:20, 156:4 sold [2] - 14:16, 74:3 sole [1] - 165:22 solely [1] - 88:6 someone [5] - 18:1, 19:9, 26:1, 54:5, 147:9 sometime [4] - 4:25, 70:17, 96:20, 145:14 sometimes [9] - 10:12, 25:7, 56:19, 115:11, 132:20, 154:8, 158:11, 158:12 soon [1] - 136:14 sooner [1] - 9:23 sorry [9] - 25:2, 34:5, 36:6, 152:14, 153:18, 171:16, 174:10, 178:19 sort [13] - 8:14, 31:17, 56:24, 77:21, 99:24, 102:3, 102:25, 103:3, 108:5, 114:10, 115:4, 175:5, 175:16 sorts [3] - 142:13, 169:15, 175:14 sound [1] - 73:8 source [2] - 47:6, 51:24 Southern [2] - 28:16, 166:11 speakers [1] - 28:12 speaking [7] - 19:13, 19:14, 25:7, 65:21, 78:1, 112:22, 140:5 speaks [1] - 80:7 **special** [4] - 129:25, 169:12, 170:11, 176:23 specialize [1] - 101:23 **specific** [4] - 34:1, 37:1, 83:15, 173:22 specifically [8] - 73:13, 82:18, 136:16, 137:19, 140:24, 141:5, 142:24, 155:17 specified [1] - 38:19 **speculation** [1] - 36:23 spell [3] - 26:1, 27:3, 100:12 spend [1] - 68:3 spent [4] - 23:20, 46:3, 142:6, 143:4 spike [1] - 86:7 spit [2] - 58:8, 95:15 sponsors [1] - 113:21 spreadsheet [2] - 56:20, 56:22

spring [2] - 9:20, 29:2 **SPY** [10] - 102:23, 103:8, 103:9, 110:20, 111:8, 116:6, 116:20, 128:22, 128:23, 128:25 squares [1] - 121:1 stage [4] - 38:14, 74:20, 126:9, 126:13 stage-three [1] - 38:14 stake [1] - 21:15 stand [7] - 27:1, 62:22, 65:14, 66:13, 100:10, 134:17, 175:12 standard [13] - 11:16, 105:12, 106:1, 107:5, 107:7, 118:5, 119:14, 130:2, 155:18, 156:1, 157:19, 157:24, 166:4 standing [1] - 134:24 start [10] - 20:16, 53:17, 94:8, 113:23, 116:18, 151:2, 168:20, 171:20, 177:16, 177:21 started [12] - 8:11, 8:12, 8:16, 9:1, 28:8, 28:14, 31:7, 33:2, 39:12, 104:5, 107:2, 148:4 starts [2] - 46:13, 85:21 state [8] - 18:15, 27:3, 100:12, 129:13, 129:14, 153:21, 156:18, 157:6 statement [34] - 10:23, 16:8, 54:13, 55:16, 55:17, 55:22, 60:4, 62:2, 73:12, 98:12, 98:24, 154:2, 154:3, 154:5, 154:19, 155:2, 170:24, 171:8, 171:12, 171:13, 171:22, 172:4, 172:6, 172:12, 172:13, 172:22, 172:23, 174:5, 174:9, 174:10, 174:11, 174:19, 174:22, 174:24 statements [29] - 4:19, 5:1, 5:12, 5:18, 5:24, 6:15, 6:25, 7:2, 91:22, 92:15, 92:25, 93:14, 118:23, 119:24, 120:10, 140:25, 153:10, 155:8, 155:9, 155:10, 172:9, 173:17, 173:19, 173:23, 175:11, 175:15, 175:18, 175:19, 175:22 States [3] - 113:3, 124:9, 159:13 STATES [2] - 1:1, 180:7 statistical [3] - 31:2, 127:8, 127:15 statistically [1] - 39:7 statistician [3] - 32:9, 40:15, 58:8

statistics [1] - 28:2 stay [1] - 150:25 stayed [1] - 135:3 STENOGRAPHICALLY[1] -180.3 step [4] - 20:4, 24:17, 44:12, 55:20 steps [1] - 54:9 stick [3] - 147:14, 154:15, 158:13 stickies [2] - 23:22, 23:23 sticking [1] - 138:10 still [29] - 14:17, 17:16, 17:20, 29:1, 31:4, 34:10, 42:4, 42:6, 53:21, 56:18, 58:3, 58:11, 61:14, 71:4, 74:4, 77:10, 81:6, 82:9, 82:12, 82:14, 91:9, 115:15, 116:9, 127:21, 128:7, 130:21, 135:3, 150:8, 163:15 stipulation [2] - 136:20, 136:22 stock [43] - 9:11, 10:11, 11:6, 11:22, 13:2, 13:6, 13:25, 14:3, 16:22, 19:25, 69:9, 69:10, 69:15, 81:9, 82:8, 82:17, 83:23, 86:4, 86:7, 87:21, 87:22, 88:1, 88:4, 89:6, 89:8, 89:9, 90:16, 91:3, 91:8, 91:12, 91:16, 93:19, 139:14, 139:18, 140:6, 141:16, 141:19, 141:20, 141:23, 143:3, 144:3, 144:7, 163:9 stockholders [1] - 14:17 stocks [1] - 69:20 stood [1] - 8:15 stop [5] - 50:4, 116:13, 116:14, 122:17, 169:7 stopped [2] - 71:6, 122:11 straight [3] - 75:23, 76:3, 155:18 strategizing [1] - 113:13 STREET [1] - 1:23 Street's [1] - 89:13 stretch [1] - 100:6 strict [1] - 116:17 strong [6] - 82:10, 82:12, 91:4, 109:12, 140:14, 158.24 stuck [1] - 146:19 studied [2] - 125:12 studies [3] - 38:20, 104:1, 175:17 study [28] - 11:16, 17:8, 29:11, 29:19, 29:25, 30:19, 31:4, 31:12, 31:21, 34:16, 38:20, 40:15, 102:8, 102:22, 102:24, 102:25,

103:2, 103:7, 103:11, 103:25, 104:1, 111:4, 113:24, 116:16, 116:22, 116:25, 139:11 study-directed [1] - 116:25 subgroups [2] - 125:20, 126:3 subject [10] - 29:23, 31:24, 37:15, 46:15, 49:25, 54:6, 54:12, 60:4, 150:23, 167:2 subjects [9] - 31:3, 31:7, 31:10, 32:1, 32:7, 32:8, 34:15, 34:16, 34:18 submission [1] - 150:12 submit [3] - 47:19, 60:25, 61:6 submitted [3] - 46:23, 48:15, 144:11 submitting [1] - 11:12 subsequent [1] - 90:13 subset [1] - 56:3 substantial [1] - 170:16 substantially [3] - 31:23, 60:10, 77:23 substantive [1] - 151:5 subtract [1] - 164:23 success [1] - 68:20 successfully [2] - 162:14, 164:2 sued [1] - 5:17 sufficient [4] - 158:13, 160:19, 161:5, 172:15 sufficiently [1] - 176:12 suggest [1] - 82:8 suggested [4] - 64:11, 81:11, 85:23, 174:8 suggesting [1] - 140:16 suggestion [4] - 51:3, 55:19, 135:8. 173:4 suggestions [1] - 47:22 suggests [2] - 97:19, 97:24 suing [1] - 4:19 SUITE [2] - 1:23, 2:6 suits [1] - 55:1 summaries [5] - 171:15, 171:17, 171:19, 172:1, 172:17 summarized [5] - 73:2, 73:11, 86:13, 171:2 summarizes [1] - 170:25 summary [9] - 29:24, 37:20, 46:23, 60:6, 81:20, 91:1, 140:17, 171:16, 172:12 Sunday [2] - 179:2, 179:10 Super [2] - 142:12, 142:14 supervisor [1] - 49:8 supplemental [2] - 115:6, 147:24 supplements [1] - 148:9 support [5] - 13:12, 62:2,

62:4, 79:19, 119:10 supported [2] - 51:18, 167:18 supporting [1] - 13:12 supports [2] - 54:9, 61:6 surface [1] - 108:7 surgery [8] - 106:4, 106:6, 106:10, 106:13, 107:10, 107:11, 114:10, 130:3 surpassed [1] - 105:6 surprise [2] - 129:17, 130:6 surprised [2] - 80:15, 140:19 surprises [1] - 130:8 surprising [1] - 113:25 survey [1] - 77:18 surveys [1] - 67:20 survival [8] - 30:18, 30:20, 73:8, 87:9, 172:16, 172:23, 173:24, 174:2 SUSANNAH[1] - 2:4 sustain [1] - 35:4 sustained [18] - 5:15, 5:22, 6:4, 6:13, 7:6, 8:19, 8:21, 8:25, 9:15, 10:7, 16:14, 16:19, 21:21, 23:24, 33:13, 125:25, 132:14, 138:5 switch [3] - 21:9, 37:9, 63:25 sworn [6] - 3:4, 3:6, 3:7, 4:7, 27:2, 100:11 SYD [4] - 74:25, 75:22, 82:6, 91:4 Symposium [1] - 132:25 system [8] - 49:16, 52:5, 52:6, 52:9, 52:11, 52:14, 56:17, 57:1 т T-DM1 [1] - 129:24 tab [1] - 53:21 tables [11] - 30:3, 37:10, 37:21, 38:25, 39:5, 39:16, 39:18, 41:1, 41:5, 41:7, 43:18 tablets [1] - 158:21 tabs [6] - 21:17, 21:18, 21:20, 21:22, 21:25 tail [2] - 31:19, 32:10 talks [1] - 75:5 tape [1] - 95:14 target [3] - 107:14, 113:6, 114:1

teaching [2] - 102:14, 102:15

team [9] - 38:6, 49:5, 49:6,

70:4. 70:6. 70:9. 72:18.

teal [1] - 107:22

75:13. 144:14

teams [1] - 75:9

tech [1] - 36:15

technically [1] - 150:4

technology [1] - 53:16 temporary [2] - 115:25, 116:2 ten [6] - 70:15, 70:18, 122:2, 123:8, 128:24, 179:1 tend [1] - 109:13 tense [1] - 134:13 tentative [1] - 166:17 term [6] - 110:14, 110:17, 116:1, 116:3, 116:10, 120:3 terms [9] - 29:20, 31:2, 36:15, 39:5, 76:21, 83:10, 90:4, 108:25, 111:20 test [3] - 103:10, 110:2, 110:3 tested [2] - 103:16, 160:11 testified [16] - 7:8, 41:15, 41:19, 48:14, 58:18, 58:24, 58:25, 61:21, 61:24, 65:10, 92:17, 93:16, 98:18, 104:20, 117:10, 132:5 testify [6] - 47:18, 51:4, 51:16, 60:7, 61:2 testifying [2] - 16:17, 35:19 testimony [33] - 7:10, 10:20, 15:20, 16:3, 16:6, 16:9, 18:18, 22:15, 25:23, 46:15, 49:13, 53:6, 53:12, 53:20, 54:7, 54:12, 54:22, 55:2, 59:13, 59:17, 59:19, 60:8, 62:4, 62:19, 63:2, 63:4, 66:1, 99:19, 100:24, 105:9, 120:11, 136:7, 143:18 testing [3] - 109:20, 109:21, 110:10 tests [1] - 109:23 **THAT** [1] - 180:2 THE [330] - 2:3, 2:11, 4:3, 4:5, 5:5, 5:9, 5:15, 5:22, 6:3, 6:7, 6:13, 7:6, 7:22, 7:24, 8:6, 8:16, 8:18, 8:19, 8:25, 9:15, 10:7, 10:22, 11:2, 12:5, 12:7, 14:19, 16:12, 16:14, 16:19, 18:13, 18:16, 18:24, 19:1, 20:3, 20:10, 20:16, 20:19, 20:22, 21:1, 21:4, 21:7, 21:14, 21:19, 21:24, 22:2, 22:11, 22:18, 23:10, 23:18, 24:10, 24:14, 24:17, 24:22, 25:3, 25:6, 25:13, 25:21, 26:1, 26:4, 26:8, 26:10, 26:13, 26:15, 26:22, 26:25, 27:3, 27:5, 27:7, 27:11, 28:10, 28:14, 33:13, 33:24, 34:6, 35:4, 35:15, 35:16, 35:21, 35:23, 35:25, 36:1, 36:2, 36:3, 36:6, 36:8, 37:1, 37:3, 38:8, 38:14, 38:17,

38:18, 40:2, 41:12, 44:10, 44:12, 44:15, 44:22, 45:2, 45:5, 45:7, 45:11, 45:15, 46:3, 46:9, 46:24, 47:2, 47:4, 47:6, 47:11, 47:16, 47:23, 48:20, 48:24, 50:4, 50:8, 50:12, 50:15, 51:13, 51:19, 51:21, 51:24, 52:21, 53:3, 53:9, 53:12, 54:13, 55:7, 55:11, 55:21, 57:7, 57:10, 57:12, 57:14, 58:1, 58:11, 58:14, 59:9, 59:13, 59:15, 60:14, 60:21, 61:8, 61:12, 62:9, 62:13, 62:17, 63:4, 63:11, 63:19, 64:6, 64:10, 64:15, 64:21, 64:23, 64:25, 65:2, 66:7, 95:1, 95:5, 95:7, 95:10, 95:12, 95:18, 95:22, 96:1, 96:3, 96:9, 96:11, 96:13, 96:17, 96:25, 97:3, 97:6, 97:9, 97:14, 98:4, 98:7, 98:10, 98:23, 99:2, 99:8, 99:15, 99:21, 99:23, 100:3, 100:5, 100:12, 100:14, 107:21, 118:8, 119:2, 119:5, 120:25, 125:25, 131:19, 132:4, 132:14, 133:2, 133:5, 133:7, 133:9, 133:13, 133:17, 133:21, 133:25, 134:6, 134:11, 134:19, 135:13, 135:17, 136:21, 137:11, 138:4, 138:8, 138:19, 138:21, 139:4, 139:23, 140:8, 140:11, 141:3, 141:8, 141:12, 142:4, 142:19, 143:15, 143:23, 144:2, 144:6, 144:10, 144:13, 144:16, 144:20, 145:7, 145:11, 145:20, 145:22, 148:23, 149:4, 149:8, 149:13, 149:16, 149:20, 150:3, 150:7, 150:18, 152:18, 152:20, 153:7, 153:9, 153:16, 154:8, 154:25, 155:6, 155:10, 155:15, 155:21, 156:5, 156:7, 156:10, 157:10, 157:16, 158:10, 159:8, 159:11, 160:10, 160:25, 161:4, 161:11, 161:19, 162:1, 162:21, 162:25, 163:2, 163:17, 163:19, 164:5, 164:9, 165:14, 165:18, 166:1, 166:16, 167:1, 167:9, 167:12, 167:15, 168:1, 168:16, 169:5, 169:8, 169:11, 169:19, 170:19, 171:9, 172:3, 172:11, 173:10,

173:22, 174:7, 174:13, 174:17, 174:21, 175:1, 175:6, 175:21, 176:2, 176:5, 176:11, 176:21, 177:2, 177:10, 177:12, 177:17, 177:19, 177:24, 178:11, 178:14, 178:18, 178:22, 179:1, 179:4, 179:6, 179:8, 179:17, 180:2, 180:3, 180:4, 180:6, 180:7 the.. [1] - 8:17 themselves [1] - 48:12 theory [6] - 141:14, 142:16, 142:20, 151:14, 151:16, 151.21 therapies [1] - 109:5 therapy [3] - 104:16, 106:19, 116:25 therefore [4] - 85:25, 134:4, 134:10, 140:19 thesis [2] - 85:18, 87:5 they've [13] - 30:15, 31:12, 48:15, 51:9, 56:11, 57:5, 61:4, 165:8, 173:19, 174:2, 174:3, 174:11, 174:18 thinking [4] - 98:12, 173:9, 177:24, 178:3 thinks [1] - 75:23 third [5] - 12:19, 74:25, 112:20, 154:13, 158:15 THIS [1] - 180:5 **Thomas** [1] - 12:16 thoughts [3] - 82:20, 140:8, 140:10 thousand [13] - 104:15, 110:2, 112:8, 113:1, 120:20, 121:18, 121:19, 122:7, 122:10, 123:3, 123:8, 123:10, 124:24 thousands [2] - 17:2, 132:12 threatening [2] - 78:19, 114.16three [27] - 21:2, 21:10, 21:12, 38:6, 38:12, 38:14, 38:16, 38:21, 55:25, 56:13, 58:9, 58:21, 59:4, 59:7, 61:4, 61:22, 85:20, 89:14, 100:6, 122:6, 131:14, 131:15, 131:16, 152:17, 172:14, 172:16 three-day [1] - 100:6 three-year [6] - 58:21, 59:4, 61:4, 131:14, 131:15, 131:16 throughout [5] - 19:4, 65:25, 143:10, 152:23, 172:13 throw [1] - 48:1 throws [1] - 158:12 ticking [1] - 59:15

tie [2] - 55:22, 143:17 tied [2] - 45:9, 141:5 tight [1] - 147:13 timeline [2] - 83:6, 83:10 timelines [1] - 83:20 timing [7] - 11:9, 26:16, 64:6, 64:8, 71:16, 76:21, 77:5 title [3] - 27:21, 29:5, 97:18 titled [3] - 97:12, 121:15, 124.2 today [23] - 16:7, 27:15, 34:20, 41:19, 42:10, 52:17, 71:4. 71:5. 74:2. 84:11. 97:23. 100:25. 120:11. 129:18, 132:23, 136:18, 138:2, 138:10, 145:4, 145:14, 151:7, 176:8, 178:20 together [22] - 18:2, 42:16, 49:6, 51:2, 55:22, 62:25, 108:1, 146:4, 148:7, 148:8, 151:2, 154:16, 154:22, 162:4, 164:23, 166:21, 169:22, 170:6, 170:16, 176:15, 177:3, 178:7 tolerability [1] - 78:15 tolerate [2] - 122:17, 122:22 Tomkowiak [1] - 7:19 **TOMKOWIAK** [29] - 2:13, 4:9, 5:7, 5:11, 5:16, 5:23, 6:5, 6:12, 6:14, 7:7, 8:2, 8:22, 9:2, 9:17, 10:8, 11:5, 12:1, 12:10, 12:24, 12:25, 14:18, 16:11, 16:13, 16:18, 18:9, 18:23, 19:21, 19:23, 20:2 tomorrow [1] - 176:9 tongue [1] - 59:22 took [5] - 45:15, 82:1, 118:15, 122:14, 143:8 tool [1] - 58:10 top [5] - 31:16, 43:2, 79:23, 89:5, 107:23 topic [2] - 82:5, 89:12 topline [24] - 10:17, 11:3, 29:14, 29:23, 34:21, 37:10, 37:16, 41:5, 42:13, 42:22, 43:17, 53:10, 76:13, 76:16, 80:22, 85:23, 89:12, 90:4, 90:12, 92:8, 92:12, 93:7, 94:11, 157:2 TOR [1] - 2:3 **Toray** [1] - 160:5 total [9] - 17:6, 20:12, 20:16, 25:19, 104:3, 104:13, 105:6, 126:23, 129:6 totality [1] - 32:13 touch [1] - 59:22 touched [1] - 59:21 touting [1] - 62:2

toward [2] - 13:15, 14:10 towards [1] - 171:6 track [1] - 112:17 trading [4] - 79:25, 88:1, 88:5, 89:9 training [1] - 102:16 TRANSCRIPT [3] - 1:16, 180:3, 180:5 transcript [18] - 4:25, 6:18, 6:21, 6:25, 7:13, 8:11, 8:18, 9:1, 21:5, 23:21, 23:24, 26:21, 32:16, 32:18, 33:12, 33:15, 33:22, 120:7 transcripts [1] - 21:5 transfer [3] - 35:23, 36:1, 43:5 transferred [1] - 37:4 transferring [1] - 36:15 transient [4] - 77:25, 79:1, 79:2.79:6 translate [2] - 112:23, 112:25 transmit [1] - 108:6 treat [7] - 61:22, 101:22, 103:25, 104:6, 111:1, 121:18, 121:24 treated [13] - 112:5, 116:8, 116:11, 120:20, 121:19, 121:24, 126:24, 127:3, 127:20, 128:14, 128:22, 128.23 128.25 treating [7] - 98:5, 102:24, 103:6, 116:5, 116:12, 116:14, 127:21 treatment [14] - 31:8, 104:12, 106:3, 106:4, 106:9, 106:13, 107:13, 115:21, 118:2, 118:20, 128:1, 128:25, 129:1, 130:2 treatments [3] - 117:11, 117:15, 117:21 trees [1] - 171:24 trial [97] - 4:20, 5:2, 5:13, 5:25, 6:16, 6:19, 7:1, 9:4, 9:19, 12:3, 13:9, 15:17, 19:4, 22:20, 28:12, 29:15, 31:8, 33:2, 37:11, 38:13, 38:15, 41:17, 61:9, 61:10, 68:16, 76:13, 77:2, 78:2, 87:1, 88:24, 91:22, 92:9, 92:12, 92:15, 93:4, 93:8, 93:14, 94:1, 94:12, 98:22, 103:8, 103:9, 103:17, 104:4, 105:21, 105:22, 105:24, 106:3, 107:12, 110:8, 110:15, 110:20, 110:21, 110:23, 110:25, 111:3, 111:6, 111:8, 111:12, 111:19, 112:3, 112:5, 112:6, 112:19, 113:19, 113:23, 114:14,

116:6, 117:1, 118:1, 118:4, 120:13, 120:18, 121:8, 121:9, 121:25, 122:2, 122:5, 123:2, 123:6, 124:7, 126:4, 126:11, 128:2, 128:19, 129:20, 129:23, 131:5, 151:3, 151:4, 160:8, 160:15, 166:4, 172:14 TRIAL [1] - 1:17 trials [19] - 13:10, 28:9, 28:15, 28:18, 28:20, 69:2, 74:1, 77:22, 102:6, 102:10, 102:21, 102:22, 103:18, 103:21, 103:23, 105:8, 107:1, 112:24 tried [2] - 165:6, 165:12 trip [1] - 17:12 Troy [2] - 3:3, 4:7 TRUE [1] - 180:2 true [17] - 7:3, 17:24, 22:23, 33:8, 52:12, 58:18, 59:1, 59:3, 63:16, 63:18, 82:22, 91:24, 94:18, 121:17, 132:12, 144:13, 163:21 truncate [3] - 55:25, 56:12 truncated [1] - 32:1 trust [3] - 63:9, 68:12, 71:18 truth [5] - 22:7, 22:16, 23:1, 70:8, 93:11 try [12] - 6:8, 14:10, 16:12, 42:9, 55:12, 55:22, 57:23, 61:16, 67:15, 116:20, 146:15, 170:17 trying [9] - 7:19, 8:13, 10:19, 53:16, 54:18, 73:6, 147:12, 158:1, 161:19 Tuesday [6] - 138:11, 138:18, 151:6, 176:16, 177:4, 177:9 tuned [1] - 123:22 turn [16] - 11:6, 11:24, 12:19, 30:6, 43:17, 77:10, 85:17, 89:1, 90:25, 120:16, 147:7, 149:17, 159:3, 162:10, 166:6. 169:12 turned [2] - 120:25, 142:1 turns [1] - 46:7 twice [2] - 41:16, 149:5 twist [1] - 53:24 two [58] - 12:17, 21:22, 25:23, 30:23, 31:8, 31:22, 31:24, 32:1, 32:2, 32:22, 33:4, 33:6, 33:9, 34:17, 36:19, 37:7, 43:1, 43:12, 47:18, 47:23, 49:23, 53:7, 53:10, 55:25, 56:12, 56:13, 58:9, 58:20, 59:7, 61:24, 80:1, 80:4, 83:1, 89:6, 103:13, 104:20, 107:11, 107:25, 108:13, 108:14,

109:23, 111:22, 120:22, 133:20, 138:1, 140:16, 147:16, 155:25, 157:11, 168:2, 168:12, 170:12, 177:18, 177:19, 178:4, 179:12 two-year [7] - 31:24, 32:2, 33:6, 43:12, 53:7, 53:10, 58:20 type [3] - 35:8, 74:2, 101:23 typed [1] - 162:9 types [5] - 70:3, 78:14, 78:15, 109:23, 115:1 typical [7] - 74:22, 76:11, 76:14, 76:19, 76:24, 163:23 typically [2] - 10:1, 17:4

U

U.S^[5] - 1:22, 66:23, 66:24, 66:25 UC [8] - 100:22, 101:12, 101:17, 102:1, 102:25, 104:4, 110:1, 115:1 UCSD [2] - 110:11, 129:7 ultimate [2] - 11:4, 11:19 ultimately [1] - 173:6 unanimous [1] - 13:2 unanimously [2] - 12:21, 12:22 unavailable [3] - 134:9, 134:14, 135:10 unbiased [1] - 63:10 unclear [1] - 159:20 uncomfortable [1] - 53:20 uncommon [1] - 11:2 under [15] - 5:4, 13:10, 14:15, 17:1, 18:4, 18:5, 41:16, 46:17, 60:12, 65:12, 71:9, 74:10, 91:3, 104:12, 150:12 underfunded [1] - 115:7 undergraduate [2] - 28:1, 101:11 underinsured [1] - 115:2 underlined [1] - 81:4 understated [1] - 74:1 understood [5] - 77:2, 119:19. 149:10. 166:16. 167:25 undertaken [1] - 93:21 undervalued [4] - 69:21, 81:10, 141:20, 141:23 underwriters [1] - 22:9 Uniphase [2] - 156:24, 160:5 **UNITED** [2] - 1:1, 180:7 United [3] - 113:3, 124:9, 159:13 University [3] - 28:3, 28:4,

28:8

university [1] - 102:11 unless [2] - 113:25, 163:16 unlike [1] - 109:5 unlocking [1] - 51:7 unveiled [1] - 80:1 **up** [50] - 8:11, 8:15, 9:12, 19:21, 20:12, 22:19, 28:19, 30:9, 30:24, 31:13, 31:25, 40:5, 40:11, 48:2, 59:3, 59:25, 60:15, 64:18, 65:3, 65:19, 66:2, 72:18, 74:4, 74:25, 77:22, 78:17, 83:6, 83:16, 83:22, 86:4, 90:22, 95:23, 97:24, 99:9, 101:6, 116:9, 117:15, 121:1, 130:13, 136:1, 137:18, 139:2, 140:21, 141:2, 146:23, 154:9, 161:22, 162:9, 171:18, 175:22 update [1] - 109:22 updates [2] - 109:21, 113:6 upfront [1] - 77:25 urge [1] - 146:9 urgings [1] - 54:2 useful [1] - 178:25 uses [2] - 73:23, 157:14

V

vague [8] - 5:14, 5:20, 6:8, 16:12, 16:13, 18:23, 33:21, 35:14 vagueness [2] - 6:3, 35:5 validate [1] - 39:5 validated [4] - 39:1, 39:2, 39:3, 39:7 validation [5] - 39:11, 39:13, 40:19, 40:25, 41:9 valuation [4] - 68:15, 82:15, 87:16, 90:23 valuations [1] - 71:14 value [2] - 71:22, 81:13 variability [4] - 31:20, 32:6, 32:12, 80:10 variety [1] - 67:19 various [1] - 175:15 vast [2] - 13:19, 13:24 Vegas [2] - 142:12, 142:15 verbatim [1] - 160:4 verdict [5] - 149:25, 169:13, 170:11, 170:16, 176:23 verify [1] - 57:24 version [8] - 47:9, 56:24, 129:25, 147:2, 150:21, 151:10, 175:10, 176:2 versions [2] - 25:3, 170:12 versus [9] - 77:25, 80:11, 80:16, 140:13, 155:20, 156:4, 159:13, 160:4,

160:5

video [18] - 20:11, 20:12, 20:14, 20:24, 24:3, 24:7, 25:17, 62:20, 65:11, 65:19, 66:9, 95:14, 95:24, 96:4, 121:2, 133:16, 134:16, 136:9 videos [1] - 63:16 videotape [6] - 3:12, 3:13, 3:16, 24:5, 25:25, 96:2 videotaped [1] - 26:14 **view** [6] - 8:14, 9:7, 9:10, 41:8, 79:19, 113:18 viewed [2] - 82:9, 132:10 views [1] - 91:4 violate [1] - 99:2 violated [4] - 91:13, 92:4, 93:25, 94:10 violates [1] - 97:20 violating [1] - 98:2 visit [1] - 32:2 volatile [1] - 143:4 volatility [2] - 143:10, 143:12 Vs [1] - 1:8

W

W-o-n-g [2] - 26:3, 26:4 wait [2] - 132:24, 159:8 waited [2] - 135:9, 136:16 waiting [4] - 45:13, 134:3, 134:23. 134:25 waiver [1] - 154:9 waiving [2] - 154:4, 159:6 Wall [1] - 89:13 wants [1] - 171:2 warned [1] - 111:7 warning [1] - 135:14 water [1] - 17:1 WATKINS [1] - 2:14 Watkins [7] - 15:6, 15:8, 15:16, 15:21, 16:4, 27:9, 41.21 wavelength [4] - 6:8, 6:11, 98:13, 150:19 ways [4] - 111:22, 144:24, 144:25, 155:25 Webb [2] - 155:20, 156:4 weeds [1] - 90:10 week [5] - 49:1, 102:4, 102:17, 104:7, 104:8 weekend [3] - 100:6, 138:18, 178:15 weight [1] - 117:16 weird [1] - 110:11 welcome [2] - 4:5, 27:11 WEST [2] - 1:23, 2:6 whoa [3] - 165:14 whole [6] - 131:21, 155:12, 155:14, 163:7, 172:18,

172:19 widely [2] - 78:6, 78:8 widening [1] - 32:24 wife [1] - 19:12 William [1] - 133:16 willing [1] - 173:3 Wilson [20] - 3:3, 4:7, 4:10, 5:17, 5:24, 6:6, 6:15, 7:8, 9:3, 9:18, 10:9, 12:11, 14:23, 14:25, 16:21, 17:24, 18:8, 18:12, 18:20, 19:8 win [1] - 67:17 window [1] - 32:2 winning [1] - 169:6 Winnipeg [1] - 28:3 wisely [3] - 73:20, 73:23, 74:19 wish [2] - 59:9, 140:21 WITH [1] - 180:6 withhold [1] - 161:23 withstand [1] - 51:4 withstands [1] - 164:2 Witness [1] - 30:8 WITNESS [16] - 3:2, 5:9, 7:24, 8:18, 11:2, 19:1, 27:5, 28:14, 35:16, 35:23, 36:1, 36:3, 37:3, 38:18, 100:14, 107:21 witness [58] - 3:3, 3:6, 3:7, 4:7, 20:5, 25:14, 25:16, 26:7, 26:17, 27:2, 44:13, 44:18, 45:1, 45:18, 45:19, 45:20, 45:25, 46:1, 46:12, 46:17, 49:24, 51:3, 51:10, 51:18, 54:16, 54:23, 60:4, 60:5, 60:7, 61:1, 62:19, 62:22, 66:9, 95:12, 95:25, 96:12, 97:2, 98:5, 98:15, 99:24, 100:7, 100:9, 100:11, 104:18, 133:17, 134:4, 134:9, 134:14, 134:23, 135:1, 135:7, 135:11, 136:4, 136:15, 142:6, 150:15, 150:16 witnesses [9] - 54:19, 58:18, 59:20, 61:24, 62:15, 137:2, 137:4, 137:13, 138:2 witnesses' [1] - 135:18 Wolff [5] - 3:12, 20:14, 20:24, 24:4. 24:5 wolff [1] - 21:11 Wolff's [1] - 137:17 wolff's [2] - 22:15, 136:7 woman [1] - 65:21 women [11] - 112:25, 113:3, 113:15, 118:19, 120:21, 121:20, 122:7, 123:3, 124:8, 124:18, 124:22 wonder [2] - 34:10, 120:25

wondered [1] - 140:14

wondering [3] - 21:14, 45:15, 142:16 Wong [9] - 3:13, 25:16, 25:25, 26:8, 29:22, 37:15, 39:2, 43:25, 58:24 Wong's [1] - 38:25 word [13] - 8:17, 18:16, 78:23, 78:25, 119:5, 149:4, 151:18, 151:19, 151:20, 153:5, 154:19, 155:2, 157:12 words [10] - 30:17, 35:6, 38:9, 158:12, 158:13, 164:21, 164:22, 164:23, 172:15, 173:7 workaholic [1] - 73:19 works [4] - 49:6, 107:17, 108:25, 109:17 World [4] - 97:12, 97:18, 124:3, 124:5 world [9] - 28:20, 98:6, 98:14, 98:24, 99:17, 112:23, 113:1, 123:2, 124:10 worse [1] - 93:7 would've [7] - 9:20, 16:1, 37:3, 70:17, 90:13, 122:14, 122:25 wound [2] - 136:1, 137:18 wrap [1] - 74:25 wrap-up [1] - 74:25 write [3] - 59:8, 86:12, 93:2 written [13] - 51:19, 51:22, 51:25, 53:6, 65:10, 73:22, 82:14, 90:6, 90:9, 129:11, 130:7, 144:10, 178:20 Υ Yao [11] - 40:8, 46:6, 47:17, 49:8, 49:20, 51:2, 51:8, 51:9, 51:16, 54:11, 54:14 Yao's [1] - 53:6 year [36] - 6:20, 6:23, 11:20, 15:12, 15:22, 16:5, 17:4, 17:5, 31:24, 32:2, 33:6, 43:12, 50:11, 51:9, 53:7, 53:10, 58:20, 58:21, 59:4, 61:4, 101:14, 102:18, 107:7, 110:3, 113:3, 113:10, 113:11, 118:16, 124:8, 124:22, 125:13, 125:18, 131:14, 131:15, 131:16 years [30] - 18:1, 19:9, 32:1, 33:4, 33:9, 34:17, 36:20, 37:7, 43:1, 55:25, 56:13, 56:14, 58:9, 59:7, 61:23, 70:16, 70:18, 101:19, 101:20, 103:15, 103:20,

107:11, 120:22, 166:4 yellow [3] - 23:22, 23:23, 109:2 yesterday [13] - 4:12, 7:8, 23:20, 44:25, 45:16, 45:22, 46:4, 59:16, 135:4, 136:16, 137:2, 153:3 York [1] - 166:11 yourself [8] - 27:16, 43:10, 51:14, 55:12, 92:3, 99:17, 100:20, 174:14 Z zip [1] - 40:20 zoom [1] - 97:15 zoom-out [1] - 97:15

26